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Introduction

I am trying to think through the possible scenarios here as to what’s next.

Scenario A is that a big boom arrest happens, and everybody suddenly is persuaded that Trump was right all along.

Scenario B is that the big boom arrest happens, and they say that Trump and his administration is corrupt and so that renders the arrest null and avoid.

Scenario C is that no big boom arrest happens at all, but rather they remove Trump from office. At that point martial law will kick in, because people will go absolutely insane.

I am guessing that the President wants to drag this thing out as long as he can because it is good for ratings, but the game ends if they remove him from office.

- I can’t see Scenario A happening. It’s too predictable.
- I can’t see Scenario B happening. It’s a waste of dramatic effort.
- I can see Scenario C happening, but only for a very short period of time, with the military at the ready.

It’s going be very close, I think. I think the President feels like he has to show us how bad it can get. To do that he has to let things spin out a bit. That’s what I find scary.

In any case, this book picks up from the last book, watching the silent civil war – now shifted into active coup mode.

Where before I doubted myself, I became sure and ever more horrified at the tactics.

This book consists of some my posts from 2019. You can see the wide range of topics that millions of non-experts, including me, have struggled to understand. But in the end, as “Q” has stated, “future proves past,” and the meaning of what we are experiencing today will only be understood in retrospect.

###
I. President Trump Under Attack
Impeachment Started Inauguration Day

If we are not living through a coup, why did The Washington Post publish this headline on January 20, 2017, literally the same date as President Trump’s inauguration?

"The Campaign to Impeach President Trump Has Begun."

The article reads:

"At the moment the new commander in chief was sworn in, a campaign to build public support for his impeachment went live at ImpeachDonaldTrumpNow.org. spearheaded by two liberal advocacy groups aiming to lay the groundwork for his eventual ejection from the White House. The organizers behind the campaign, Free Speech for People and RootsAction, are hinging their case on Trump’s insistence on maintaining ownership of his luxury hotel and golf course business while in office."

Looking for an excuse:

"Ethics experts have warned that his financial holdings could potentially lead to constitutional violations and undermine public faith in his decision-making."

This was the pretext - "what could happen."

Of course, all these ethics experts were nowhere to be seen when Hillary Clinton was looting the State Department.

In a very tricky and slippery way, the people behind the coup positioned themselves as protectors of democracy. And who knows, maybe they were recruited under the pretext of doing just that. The article quoted Ron Fein, legal director, Free Speech for People (wonder who was funding these organizations):

"If we were to wait for all the ill effects that could come from this, too much damage to our democracy would occur. It will undermine faith in basic institutions."

They were organizing in churches - do you remember this? Again per the Washington Post, January 31, 2017:
"Less than two weeks into Trump’s administration, federal workers are in regular consultation with recently departed Obama-era political appointees about what they can do....At a church in Columbia Heights last weekend, dozens of federal workers attended a support group for civil servants seeking a forum to discuss their opposition to the Trump administration."

The bureaucrats claimed that they were protecting democracy. I was one of them, and I was horrified. (Remember that I speak for myself and all opinions are my own; I am not representing the Trump Administration or my agency here.)

I remember at one point I counted 102 "Alt" government accounts. This list contains the science accounts and was written approvingly. Snopes published another list in June 2017. They told themselves that they were heroes. Sample comment:

"EPA staff were appalled by the gag order, and we are still under lockdown,' said @RogueEPASTaff. 'It’s a hostile takeover. We want to be a conduit — so people know the politicals aren’t speaking for scientists and that the scientists are unbent, unbowed, unbroken. The unexpected gift has been the outpouring of love and support!'"

At the time, thinking they were seditious, I questioned myself; thought maybe I was being too harsh. But I wasn't. And I didn't want to believe it, even when a colleague from another agency told me she was waiting for the President to be impeached.

Reporters were trying to get feds to talk to them, too. It happened to me, and to a colleague at another agency (not a partisan employee as far as I could tell, just a professional), who told me, without me prompting: "They were fishing for information."

The plotters have tried all of the following messages/narratives re: President Trump, and failed:

- Crazy
- Incompetent/inexperienced
- Greedy
- Russia pawn
- Racist/sexist/homophobic
- Sexual predator
• We should abolish the electoral college
• Stole the election because Russian social media accounts promoted him
• Has the support of stupid people/Christian fanatics/bigots; we should nullify their votes

Failing to succeed in attacking President Trump on Russia, they went for Ukraine. But of course they did not talk about the history there, such as this statement by Victoria Nuland, former Assistant Secretary of State for European and Eurasian Affairs at the United States Department of State under Obama. It was cited in an article by Katie Sanders for PolitiFact:

"Since Ukraine’s independence in 1991, the United States has supported Ukrainians as they build democratic skills...invested over $5 billion."

Were we using the money to overthrow the Ukrainian government? of course not, said Obama through his proxy, State Department spokesperson Nicole Thompson:

"The insinuation that the United States incited the people of Ukraine to riot or rebel is patently false."

The report went on:

"Since 1992, the government has spent about $5.1 billion to support democracy-building programs in Ukraine, Thompson said, with money flowing mostly from the Department of State via USAID, as well as the departments of Defense, Energy, Agriculture and others."

She added:

"The United States does this with hundreds of other countries."

Stop and let that sink in for a minute, the implications of that.

Other times, most notably when it involves attacking President Trump, the radical left has no problem attacking the Deep State. Here is Ilhan Omar confronting Elliott Abrams with the murderous intervention of the United States in El Salvador, condoning the rape and massacre of 12 year-old girls.

Mark Danner wrote about the incident in The Massacre at El Mozote (1994). Here is an abridged summary of his account, accessed at Wikipedia:
"On the afternoon of December 10, 1981, units of the Salvadoran army's Atlacatl Battalion...trained by United States military advisors...began taking the women and older girls in groups, separating them from their children and murdering them with machine guns after raping them. Girls as young as 10 were raped, and soldiers were reportedly heard bragging how they especially liked the 12-year-old girls."

Omar's criticism was accurate; here is more information on Abrams' role:

"In El Salvador, the Reagan administration, with Abrams as point man, routinely defended the Salvadoran government in the face of evidence that its regular army, and allied right-wing death squads, were operating with impunity."

The impact of her video shows that when she wants to, Omar (a well-known hater of America, Israel and the Jews) can make a powerful argument against U.S. government abuse and overreach.

Think about what would happen if we simply told the truth and didn't really care about the politics of who said it.

Back to Ukraine, the statement regarding U.S. aid went was so vague that even the reporter had to admit:

"The descriptions are a bit vague, which could lead people to think the money was used for some clandestine purpose."

The best she could do was question the amount.

Of course, under President Trump, we still give foreign aid to Ukraine, and we should give foreign aid because at the very least, a more stable world means less fighting. (I actually think USAID should get *more* money, just more transparently.)

But let's get back to the history of the coup. The indicators that were hiding in plain sight.

Recall the popular show "Homeland," Season 7. It featured Pres. Keane, a dictator who ultimately is forced to step aside.
"Keane's disregard for constitutional rights and basic freedoms represents nothing less than a threat against the presidency and the American way of life."

President Keane was a thinly disguised and flipped version of President Trump. In the show she is a leftist and is opposed by an Alex Jones type who inspires militiamen, a standoff, etc. (your worst nightmares about "deplorables")

If you saw the show you may remember the scene where Carrie (heroine) is standing in her sister's kitchen talking about resisting the dictatorship. It is very obvious anti-Trump stuff.

That season aired Feb - April 2018. It started production on September 11, 2017, which means they were planning it during President Trump's first year in office.

All this did not go unnoticed by the media: "New 'Homeland' Season Sends CIA Agent After a Tyrannical President" (Haaretz 12/13/17):

"Riffing on President Trump and the current climate in the Washington, the fictional president incredulously asks Berenson 'How is that they tried to assassinate me and I'm the one under investigation?''"

And again:

"'Homeland' Boss on Season 7's Trump Inspiration, Alex Jones and RIP Peter Quinn" (Variety, 2/5/18):

"It's going to be an interesting Homeland season,' warns Alex Gansa, showrunner of 'Homeland,' which returns for its seventh (and penultimate) season on February 11.'"

"When the new season picks up, it's nearly two months later, and the situation is ever more dire with Keane increasingly paranoid. Gansa says he was inspired by the headlines coming out of Washington, D.C. and the election of Donald Trump. 'Given the swirl of news about the Administration's war with its own intelligence community, "It was just hard not to do it," he says.'"

Ask yourself if "Homeland" could get away with showing Antifa for who they really are: domestic terrorists.
With Hollywood dominated by the radical left and taking orders from the Deep State, of course not. They had to make it the "reasonable leftists" (who are somehow the "constitutionalists") against the "crazy leftists" (meaning the dictators like Trump), and the pro-Trump people (nationalists) are portrayed as TOTALLY off the table "insane."

Gansa was, however, able to show the intelligence community's tendency to dictatorial abusiveness to some extent.

The show does have a character that is very Brennan-like: Dar Adal. Gansa, again:

"We're just in a very unique position to comment on it in one way or another. And it proved a temptation impossible to resist. What's going on right now in the intelligence community is exactly what we're dramatizing. And to run away from that would feel it a little cowardly and a little false. So we dived in."

Remember when Project Veritas in 2018 showed Federal employees on hidden camera organizing the so-called resistance? of course federal employees have the right to their own views - this went beyond:

"Federal Employee for State Department: “Resist everything... Every level. F**k sh*t up.” "I'm careful about it. I don’t leave a paper trail." "I have nothing to lose. It’s impossible to fire federal employees."

IRS: "Unfortunately, I am not allowed by the bylaws of my union to go against the Constitution."

HHS: “It’s kind of like the Nixon, ‘deep throat’ type of thing.”

DOJ: “We have a member who works for the people who distribute food stamps...they’re slowing down what they do.” “And so we ran the license plate... we cannot do it officially”

Stephen Miller spoke the truth of the coup when he recently said:

“The attempt at impeachment is best understood as a legislative coup against a democratically elected president, and the radical leftists in Congress are working arm-in-arm with the deep state saboteurs and their allies in the media in order to try to effectuate this illegal coup.”
All of this is not a joke or a game to the Deep State, meaning the unelected shadow government that profits off of corruption.

They are playing to win.

The more information we have about their activities, the less likely it is that they can trick us into allowing it.

###

**Report Of The Special Counsel: 10 Concerns**

1. **It Wasn’t About Election Interference**

Russia did try to interfere in our election.

As I noted in my topline summary of the Special Counsel’s own executive summaries of the nearly 450-page report, in the beginning they were generally fomenting discord (2014-201, but then (201 they turned actively toward pushing the election in the direction of President Trump and away from candidate Hillary Clinton.

Used covert propaganda to sow discord among the American public and undermine the election process. Their tools included social media, advertising, and political rallies.

Hacked into Democrats’ servers, and the personal email of Democratic political figures, and dumped what they found through several phantom social media accounts as well as Wikileaks.

Regardless of whether the United States does the same thing to other countries, what they did violated our laws. However, then-President Obama knew about this since 2014. And in fact, both President Trump and then-President Obama minimized the threat of Russian interference on our electoral process.

A **Political Consolation Prize**

Perhaps it was an investigation influenced by political pressure from Hillary Clinton, who was widely expected to win the election, and who wanted to show that the Russians materially aided her opponent to such an extent that she lost the Presidency due to her. This appears to be the line that the mainstream media is taking. The Washington Post:
“The Russian government interfered in the 2016 presidential election in sweeping and systematic fashion.” One reaction from Congress must be to weigh the evidence of obstruction. The other must be to ensure that Russia — and any other hostile actor — does not succeed in interfering again.

Politico:

“Special counsel Robert Mueller’s long-awaited report hammered home a crucial reminder Thursday: The Kremlin mounted a massive online campaign to wreak havoc on U.S. democracy in 2016.”

It is of course painful for anyone to admit that people just don’t want to vote for them, Russian interference or not.

A PARTISAN FIELD DAY

The real reason for the “Russia collusion” investigation was to undermine the Nation’s confidence in President Trump, stop him from actually doing his job (see for example Senator Schumer’s attempt to “delay” the confirmation vote on Supreme Court Justice Neil Gorsuch over it) and perhaps even get him impeached. (On March 21, 2017, referring to the Russia investigation, Trump-hater Rep. Maxine Waters stated outright: “Get ready for impeachment.”)

It was a witch hunt, just as President Trump says, a statement with which half of Americans agree. Andrew McCarthy writes in the National Review:

Those peddling the ‘Putin hacked the election’ story have always lacked credible evidence that Trump was complicit in the Kremlin’s ‘cyber-espionage’. The Russians apparently started hacking operations in 2014, long before Trump entered the race. The FBI first warned the Democratic National Committee about penetration of its servers in September 2015. By the time Trump won, the Bureau and U.S. intelligence agencies had been working hard to understand the nature and extent of Kremlin-directed hacking operations for two years. Yet, as thorough as the investigation was, no one could credibly say Trump was a participant in Russia’s malfeasance. The best Obama’s notoriously politicized CIA could say was that Trump was Putin’s intended beneficiary.”
Bolstered by the ongoing investigation, numerous media outlets trashed President Trump as “Putin’s pawn.” The belief that the President was somehow indebted to Russia for his election victory led to countless smears by journalists and celebrities alike; the Urban Dictionary now had a new term, “Putin’s Bitch.”

When the report came out exonerating the President, celebrities expressed not relief, but disbelief for example, Bette Midler stated:

“26 Russians [sic] agents deported from US…but....no collusion...Ok. As they say in LA, suck it up and VOTE BLUE in 2020!!”

COMEY’S MORAL COMPASS

James Comey, then-FBI director, initiated the investigation in July 2016. Comey had a personal beef with President Trump; he’s called him “morally unfit to be president.”

But if you review the evidence carefully, it’s too simplistic to say that Comey simply tanked President Trump just because he didn’t approve of him.

At first blush, it does seem that way. Comey refused to clear the air and say publicly from the outset that President Trump was not under investigation (a fact which Comey had stated to the President multiple times). This simple statement of reality would have allowed President Trump to do his job without false stories undermining his motives at every turn.

But Comey was deeply concerned that new information might emerge to implicate the President, and didn’t want to have to reverse himself. He outlined his reasoning in his June 8, 2017, Statement for the Record, James Comey, Senate Select Committee on Intelligence:

“He [President Trump] repeatedly told me, ‘We need to get that fact out’ [that there was no investigation underway of the President]. “I did not tell the president that the FBI and the Department of Justice had been reluctant to make public statements that we did not have an open case on President Trump for a number of reasons, most importantly because it would create a duty to correct, should that change.”

Why are the words “duty to correct” a “loaded term” for Comey? Because the last time he issued an “update” —stating that the Clinton email
investigation had been reopened to examine Huma Abedin’s laptop—the resulting furor damaged Hillary Clinton politically, possibly even costing her the election.

Indeed, among the many things Hillary Clinton blames for her election loss in 2016, Comey’s action is prime among them. She has stated:

“The determining factor was the intervention by Comey on October 28…but for that intervention, I would have won.”

In testimony, Comey stood by his decision on the ground that he had a moral duty to reveal what he knew:

“It makes me mildly nauseous to think that we might have had some impact on the election, but, honestly, it wouldn’t change the decision. Everybody who disagrees with me has to come back to October 28 with me, and stare at this and tell me what you would do. Would you speak or would you conceal?”

Why did Comey think that he should conceal what he knew about the President’s innocence? In the world of securities, at least, the “duty to correct” must be balanced with the “duty to update.”

This is something we will never know. What we do know is that Comey’s firing had something to do with letting people think President Trump was guilty. The termination letter gets this on the record.

2. The “Swamp” Gone off The Rails

In any case, as the Mueller report makes clear, President Trump is visibly frustrated at his situation. Consider how deeply dysfunctional our Nation’s capital is when a single bureaucrat’s “in the weeds” personal judgment can elect a President or tank a Presidency.

Consider what a “swamp” Washington D.C. is when a Presidency is nearly destroyed by the hypocrisy and destructiveness of partisan lawmakers and journalists who let him fry in the court of public opinion for a crime he didn’t commit—solely for political reasons—and then accused him of obstructing justice for protesting.

Amid all the smoke and mirrors here, it is hard to see how outrageously the President Trump was treated. But it was singularly outrageous, and he has every right to be angry.
3. Legitimately Elected, Politically Framed

The entirety of President Trump’s behavior must be understood in the context of his being framed, whether actively or passively. It wasn’t just that he knew he was innocent, it was that the head of the FBI, James Comey, told him so, then said he could not comment on the matter, frustrating all sides.

Not only that, but on March 20, 2017, Comey’s public statement made it look like there was a possibility that Trump was actually guilty:

“The F.B.I., as part of our counterintelligence mission, is investigating the Russian government’s efforts to interfere in the 2016 Presidential election. And that includes investigating the nature of any links between individuals associated with the Trump campaign and the Russian government, and whether there was any coordination between the campaign and Russia’s efforts.”

Future investigations will have to grapple with this fact: Since the President’s innocence was a foregone conclusion, and known to the FBI, anything that the FBI did to pretend he was guilty (or to enable that perception) had the effect of undermining the government and encouraged sedition.

After all, if the President of the United States is an “immoral” “fascist” “bigoted” and a “Nazi,” then isn’t it morally right to join the “resistance?”

4. No Collusion

Despite the Russians’ intent to interfere, there was no collusion on the Trump side, and some of these supposed circumstantial evidence examples are absolutely mind-boggling. Why are they even here?

We are told that the infamous Access Hollywood tape was released (damaging to candidate Trump) and less than 60 minutes later there was a Wikileaks dump. But this doesn’t tell us who timed it for example, Dr. Michael Salla reports that researcher Jerome Corsi stated on April 11, 2018: “About three years ago a group of Generals came to me….they talked to Donald Trump, and Trump had agreed he would run, and they agreed that if he would run, they would conduct their coup d’etat as a legitimate process, rooting out the traitors within government. And that pact between the military and Donald Trump has held.”
Candidate Trump makes a sarcastic comment about the Russians releasing Hillary’s “missing” emails. But the same report states that Trump did not think the Russians were responsible for the Wikileaks hack.

The Trump campaign was interested to learn that Wikileaks might dump damaging emails about Hillary. What is actually noteworthy about this, if President Trump (like everyone else), knows that Hillary is crooked and wants her to be prosecuted?

The “Internet Research Agency” (a front for a Russian oligarch) shared social media posts that were retweeted by campaign members. They also organized campaign rallies and contacted the Trump team about them. But no U.S. citizen colluded with the Russians at all; the IRA employees concealed their identities.

There were meetings on a range of topics between the Trump campaign and various Russian officials and these meetings (including offers of help to the campaign) are not called out as criminal or collusive.

President Trump said he didn’t have business with Russia, when he was negotiating a licensing deal for a branded skyscraper (it was never built) through June 2016. My personal take on it is that President Trump did not think he would win, and the project had already been in the works since 2013.

5. No Obstruction

To the common man or woman (I am not a lawyer), “obstruction of justice” would seem to require a crime. No crime, nothing to obstruct.

But this is not the case. Rather, “obstruction of justice” has to do with the actor’s intention to subvert the legal process itself:

“18 U.S.C. § 1503 defines ‘obstruction of justice’ as an act that corruptly or by threats or force, or by any threatening letter or communication, influences, obstructs, or impedes, or endeavors to influence, obstruct, or impede, the due administration of justice.”

Attorney General Bill Barr determined that President Trump’s actions—whether or not they were obstructive—were not intended to obstruct justice, and as such the examples provided by Special Counsel Mueller were dismissed. At a press conference held on April 18, 2019, he stated:
“Apart from whether the acts were obstructive, this evidence of non-corrupt motives weighs heavily against any allegation that the President had a corrupt intent to obstruct the investigation.”

IT REQUIRES FULL KNOWLEDGE THAT ONE’S ACTIONS ARE ILLEGAL

A group of 12 legal experts consulted by Vox unanimously condemned Barr’s judgment. However, it is not clear to me why they were so definitive. Not only are the examples in the report flimsy, they don’t establish corrupt intent, which is “doing something with the full knowledge that it is illegal.”

THE PRESIDENT IS HIGHLY SOPHISTICATED AND LEGALLY SAVVY

We can say this even as we have heard from the President’s former lawyer Michael Cohen (admittedly a liar) that he is so sophisticated that he speaks in “code,” and that he has experienced the President directing actions without making any explicit statements.

If the President is this sophisticated, it is implausible that he would be so stupid as to make any statements directing obstruction of justice, particularly when he is innocent.

THE PRESIDENT EXPLICITLY STATED THAT HE WAS NOT INTERFERING WITH THE PROCESS

President Trump, in the report, is recorded as stating to Attorney General Sessions, in December 2017: “I’m not going to do anything or direct you to do anything. I just want to be treated fairly.”

MUeller’s FLimsy DATA

A politically biased person will look at any information in such a way as to find guilt. Let’s look at what actually happened without that filter.

White House Personnel

The issue here is whether President Trump indirectly, through the Transition Team, told Mike Flynn to ask Russia to mute its response to election-interference sanctions, then asked a subordinate to write a memo saying he had not done so.

The bottom line is that the subordinate refused to do it, because she didn’t know what Trump had or hadn’t done. But her ignorance, as well as her
concern about being seen as doing him some kind of favor, does not make the President guilty.

The fact of the matter is that we just don’t know, and that ignorance is not a substitute for evidence.

There is another part of the report that talks about the President’s lawyer asking Flynn’s lawyer for a “head up” if something came up that could harm the President, and reacting angrily when that request was refused.

**Counsel Don McGahn**

Trump told White House Counsel Donald McGahn to stop Sessions from recusing. Sessions recused anyway.

The President wanted McGahn to deny a personal conversation surrounding his response to the request to remove Mueller.

McGahn took notes in a meeting with the President, and the President asked him why he did that.

**Department of Justice**

**FBI Director James Comey**

Comey accuses the President of saying that he “required loyalty,” a statement that does not sound like Trump talking.

**Attorney General Jeff Sessions**

President Trump met with Sessions and suggested that if Sessions reversed his recusal and took the investigation back, he’d be a “hero.”

President Trump stated: “I’m not going to do anything or direct you to do anything. I just want to be treated fairly.”

Sessions noted that he’d never seen anything “improper” during the campaign, but refused to reverse his recusal.

**Special Counsel Robert Mueller**

In May of 2017, President Trump suggested that Mueller had conflicts of interest, and his advisors said those had already been factored in by the Department of Justice and ultimately wouldn’t hold up. Here, the President is expressing a concern that others already had.
(If you read and follow #QAnon you could argue that President Trump attempt to get Mueller off the case was really a form of reverse psychology, knowing it would never happen if he asked.)

**Intelligence Community – DNI, CIA, NSA**

In March 2017, knowing that he was not under investigation, President Trump called the Director of National Intelligence and the heads of the CIA and NSA to ask them to say so publicly, since they obviously would know as well. They did not.

**Trump Campaign**

*Paul Manafort, Campaign Chairman*

President Trump praised Manafort while he was on trial, even mentioning pardon, and when convicted, called Manafort was “a brave man” who wouldn’t “break.”

President Trump also said “flipping” “almost ought to be outlawed.”

*Corey Lewandowski, Campaign Manager*

President Trump implores Corey Lewandowski to send a message to Sessions about how unfair all this is. Lewandowski won’t do it and neither will another Trump official, Rick Dearborn.

*Donald Trump, Jr., Advisor*

There’s discussion of the President not wanting to share emails about a meeting with a Russian lawyer (which some have argued reeks of a setup) and of editing Don Jr.’s press (not a statement to law enforcement) about said meeting, then denying it.

**Trump Organization**

*Attorney Michael Cohen*

The President’s flip-flop on Michael Cohen, who initially stayed “on message” re: the Moscow skyscraper deal...then didn’t, is noted.

The project didn’t go through. But President Trump argued that the one (business) had nothing to do with the other (election).

**6. Hypocrisy & Double Standards**
One of the questions not explored in the report is whether the Russians’ activities (including activity ascribed to the Russians, which may have been ordered by non-Russians posing as Russians) actually affected the election results.

This of course is a moot point, because there were many paid actors seeking to influence the election, including the media figures the Hillary campaign pushed narratives to, and the many “media organizations” funded by George Soros, including Media Matters.

In 2006, it was Hillary Clinton herself who sought to rig a foreign election — the Palestinian elections. At the time, she stated:

"I do not think we should have pushed for an election in the Palestinian territories. I think that was a big mistake. And if we were going to push for an election, then we should have made sure that we did something to determine who was going to win."

Interference in foreign elections is something the United States practices with some regularity: 85 countries and counting.

We have also noted, above, that Hillary herself blames Comey’s last-minute decision to reveal an investigation into the contents of Huma Abedin’s computer. That, and 15 other factors, including herself.

7. A No-Integrity Investigation

ANATOMY OF A SETUP

President Trump, the victim of a crime, has called for an investigation. The most important part of this effort will be to find out how the Russia collusion investigation got started in the first place and whether that was legitimate.

Formally, we know that on January 6, 2017, several members of the intelligence community released an “assessment” stating that the Russians interfered in our elections. Immediately following this, three Congressional committees committed to an investigation.

Yet oddly, a month later, then-FBI director James Comey stated that an FBI investigation was already underway. It turns out that effort had been underway for awhile, beginning in July 2016.
What triggered it?

Was it a “moral” inquiry, as far as Comey was concerned?

Why was it kept conveniently hidden so that we only learned about it in March 2017?

Why did it take so long to end – more than 2 years into President Trump’s first term?

While a full discussion is outside the scope of this article, more and more information is coming out to suggest that the entire investigation was a setup, with a fake “Russia dossier” (paid for by Hillary Clinton and the Democratic National Committee) used to weaponize the FBI politically.

Not to mention spying on the Trump campaign, ostensibly to catch Russians.

True election interference.

**DISINFORMATION CONCERNING MUELLER AS A “WHITE HAT”**

One idea promoted by #QAnon early on had Mueller as a secret “white hat” who was actually not investigating President Trump, but rather other people who are actually criminals. On October 31, 2017, in one of the earliest messages to the public, Q asked:

“What is Mueller’s background? Military?

Was Trump asked to run for President w/ assurances made to prevent tampering?

How is POTUS always 5-steps ahead?

Who is helping POTUS?”

Again, on November 1, Q asked:

“Why did Mueller meet POTUS 1-day prior to FBI announcement if Mueller COULD NOT be offered director due to prev term limits rule?

Why is Pelosi begging for a new special counsel?

What is Pelosi’s net worth?
How was this obtained given salary as career official?

Why is Pelosi’s memory going?

Could it protect against prosecution?

How so?”

On December 19, 2017, Q also intimated that the Special Counsel investigation was soon going to close. Asked by an anonymous researcher whether Jared Kushner is “next on Mueller’s list,” Q responded: “End is near.”

However, in a rare moment of agreement, both the Washington Post and #QAnon debunk this notion several years later. On April 11, 2019, Q called the notion that Mueller is somehow a “good actor” or “ethical hacker” character fake news—a “disinformation push.”

What remains unclear is whether Q himself is responsible for that “disinformation push,” perhaps to throw seditious journalists off the scent of the President’s true intentions. As Q stated on November 17, 2017 and again on July 24, 2018 (commenting on a Huffington Post article trying to paint Q followers as violent extremists): “Disinformation is necessary.”

8. “Other Information Discovered” Needs To Come To Light

A number of individuals are named in the Special Counsel’s report as having lied in ways that impaired the investigation: Mike Flynn, Paul Manafort, Michael Cohen, George Papadopolous. And we understand that charges have been brought against the Russians (IRA and GRU) for conspiracy to defraud the US; identity theft; and conspiracy to violate the federal law against computer intrusion.

But what about other players in this game?

On May 17, 2017, Acting Attorney General Rod Rosenstein appointed the Special Counsel to continue the Russian election interference investigation and “any related matters” under 28 C.F.R. § 600.4(a). These “related matters” could include attempts to interfere with or block the Special Counsel’s investigation — and they could also include “new matters that come to light in the course of his or her investigation.”

This may seem like a trivial detail, except that the Special Counsel report we just received has 855 redactions. Not all of them have to do with matters
Currently under investigation, but based on the markings, some of them clearly do.

Here are two potentially important questions, just to serve as examples:

One concerns former President Obama and his weak response to Russian President Vladimir Putin on election meddling, which his Administration was keenly aware of. Was he actually allowing the interference to occur? Is it possible Obama’s conduct toward Putin is one of the matters still under investigation? Certainly, it is odd that Obama told us that he told Putin, about the hacking: “Cut it out” — something you might typically say when when someone splashes water on you, not when they’re trying to interfere in your national elections.

Another unresolved matter concerns Seth Rich, and the widespread belief that he was the one who hacked the DNC server and leaked its contents (did he download it onto a thumb drive a.k.a. “memory stick“?) This ongoing conspiracy theory has reportedly caused Rich’s parents great anguish, but the public has been deeply affected by the death; our concern has been reduced to being labeled “conspiracy theories,” and those who have reported alternative narratives in the case have been sued or forced to issue retractions. Meanwhile, at least one report says that it was the Russian hackers themselves who framed Rich.

9. A Colossal, Bloated Waste of Taxpayer Dollars

Time estimates that the Mueller probe will ultimately cost somewhere between $32-$35 million.

What have we received in return for this enormous expense?

It seems to me that we are merely providing Democrats and others who oppose the President a vehicle for partisan attacks on him, at the expense of the taxpayer.

(On a related note, the government spends a billion dollars per year on advertising; the unfriendly format in which this basic government document was released is nothing less than shocking).

10. Where Are The Charges for Seditious Conspiracy?

Knowingly working with others to make it look like the President is a Russian pawn clearly falls under the crime of seditious conspiracy:
“If two or more persons in any State or Territory, or in any place subject to the jurisdiction of the United States, conspire to overthrow, put down, or to destroy by force the Government of the United States, or to levy war against them, or to oppose by force the authority thereof, or by force to prevent, hinder, or delay the execution of any law of the United States, or by force to seize, take, or possess any property of the United States contrary to the authority thereof, they shall each be fined under this title or imprisoned not more than twenty years, or both.”

What accountability will there be for elected officials, members of the intelligence community, law enforcement officials, representatives of the media, and others—who knowingly promoted the “Russian collusion” lie, followed by a fake, superimposed “obstruction of justice” narrative?

When will we see true justice?

###

**Closing the Final Loop on the Ukraine Whistleblower Hoax**

The coup plotters are accusing the President of “quid pro quo,” meaning that he illegally threatened to withhold duly appropriated foreign aid so as to extort political assistance from a foreign nation. But they are lying, and here is why.

The American Thinker article on October 11, 2019 by J.R. Dunn, “Who is the whistleblower?” lists these potential candidates: Susan M. Gordon, Michael Barry, and Eric Ciaramella. But what about Alexander Daniluk?

“The official version says there was a CIA man, a whistle-blower, who reported to the Democrats. What the version does not clarify, where this whistle-blower was located during the call. I tell you, he was located in Kiev, and he was present at the conversation, at the Ukrainian President Zelensky’s side. This man was (perhaps) a CIA asset, but he also was a close associate of George Soros, and a Ukrainian high-ranking official. His name is Mr Alexander Daniluk.”

This is very important from Robert David Steele:
“The ‘anonymous’ US whistleblower — possibly Eric Ciaramella — is a former CIA analyst (or situation room coffee boy)... being used as a “cut-out” for second hand sources that may include CIA or DNC or Soros ‘assets.’”

Let’s assume that the true Ukrainian informant is former Ukrainian Finance Minister Alexander Daniluk — per journalist Israel Shamir’s interview with exiled Ukrainian leader Oleg Tsarev.

Steele explains that this would mean the so-called official “whistleblower” — rumored to be Eric Ciamarella—played the part of the whistleblower, in collusion with Rep Adam Schiff, the head of the Intelligence Committee.

As previously documented on October 15, 2019, the House of Representatives’ Intelligence Committee page site was altered upon swear-in (Jan. 201 to remove both the scope of the Committee’s jurisdiction and the rules of procedure.

Logically, this is because the plot was to impeach President Trump first and find an excuse later. This was already reported on Inauguration Day itself (January 20, 201 by The Washington Post.

The coup plotters are accusing the President of “quid pro quo,” meaning that he illegally threatened to withhold duly appropriated foreign aid so as to extort political assistance from a foreign nation.

But they are lying, and here is why.

President Trump was doing his job to make sure money was not being diverted, as OMB chief Mick Mulvaney stated in his press briefing of October 17, 2019.

As the Lawfare blog notes, “OMB appears to have withheld the aid for reasons unrelated to the budget.”

What were those reasons?

In October 2018, under then-Attorney General Jeff Sessions, the United States launched an investigation into $3 billion stolen from taxpayers, using the Federal agency USAID.

As Tsarev stated:
“Two years ago, (that is already under President Trump) the United States began to investigate the allocation of 3 billion dollars; it was allocated in 2014, in 2015, in 2016; one billion dollars per year. The investigation showed that the documents were falsified, the money was transferred to Ukraine, and stolen. The investigators tracked each payment, discovered where the money went, where it was spent and how it was stolen. As a result, in October 2018, the U.S. Department of Justice opened a criminal case for ‘Abuse of power and embezzlement of American taxpayers’ money.”

DOJ could not afford to jeopardize an ongoing investigation — that did in fact have to do with Biden, who allegedly, per Tsarev, along with Secretary of State John Kerry and Ukrainian officials, was responsible for illegally diverting the funds.

President Trump did not ask for Biden to be investigated on the July 25, 2019 phone call because the DOJ was already after him for about 9 months.

It has nothing to do with politics.

Within one week of the story breaking on September 19, 2019, several Twitter users (former CIA official Pedro Israel Orta, for example, on September 2 reported that something was amiss with the whistleblower reporting form.

Finally, in testimony before Congress on October 4, 2019, the Intelligence Community Inspector General (ICIG), Michael Atkinson, admitted to backdating its whistleblower report form (having the effect of changing the guidance on reporting to allow secondhand information) but under Congressional examination could not or would not explain why.

Commenting on the matter, House Intelligence Committee ranking member, Rep. Devin Nunes, stated on October 6, 2019 that Atkinson “knowingly changed” the form, adding that he is “either totally incompetent or part of the deep state.”

President Trump did not discuss the investigation with anyone because to do so would have been to compromise it.

This is the same reason the operation to take out the head of ISIS had no leaks — of course.
The people who are behind this coup know what the truth is. That is why they conduct the proceedings in secret, and run away when confronted.

###

The "Green New Deal" As Code for The Collapsing of America

Let's examine the meaning behind Alexandria Ocasio Cortez's "Green New Deal" posters.

Ocasio-Cortez announced the social marketing art campaign on August 30, 2019.

“Surprise! I am thrilled to announce the launch of our #GreenNewDeal art series with custom Bronx & Queens GND posters. The Bronx edition poster will be given for free as a limited release to the public at our Pelham Bay Nature Day & Backpack Giveaway in the Bronx tomorrow.”

The stated purpose of the posters is to "push us to imagine our future with a Green New Deal."

- A standing creature inhabits one of the posters. A globe with rings around it inhabits the other.
- Identical looking people in helmets appear.
- The same logo appears in both: "GND" with what looks like a "world" or "globe" symbol around it.

The immediate reaction from the public was negative, because people know a propaganda campaign when they see one, and they immediately associated the campaign with Soviet propaganda.

Sample sarcastic response: “Well done Comrade! Btw, let's pretend those are Electric Vehicles on this poster...lol.”

But if you do a search of "Soviet propaganda," the look of the poster doesn't quite match for example, here’s one article with a few images. If you look closely at them, the palette is different: red and black, dramatic, evoking rage and violence.
The media quickly rose up to defend "AOC": "The chunky all-caps type, the emphasis on places of natural beauty, and even the color palettes are intended to evoke...the Federal Art Project, an office of the New Deal-era Works Progress Administration."

The (UK) Daily Mail accused anyone who attacked the posters of being a "troll" (rather than a critic); there was no acknowledgment of the discomfort people feel about this extremist.

If you examine the posters and the logo without emotion, one thing that becomes prominent is the resemblance between the depiction of a globe and the symbol of the United Nations.

This matters politically because:

- Fellow "squad" member, Rep. Ilhan Omar, has called for the United Nations to take over U.S. border enforcement efforts.
- One-world government is the goal of the United Nations as per the "Kigali Principles' for Peacekeeping.
- The United Nations has seized upon "climate change" as the excuse to implement one-world government as well.

As Dave Hodges of The Common Sense Show explained for years, "peacekeeping" by the United Nations is another way of saying invasion, overthrow, martial law, and the end of the United States for the sake of space let's cut to Hodges' main argument followed by the 17 principles. (Note: Original link here. Page has been deleted.)

"They are here to take your guns, and usher dissidents into FEMA camps for final distribution.” [See I, Pet Goat II - DB]

“I have repeatedly reported on the Kigali Principles which Obama signed before leaving office. Despite the fact that the documents are public, it has also been reported on in the mainstream media. America, it is a mistake to ignore these principles because the form the root of our future extermination and extinction as a nation."

Indeed Obama did sign this document. Recall the headline from May 11, 2016: "US approves UN use of force to protect civilians in conflict." From that article:

"U.S. Ambassador Samantha Power made the announcement at a high-level U.N. meeting focusing on the responsibility to protect
civilians facing violence, saying the US was ‘proud’ and ‘humbled’
to be joining 28 other countries that have signed on to the Kigali
Principles.”

“‘People and communities under threat, with nowhere to go, need
to know the U.N. will do anything within its scope to provide
protection,’ Netherlands foreign Minister Bert Koenders told the
meeting.”

This is, of course, a perfect pretext for legalizing illegal immigration. And
this is precisely what Obama had planned, per Hodges (all quoted):

“Mass roundup of political dissidents. These will most likely be
declared as terrorists and enemies of the state and will be linked to
the precipitating false flag event. This will be the unfolding of Red
and Blue Lists as spoken of by Steve Quayle for the last couple of
decades. The NDAA will provide the pseudo legal authority to
snatch key Americans out of their homes and off of the street.

Dusk to dawn curfews which are designed to keep the people from
congregating and planning in concert to overthrow the
omnipresent tyranny.

Rationing of essential resources as specified in Executive Order
(EO) 13603.

The seizing of personal assets such as food and water as specified
in EO 13603.

Control over all food and water as specified in EO 13603.

The prohibition of weapons of any kind including guns, knives or
chemicals which can be turned into explosives. It will become a
capital crime to possess a weapon of any type.

The confiscation of property, homes and businesses as provided for
in FM 39.4 and EO 13603.

Arrests without due process (NDAA).

Massive “papers please” checkpoints with intrusive searches.

Forced relocation of suspected American dissidents as specified by
the Civilian Inmate Labor Program and the Civilian Conscription
Program, under the Secretary of Labor, in EO 13603. This will mark the introduction of slave labor in American in which the conscripts are called (unpaid) consultants.

Forced conscription into various labor camps and even into the military (EO 1360). Also medical martial law policies could be invoked in the advent of the introduction of a pathogen

Outlawing of free speech. Following recent terror attacks, AG Loretta Lynch promised federal crackdowns on those speaking out against Muslim extremism in any form.

The installation of massive surveillance programs and the establishment of snitch programs which would mark the rebirth of former Secretary of DHS, Janet Napolitano, in which neighbors are encouraged to “say something, if these see something”. The NSA police state surveillance programs speak for themselves. It is highly recommended that every American go see the Oliver Stone movie, Snowden.

The total control or elimination of religion. The Bible is already being characterized as hate speech. Today, one who is Christian is cast as anti-gay/transgender, anti-government, etc.

Control of the media. Except for the total eradication of the alternative media, this has already happened. ICANN will finish the job.

Executions without due process of law (NDAA).

Total suspension of the Constitution as this is essentially complete in light of actions such as EO 13603 and the NDAA.”

Remember that Admiral Rogers got fired by Obama after warning President Trump about how the NSA was being used to spy on him.

Of course, sustainable living is a good thing. The idea has sparked the engagement and imagination of the public. But the art provided to us by AOC is not genuinely representative of that engagement, because the Green New Deal is not about what it claims to be. (Here's sample art produced by people without an agenda.)
Keep in mind that AOC's ad work here is political; it was produced by the same agency that created the art for the "Justice Democrats," as well as Planned Parenthood. Yet this is an ad agency. So who is the real mastermind here? Where did they get that weird looking art from?

Here is one hypothesis: From a document that describes the overthrow and consequent collapse of the United States of America. It was purportedly published by George Soros' Open Society Institute and is called The Last Election. (of course, as a leaked document, it may be fake.)

Some things are noteworthy here:

- The genderless winged figure in front of the Soros White House is reminiscent of the genderless winged AOC Statue of Liberty.
- The globe on the Green New Deal posters resembles the UN logo as well as the leaked "plan."

Compare the images of men in helmets from the plan (projected chaos) to the images of people in helmets on a supposedly environmental and happy poster. Helmets are not happy.

"Please stand by," says the plan. "We are experiencing collapse of the social order."

Remember - George Soros is a madman. He lived through the collapse of the social order (Holocaust) and has spent his life crashing countries and currencies. If you go to Ella Cruz' website, and download the PDF, you will see that the text of this "plan" matches the 17 principles that Hodges talked about - very closely.

Therefore, this seemingly innocuous campaign is a stark reminder: The danger we face is very real and present. We should not take our enemies lightly. Corrupt parties, as always, sit in leading positions in politics, media and entertainment.

But fortunately they are always restrained by demands that they show obeisance to the devil.

And as such, we can rely on them to be extremely consistent—and obvious, if we only know how to look.
#QAnon is real. The messages are important. And the community of anonymous researchers supporting Q is as well. This is why corrupt entities want to squash it.

I read that in Israel, they hire autistic teens to work in the @IDF because of their special skill set. The same thing should happen over here. Just like ethical hackers, we need anons in the intelligence community on a massive scale.

The old guard knows they are dying.

Last time I checked, about 6% of the American population said they trusted the media.

I believe that MOST people are good.

But it is the bad people who exercise disproportionate influence over the rest.

This is why benevolent dictators and vigilantes are frequently portrayed in entertainment. The fantasy of righting the wrongs is explored as the person behind that dream is of course deeply flawed too.

When I was “waking up” I met others who were doing the same and their first statement was always: “So I am not crazy.”

Remember that in a corrupt system, good people survive by laying low.

In laying low, good people become part of a fake world. A fake social environment designed to replace genuine consciousness with artificially imposed narratives (like “climate change.”)

In a corrupt system, those who are good, who are visionary, and who are effective at galvanizing mass awakening will be removed from the artifice so that the public can “mourn” and the fake society can continue.

Know that this dynamic is an inherent part of group life and will never change until that stage of Messianic times when God awareness is dominant again.

This is why I always dismiss it when people try to demonize whole groups. It’s false thinking.
In a system based on corruption (ours is) the only thing that matters is control of resources (information, money, power, etc.)

In a system based on God worship, things will be different than we have ever experienced in the past.

Most immediately there will be a tribunal. In the collective sense – guilt will be assigned and punishment will be meted out to some extent that is public.

In the near term, within a year, we will experience people saying they were with us all along, but were just scared...all that. The level of public fury will enormous.

Q has said that there are NO outside comms (communications) and to trust the plan. I do.

###
II. The Vital Importance of Government Communication
Federal Communication in Crisis

On June 28, 2019, I started a discussion on the Federal Communicators listserv regarding why the government hadn't responded to a sitting Member of Congress calling U.S. Immigrations and Customs Enforcement and U.S. Customs and Border Protection "radicalized, criminal agencies".

These are law enforcement agencies - making this seditious.

The saga with the Federal Communicators Network has been interesting and difficult as I have been involved with them since I started working for the government in 2004 and take great pride in being a government communicator (all opinions are my own).

I have organized events for them, recruited best practice speakers, and when they were almost dismantled in 2012, I worked with an unbelievably awesome team of volunteers to re-constitute it with a governance structure.

But I have disagreed with their official refusal to take on substantive issues. As a result, recently, I was blocked by them on Twitter over my open support for @NSA_QIL2 being a government-backed entity, and calling them out on it. (Admittedly, I shouldn't have done that - it was too much for the level of consciousness we have right now - my bad.)

In any case, expressed this concern to the listserv about a Congressperson calling federal law enforcement agencies "criminal" - this is an obvious threat to public safety and totally undermines citizens' confidence in government.

A couple of people said "we shouldn't discuss this here" and there were a couple of other negative comments. But shockingly, a few people stepped forward and said we should discuss it, it has value to discuss.

Keep in mind, my question was not a political one, and I would have asked it regardless of who was running the country. The issue is - if government communicators can't respond to the most basic accusations - what are we doing here?

No, we don't control what is said by leadership (a fact many people don't understand) - but shouldn't we at least discuss it?
And then - this was unbelievable - someone said "wait - there is a press conference happening in a few minutes" - and there was indeed a press conference with acting DHS Secretary McAleenan. (My notes are here.)

Not sure as to how the timing worked out on that, but Secretary McAleenan answered all the accusations and nobody seems to have tuned in, picked it up, watched for themselves, or reported on it. Which tells me that the media doesn't want to cover the truth.

There is a larger point at hand.

The psychological mindset among anti-Trump people (at least here in DC) is that he somehow isn't entitled to be President, he isn't a legitimate President, "orange man bad," and so everything else just flows from this basic illegitimacy.

The fact that more than a few people stepped up to say - let's at least have a conversation about how we handle accusations - is a sign of psychological health breaking through this brainwashing.

I have to tell you that I do not understand why the communication function is so poor during this Administration, to the point where agencies literally cannot clarify what they are doing. Not talking does make you look nefarious.

To those who criticized me for bringing up the topic, I said - I would defend any agency - and this includes agencies I routinely criticize, such as the FBI and CIA, because we know that despite the bad that exists everywhere, we need them.

Last point, and as I previously mentioned this is foundational, particularly to understanding the vital importance of government communication

President Trump absolutely did not decide on his own to run for President, in my opinion. He was recruited by the military intelligence community and has their support.

The reason I believe this is that there is 100% no way that any individual could survive the dangerous cesspool that is Washington DC without the support of the military intelligence community. Even if they're significantly divided in many ways, that base has to be there.
The arrests are coming, I do believe that as well, but more importantly the public has to be educated and aware as to why those arrests should come, and why President Trump is not the ogre that his detractors want people to believe.

God bless you all. God bless our great Nation. God bless all branches of the military, all law enforcement agencies, all volunteers, all of you who put yourselves on the line for the rest of us.

###

**An Unverified NSA Account on Twitter**

The account @NSA_QIL (later @NSA_QIL2) cropped up on Twitter in April 2019. What follows is a condensed version of several posts where I attempted to capture the information shared, analyze and understand it.

**Introduction**

I believe this account to be an official government Twitter account sponsored by NSA, just as stated in the bio. (Government Twitter accounts do not have to have the blue Twitter verification checkmark.)

Why this account, why now? Now that more information about the extent of worldwide child sex trafficking is imminent, the government is trying to prepare us psychologically. The first step was to share information through 8Chan.net (#QAnon); the next is to approach a broader audience through Twitter.

These are public messages, intended to help the public acclimate to reality. They are not intended to reveal graphic, classified, or law enforcement sensitive material. The true extent of the crimes against children which have been intercepted by the NSA will never be revealed. As Q stated on February 11, 2018 (message #738): "If certain intel is released it would cause a WW [world war]/mass suffering. We share the idea of open source but value life and must make decisions base decisions on outcomes and containability."

**Homework**

I have contacted NSA’s media team, the NSA IG and Twitter out of multiple concerns.
Number one, if this is a fake account it needs to be taken down, but it has been reported by me and others, and hasn’t been. That leads me to continue to believe that this is a genuine official government account.

Number two, if this is a genuine government accounts then its policies need to be published somewhere. I was very concerned to see over the weekend that the account was trolling private individuals, making fun of them, including a reporter from the Washington Post. I think this raises some real concerns and they need to be addressed.

Number three the concern that I have is the contractors are running this account and not federal civil servants. This concern may be addressed if you look at the screenshot in this post. (It is not my post; someone shared it from elsewhere, probably 8Chan). Perhaps this is a method of disclosure to the public and it is going around the traditional channels, but I am concerned at the lack of professionalism and apparent lack of oversight.

Number four, I am concerned that this account states that it follows people who are targets as well as others. What are we to make of the government revealing to us who the targets are of its surveillance.

Fifth, there is the issue of the impression that only certain political parties are being investigated or that investigations on them are being shared and not others.

Sixth, more generally, how are they choosing who to talk about and what should we in the public understand are the rules that govern the national security choices being made here?

Seventh, if the military is communicating to the public now, are we in a state of martial law and this is being couched? The public needs to know what is going on.

Is This Really NSA?

"NSA Child Crimes" states in its bio:

"official NSA account for operations “Flicker” “Pandora’s Box” “P-Gate” and “NXIVM” Follows targets, allies and serious researchers. #Uranium1#FISAGate #NXIVM"
In other words, the job of the people running this account is, at least in part, to communicate about federal surveillance of targets who are in some way associated with investigations of crimes against children.

This is an unusual mission, but it is of interest to the public, just like the State Dept. issues its Trafficking in Persons report annually.

**Good Federal Communication?**

As far as the strategic decision to make the public aware of investigative targets, this account states that it works with law enforcement — to assist them.

My personal opinion is that it is important for the government to communicate that child safety investigations are real, so as to clear up the misperception that law enforcement actions are just a form of political hype.

Misperceptions and politicized policy can adversely affect the safety of children. For example, separating children from the individuals who illegally cross the border with them is a protective measure that has been flipped around and termed "children in cages" by President Trump's political adversaries.

**Relevance to Federal Communication**

If this is an official government account, the implications are huge.

For one thing, this would represent the U.S. federal government officially recognizing "pizzagate," which until now has been deemed a "baseless conspiracy" (and a "disturbing" one) by the media.

For another, the assertions made in the few tweets thus far are extremely strong and differ from any public messaging we have heard so far on topics vital to citizen researchers.

It would also be a step toward naming political elites as participants in crimes against children - the follower list (see the end) is specifically described as containing "targets, allies, and serious researchers." That list also contains the names of members of Congress, journalists, and celebrities.

**A Strong Caution**

Overall, based on the way account's setup, details, and similar nature to #QAnon messages, I believe this account to be real. But if it is fake, it should
be outed and deleted, because it has significant potential for misleading the public.

**But This Crosses So Many Lines!**

No sharing of classified information (see above). Ever.

No PR: As an official government Twitter account, the Tweets may not cross from fact to public relations (Gillett Amendment of 1913: "Appropriated funds may not be used to pay a publicity expert unless specifically appropriated for that purpose.")

Yes to fact-based public diplomacy: As of 2013, it became legal for the Federal government to broadcast government-made news domestically (this is known as the repeal of the Smith-Mundt Act of 1948).

No violations of the Hatch Act are allowed. The purpose of the law is to keep the federal workplace free from partisanship and cronyism based on political affiliation. Per the office of Special Counsel: “Political activity' refers to any activity directed at the success or failure of a political party or partisan political group (collectively referred to as “partisan groups”), or candidate in a partisan race." Statements of fact do not violate the Hatch Act.

There is no reason for information-sharing to be curtailed in order to protect the prospects of a political candidate.

**Related: QAnon and the Hatch Act**

This account may be a successor to #QAnon, but as a civil service-run account, it cannot be political in nature.

QAnon, or Q, is an explicitly partisan communicator (e.g. remarking about those that remained "pro MAGA and loyal to POTUS," February 17, 2019.)

However, Q has implied (November 2, 2018) that he/she has already survived a Hatch Act inquiry by a group of Congressional Democrats who sent a letter to White House counsel Don McGahn late last year. This means, to me, that Q is allowed to make political statements on the job. How is this possible?

It is possible that Q is a contractor. Federal contractors are not subject to the Hatch Act.

An individual appointed by the President, confirmed by the Senate, located in the U.S., who determines U.S. policy in relation to "foreign powers or
in the nationwide administration of Federal laws" is exempt from the Hatch Act.

Individuals paid through appropriations to the Executive office of The President are not subject to the Hatch Act as well. See the FY2018 Congressional Budget Submission for the EOP (screenshot below) for its components.

**Name and Graphical Analysis**

The name on the account is "NSA Child Crimes."

Uses the NSA seal.

Name contains graphic of a clock showing hands of the clock at midnight: ??

Handle: @NSA_QIL

QIL = Questions of International Law (I believe)

Joined Twitter: March 2019

First Tweet: Date and time: April 21, 2019, 10:51 p.m.

Text: "Recognize me?"


Account Bio: "official NSA account for operations “Flicker” “Pandora’s Box” “P-Gate” and “NXIVM.”"

Hashtags in Bio: #Uranium1, #FISAGate, #NXIVM"

Accounts Followed: @55true4u, @Acosta, @AGLynch, @AlanDersh, @alexjonesshows, @algore, @allisonmack, @Alwaleed_Talal, @AmandaRenteria, @AmbassadorRice, @AmbJohnBolton, @ananavarro, @andersoncooper, @AOC, @AprilDRyan, @ArtistisPresent, @ATFHQ, @BarackObama, @BillClinton, @BretBaier, @BrettKavanaugh, @brithume, @ByronYork, @charliekirk11, @ChrisMurphyCT, @ChuckGrassley, @CIA, @cyber, @DashenAnon, @dbongino, @DefenseIntel, @DeptoDefence, @DevinNunes, @DHSgov, @DineshDSouza, @DLoesch, @DoD_IG, @doioig, @DonaldJTrumpJr, @donlemon, @DrDannielle, @DWStweets,
@Education4Libs, @elonmusk, @EricHolder, @ericschmidt, @EricSneiderNY, @erikprince, @Europol, @ewarren, @FBI, @finkd, @foxforgovernor, @GenFlynn, @GeorgeWBush__, @GinaHaspel1, @Google, @GovMikeHuckabee, @HassanRouhani, @HillaryClinton, @HumaAbedin, @ICEgov, @IlhanMN, @Imamofpeace, @IngrahamAngle, @INTERPOL_Cyber, @INTERPOL_HQ, @intheMatrixxx, @IvankaTrump, @jack, @JacksonLeeTX18, @jaketapper, @jaredkushner, @Jim_Jordan, @jimmykimmel, @JoeBiden, @JohnBrennan, @JohnKerry, @johnpodesta, @JohnWHuber, @Jordan_Sather_, @JoyAnnReid, @jsolomonReports, @JussieSmollett, @JusticeOIG, @KamalaHarris, @laurawbush, @Lawrence, @LindseyGrahamSC, @LisaMei62, @maddow, @maggieNYT, @marcorubio, @MARFORCYBER, @markleavinshow, @michaelianblack, @MissLaneley, @MittRomney, @morningmika, @newtggingrich, @NSAGov, @ODNIgov, @PaulWrightforNC, @petestrzok, @Plaid_unKim, @Pontifex, President Trump, @prayingmedic, @PreetBharara, @Queen_Europe, @RandPaul, @RashidaTlaib, @RealCandaceO, @realDonaldTrump, @RepAdamSchiff, @RepCummings, @RepGoodlatte, @RepJerryNadler, @RepMaxineWaters, @RepSwalwell, @rothschildmd, @RudyGiuliani, @SallyQYates, @SamanthaJPower, @SaRaAshcraft, @SaraCarterDC, @SarahKSilverman, @ScottPruittOK, @seanhannity, @SebGorka, @SecPompeo, @SecretService, @SenatorDurbin, @SenatorTester, @SenBlumenthal, @SenFeinstein, @SenGillibrand, @SenJackReed, @SenSanders, @SenSchumer, @SenTedCruz, @SenWarren, @SenWhitehouse, @ShepNewsTeam, @Side_Piece_45, @Snowden, @SoccerMouaz, @SpeakerPelosi, @SpeakerRyan, @StateDept, @StephenAtHome, @SteveDaines, @StorchGetEm, @TeamCavuto, @tedcruz, @tedlieu, @TGowdySC, @TheJusticeDept, @TomFitton, @TrumpWarRoom, @TuckerCarlson, @Twitter, @TwitterSupport, @USNavy, @ValerieJarrett, @VanJones68, @VladPutin1, @VP, @WhiteHouse, @wikileaks, @YouTube

Note: Profile states that the account: "Follows targets, allies and serious researchers." List is current as of April 30, 2019 (171 total). I am not related to Richard Blumenthal.

The first handle followed was the official NSA Twitter account.

Location: Points to 18 U.S. Code Section 798, the law pertaining to "disclosure of classified information."

Header Photo Graphic: Shows official NSA tweet issued December 4, 2016, at 11:48 a.m. Text of that tweet: "#pizzagate is real. Do not give up the
citizen investigation. This tweet will be deleted. Pre-commitment: John Podesta 4bb96075acadc3d80b5.

Sample Tweets (edited slightly for readability):

On “Pizzagate:”

"[James] Alefantis was engaging in behavior that should have drawn the attention of even the most cynical agents in the @FBI @NSAGov and @cyber. The @FBI can act like there was no grounds for an investigation into #Pizzagate but that’s just cover for political allegiances." #Qanon #QNSA

"#Pizzagate is a lot bigger than just James Alefantis and Comet Pizza, but we might as well start there. "#QAnon #GreatAwakening

"If you have a hard time recognizing a staged event examine those who are impacted the most." https://www.nytimes.com/2017/06/22/us/pizzagate-attack-sentence.html#Qanon #Pizzagate #FalseFlag

"Remember when looking at #pizzagate and related material to take an occasional break for your own mental health. We’re dealing with some really nasty stuff here. Stay Positive! You guys help save lives!!" #Qanon #NationalSecurity #QNN #UTheNews #StayUp

On the CIA and Trafficking:

"of course it’s not just America’s drugs that are coming up through the border. Chinese containers shipped in to Mexico, Mexican Coyotes smuggle drugs and children in US with no resistance. Containers reloaded in US and sold abroad. Textbook @CIA" https://abcnews.go.com/US/authorities-seize-record-setting-17-tons-meth-worth/story?id=60932466

"Fentanyl is powerful and addictive. Now that the @US_FDA has no use for the Fentanyl China was increasing production of during the second half of the Obama administration the @CIA is free to push the drug wherever they believe it will do the most damage." https://www.statnews.com/2016/04/05/fentanyl-traced-to-china/
On Seth Rich/CIA False Flag:

"The most damaging e-mails in the leaks provided to @wikileaks by Seth Rich were the E-mails that referenced the #NXIVM activities that major politicians of both parties were engaging in. The emails were so damaging that the @CIA created a false flag event to cover the blow back."

Twitter Censorship

"Unfortunately for @jack and @ericschmidt throttling these accounts won’t slow down #TheStorm. It won’t stop pedophiles and exploitationists like #HarveyWeinstein from facing the music. It won’t save you. And no one is sorry for that" #Qanon #maga #Censorship #NXIVM #WeHaveItAll

"Dont let the mod bots at #Twitter censor your work Tripple (sic) check your settings and keep your communications open and upbeat Remember we’re winning the war!" #Censorship #Qanon #Qanons #Pizzagate

Islam As Cover for Bank Fraud:

"Islam has been used by the elite to cover for bank fraud for generations. Don’t forget building 7. Enron + Insurance. #NotreDame + #SriLanka = Distraction/Slush fund generator. Clinton Foundation hearing footsteps. Don’t get distracted with race." #Qanon #ClintonCorruption

"Was the @BarackObama State Department targeting compromised individuals to assure smooth access at the ports? of course...." #Qanon #Qanons #Qarmy #PedoGate #Pizzagate

"Operation Flicker. @CNN knows. @ChuckGrassley knows .@andersoncooper knows Who is trying to keep it hidden?" https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=o6W8H5Z0XAc&feature=youtu.be #Qanon #PedoGate #Pizzagate #LockHerUp

On terror attacks:

"Sri Lanka just happens to be an Island Neighbor of India. Any Satanic Criminals hiding out in India lately who might benefit
from a terror attack targeting Christians? #SriLankaTerrorAttack #Qanon #FalseFlag #NXIVM #Pizzagate #WeSeeYou

On persecution of Christians:

"Persecution is a tenant (sic) of faith in the Christian Community. Even so it’s amazing to see a President who is so in tune with the modern suffering of Christians home and abroad. Thank you for keeping us in mind Mr President" #Easter #Faith #Hope #Love

https://twitter.com/realdonaldtrump/status/1120383575377969153

“Ask Me Anything” Twitter Session, April 26, 2019

Q: Are any of Huber’s investigation(s) aimed at investigating crimes against children?

A: Nothing leaks out of Utah. Source? That’s my home office. Am I working on crimes against children for Huber?

Q: Are all the ratlines closed yet? [Ratlines = supply lines, like child smuggling for trafficking purposes; drug smuggling; etc. - Ed.]

A: No.

Q: Some victims on twitter say the "real" purpose of child trafficking is cannibalism - ie, the end product is more important than the sexual deviancies. True?

A: Again children are used for whatever they are useful for. One of the big problems with tracking children that cross the border is that in a lot of cases they are being taken back across the border to be used in further smuggling operations.

Q: Are children being used not only for sexual exploits, but also, for their organs and spinal fluid (adrenochrome supply)?

A: Exploitation runs the pyramid. Humans As Currency is a philosophy that needs to be eliminated from the public consciousness. These children are being treated as a commodity.

Q: We did some Q triggered research awhile back on following red shoes and doors and trafficking through Arkansas and golf courses
around the time the DOJ planes came through Bentonville. Any suggestions on further digging that angle?

A: Tony and Alex Podesta are natural ins to the universe of red shoes. (Think walking through blood). #Qanon #Pedogate #Pizzagate

Q: How many child sacrifices were attempted over Easter? Either worldwide or just US alone? I heard 800 child remains were uncovered recently? Were these recent killings? Is it true about the child sacrifice / torture rooms under the Getty center?

A: You my friend are getting your own thread...#Qanon #QNSA #QFBI [Not yet posted. - Ed.]

Q: What do you think are some of the best ways for folks that aren’t directly working on the Mission to help out?

A: Research and compile! Truth is infectious.

Q: I do live feeds everyday on Facebook. I talk about it every minute every where I go. People generally are to caught up with their lives to care. Honesty from my heart. People do not care.

A: Do you ever think about being the catalyst behind your community change? Make a documentary of your findings... include your neighbors. Everyone is going to be responsible for ensuring these crimes are not allowed to continue.

Q: Is JS [Jeff Sessions - ed.] still involved in the "plan" somehow?

A: Absolutely. Sessions knew his office was being bugged by @FBI. His knowledge on #FISAgate is critical to the rest of the story whether he ends up testifying in an open setting or not.

Q: Does Jeff Sessions "know" about the rampant pedophilia in government circles, and is that why he "swatted" away Biden's hand?

A: Yes.

Q: What is going on on Martha’s Vineyard? Too many connections and lots of elites and children.
A: They stopped talking to @AlanDersh for a reason.

Q: Is Assange working with Trump? How much does Assange know about Seth Rich?

A: Yes. All of it. Assange has been involved from the start.

Q: Is Cathy O'Brien telling the truth about being raped by HRC as a child?

A: That’s a wild story to be sure. Most of the victims we deal with are far less sensationalized. Although there are many famous names under the victims and perps list to be sure.

Q: Is it Obama or the Pope?

A: John says the Antichrist is anyone who claims the Christ has not returned when he has.

Q: Biden, Biden, Biden. I see the videos of his hands crawling down to rest over girls' (NSFW). Will we see other evidence involving Biden and pedophilia?

A: Besides watching him assault children/women on National Television? @realDonaldTrump holds all the cards in terms of declass and the congressional sex assault slush fund.

Q: Speaking of soft disclosures to get us prepared, is "Skippy" John Podesta?

A: Without a doubt.

Q: It’s Podesta’s time?

A: Which one? Just kidding. @johnpodesta has been living a fate worse than death ever since that concession speech. Tony and Weinstein are trying to keep their cases from overlapping. @DevinNunes referrals should get the ball rolling.

Q: Schiff and the Standard Hotel. Anything else you can share with us right now on that topic?
A: Don’t look in the sewer. A lot of Trump’s most ardent detractors have literal skeletons in their closets.

Q: Has Rachel Chandler been indicted? If so, is she cooperating with authorities?

A: #NXIVM culprits can’t turn on each other fast enough. Everyone is trying to get 6 years instead of life. Keith Rainiere pleading not guilty is a good thing.

Q: What is on the Weiner laptop and are it contents with someone who will use it to prosecute?

A: What kind of stuff does a person like that keep on their computers? Seasoned Agents at NYPD were having breakdowns. And that’s without mentioning the classified e-mails.

Q: When will the dam break?

A: The Dam breaks when the black print on D5 of the Mueller Report gets declassified. [This is a page number. - Ed.]

Q: How much will brought out to the public by 2020?

A: Presidential races are critical to disclosure as well as discovery.

Question 4: What things did your boss tell you not to talk about at all? (<This is a trick question! lol)

A: The Awan Brothers.

Q: How is the drug industry related to human trafficking? Who gets all the money? Where does the money go? Where do the trafficked children go? What ARE the drugs???

A: This is several questions with a lot of moving parts. It deserves its own thread...Like this one! #Qanon #DrugTrafficking #QNSA.

Let’s start with the last question first and discuss the drugs being used in trafficking, as coyotes are known for smuggling both drugs and human beings for the Cartel. We’ll focus on the southern border for now and ignore the ports (high end smuggling) for a bit. Fentanyl is powerful and addictive. Now that the @US_FDA has no use for the Fentanyl China was increasing production of during
the second half of the Obama administration the @CIA is free to push the drug wherever they believe it will do the most damage.

Of course it’s not just America’s drugs that are coming up through the border. Chinese containers shipped in to Mexico. Mexican Coyotes smuggle drugs and children in US with no resistance. Containers reloaded in US and sold abroad. Textbook @CIA.

Q: What is Happening In NzLnd [New Zealand - ed.]? Why does it seem they are Shutting down the Island over a FF?
A: They were shutting the island down before the FF. Hence the FF. [FF = false flag. - Ed.]

Q: What was the Rice Univ campus shape post about?
A: Illuminati triangles. Check the points.

Q: Are there clones presenting themselves as some of the elite cabal members, not mentioning any names?
A: Cloning is expensive. Body doubles are getting more costly to control though.

Q: Would you be in a position to comment on who and/or what George o’Saurus answers to. Was he groomed in childhood to provide a future host for a parasitic entity?
A: Bilderberg is a group. These people are groomed in childhood to be terrible “human beings.” Host entities are usually a symptom of D.I.D. from P.T.S.D. [DID = dissociative identity disorder. PTSD - post-traumatic stress disorder - Ed.]

Q: Your account is not verified. It’s a fair statement.
A: The account won’t be verified. That’s how innocent people die.

Q: Anyone can follow and endorse other government accounts. Anyone can sound like Q. Anyone can cite law in their bio. You can create an exact duplicate of this account in under 10 minutes. There is nothing that distinguishes this account from an imposter....It’s really not nice to dupe the rubes, man.
A: The obvious exception in this case would be the credibility of the creators of this account. Also it is definitely a crime to impersonate a government agency. @NSAGov @FBI @CIA can’t claim ignorance of back channel ops at this point. FVEY being a separate issue. #Qanon #QNSA. Come to the AMA tomorrow and I’ll be sure to take your questions. People like you are invaluable to the process. #Qanon #AMA [Note: These are two separate answers to the same person, merged. - Ed.]

###
Federal Communication Is National Security

I was thinking about this idea that “federal communication is national security” today (that in a way, it is similar to cybersecurity) and developed the below logical flow as a way of thinking about it.

Clearly, the post raises more questions than it answers. That’s okay with me.

1. Disinformation is a tool of war.

“There can be a continuing struggle to define the national and international debate/discussion on terms favorable to one side, causing a clash between the competing narratives of the actors involved. This is often what is referred to as the ‘battle of the narrative.’” – Commander’s Communication Synchronization Joint Doctrine Note (JDN 2-13), pp. ix-x

“Insurgents are not constrained by truth; they create propaganda that furthers their aims. Insurgent propaganda may include lying, deception, and creating false causes.... point out the insurgency’s propaganda and lies.” – U.S. Counterinsurgency Field Manual (FM 3-24), p. 5-8

2. The threats posted by disinformation are current, intentional and real. Our enemies are actively attacking us using this tactic.

“Hostile forces, employing automated bots, leverage the blind spots and biases of unwitting Americans to help them send falsehoods flying to spread division and demoralization....Figuring out how to fight back, in a free society of open communication, is the most urgent national security challenge we face.” – D. Von Drehle, “The Disinformation Factory Threatening National Security,” The Washington Post, February 18, 2018

3. Federal communicators can defend the country against insurgents by taking an evidence-based approach to effective communication.

“We argue for a scientific approach to online misinformation and disinformation. Such an approach must be grounded in empirically validated theory, and is necessarily interdisciplinary, requiring insights from the social sciences, decision science,

4. As part of this evidence-based approach, we need to accept and understand that “dominating the airwaves” with a “flood of data” is not the answer. Rather, we need to engage different stakeholders in a broad national conversation aimed at facts.

“Co-creation is the most adequate method for achieving the right equilibrium between actors and types of solutions against misinformation. By promoting this method governments have the possibility to promote the interaction between researchers, journalists, private sector, non-profit sector and citizens with minimal intervention.” – V. Koulolias, et al., 2018. Combating Misinformation: An Ecosystem in Co-creation. A report by CA, eGovlab of Stockholm University, the Open University in UK and the OECD.

5. Because we have failed to leverage known methods of effective communication, and because we aren’t working proactively or as a united front, we have failed to counter disinformation.

“Our messages and actions are typically disunified,’ said Matthew Armstrong, an associate fellow at the center for strategic communications at King’s College London. ‘Our response to adversarial propaganda is almost invariably reactionary.’” – M. Chalfant, “U.S. falling short in countering propaganda from other nations, experts tell Congress,” TheHill.com, March 5, 2017.

6. As federal communicators working on a day-to-day level, one of our major struggles has to do with navigating the gray area between providing information and employing appropriate methods of persuasion.

“Civil servants navigate gray areas. At one end of the spectrum is the provision of factual information in dry government reports. At the opposite end is ‘PR to gain public support.’ In the middle are programs to persuade the public to do something, such as give up smoking or use government services. Messages such as these implicitly carry endorsements for government policy. – J. M.

7. Sometimes it can be difficult to tell the two apart.

“The line between advocacy and manipulation is hard to define, nearly impossible to enforce and arguably must be drawn differently for different classes of government workers.” – J. M. Hamilton and K. R. Kosar, “Government Information and Propaganda: How To Draw A Line?” R Street Policy Study No. 73, October 2016, p. 4.

8. However, the actual apparatus of government will stop working if federal communicators are not empowered to communicate on the level of fact.


9. Yet data alone is not enough. If we are going to fight back against our enemies effectively, we have to explain to the public what’s going on and what a solution to the hostilities looks like.

“A key component of the narrative is establishing the reasons for and desired outcomes of the conflict, in terms understandable to relevant publics.” – Commander’s Communication Synchronization Joint Doctrine Note (JDN 2-13), pp. ix-x

10. As we embark on this path, it is important to remain sensitive to the distinction between the Federal communicator and the political appointee. (Both are bound by the Hatch Act).

“Ideally, the two can work together in a complementary way to support the free flow of information about government plans, policies and programmes between the elected politicians and the public.” – OECD (1996), “Effective Communications Between the Public Service and the Media,” SIGMA Papers, No. 9, OECD Publishing, Paris.

###
How to Answer A Question from The Public

Over the years, Federal Government communication has become very “fancy” as the technologies at our disposal have grown more sophisticated and our audiences, swimming in digital waters most of the time, are increasingly expecting the government to match private-sector responsiveness.

But our most essential job is to be accountable to the public. We receive appropriations (federal money set aside for operating federal agencies), but we can’t just spend funding as we wish; our expenses, and the way in which we manage them, are the province of the taxpayer, and we need to demonstrate that we are stewarding their funds responsibly.

As part of this task, our most basic obligation is to answer questions properly.

Working in a variety of federal government agencies over the past 15 years, I have observed that the simple act of receiving a question turns many a bureaucrat’s eyes wide. “I don’t want to end up on the cover of The Washington Post,” is the unspoken refrain. “I don’t want to have any headaches from saying the wrong thing.”

We’re talking about seasoned, senior leaders here. So what is it that has them so uncomfortable? Why is it that answers to even the simplest questions—never mind a complicated query or a complaint—can take so long to receive?

Well, there are a few things that get in the way, such as:

- Templates. Every executive prefers to use previously approved answers if they can. Words that have been used before, preferably more than a few times and during an existing administration, are considered “blessed text,” and therefore safe to share with the public. It’s the variability that can get a leader in trouble—whether from preferred messages, preferred words, and even preferred ways of articulating objective statistics.
- Approvals. Every agency has a pecking order that determines how words are generated and delivered to the public. It is a mark of senior status to be the last person to approve of words. This, in turn, can generate huge delays, as writers (“lowest on the totem
haggle with subject matter experts, who must agree with the text before a director will even consider it.

- **Culture of Delay.** In the civil service, the organizational culture typically decrees that the weightier a question, the longer it will take to deliver an answer. In several of my jobs in the federal government, I have been responsible for coordinating responses to complex questions, and it has not been unusual for deliberations of the answer to take more than three months to coordinate.

- **Customer Defocus.** At the end of the day, “we” in the government are responsible for the people, but I recall being plainly told, many years ago, that my true audience was agency leadership – and not the taxpayer. This was something I found shocking at the time, but now I understand that it is the nature of the administrative state to expand itself, and as such the cynical words were just reality.

- **Lack of Standards.** While the fact that plain language is the law (since 2010) has helped improve Federal Government communication considerably, even then it tends to move the goalpost slowly, as squabbles erupt over who has the best way of saying things plainly. Similarly, although there are clearly time-tested, common-sense best practices that can be learned and applied to the realm of questions and answers, there is a stubborn unevenness to agency communication across the board, resulting in a tolerance of poor work as “just how we do things around here.”

So how do we fix this “monster mess”? The truth is, it’s not all bad – a few small tweaks here and there can make an enormous difference. The key is to ride the wave of the things that government likes to do anyway:

- **Templates:** The preference of government for user templates provides a neat entree into simplifying the process of responding to customer questions. At the very least, every communication shop can collect the answers that have already been provided to the public into a simple Word document. As the questions change or evolve, the newer answers can be added to the reference book, so that simple queries are handled at a more junior staff level, without the need for a protracted concurrence process.

- **Lean Six Sigma:** While bureaucrats are averse to change and to risk, they absolutely love anything that helps to cut through red tape. If you can somehow engage the office in a discussion of quality
and efficiency through a reduction in excessive steps to a process, you have struck gold.

- Benchmarking: Some agencies are kind of insular, while others always seem to be “out and about” at local free best practice events and conferences, talking about their work. Even if you don’t think you need the information, it’s a good idea to encourage your office to get out there and simply talk to other people.

When you do send an answer to a question, here are some things to keep in mind:

- Emotional Care: Agencies tend to be defensive, because they interpret a question as a kind of attack Although this can be challenging, remember that to the person asking the question, the government “holds all the cards,” and they would rather not be asking you anything in the first place. Keep your tone empathetic; put yourself in their frustrated shoes.
- Direct and Thorough: As mentioned previously, the customer is only writing due to some sort of pain. The only “salve” they will accept is a complete and total accounting of the matter that concerns them. Even if you can’t give them what they want (which will in fact be often), you should not shy away from telling them what you can, while acknowledging that some things are outside your purview or span of control.
- Think Visual, Not Verbal: The civil service is filled with highly educated people, and those people tend to write a lot of words in response to a simple question. However, the public thinks in visual terms – think advertising, not blog post – and it is therefore helpful to respond to questions in a way that can be easily digested visually. This doesn’t mean (as many fear) that you should oversimplify your responses to questions at all. It does mean that your content should be intuitively understandable, grow more complex as per the demands of the user, and that you should avoid passively-aggressively bombarding the user with text.

In the future, of course, this whole conversation will be overcome by events, as agencies increasingly incorporate artificial intelligence, particularly instant messages and “chatbots,” to direct individuals to the content most likely to answer their questions.
In addition, there will likely be an emphasis on cutting staff costs and instead adopting a model where a few experts insert standardized responses to key questions in a database, which is then supplemented by a user “community” offering non-certified answers that are clearly marked as such. While this may seem unrealistic right now, in the future as cultural expectations around government change, this type of forum (already in use in the private sector) could well become a standard part of the landscape.

All in all, customer service – at one time the “neglected stepchild” of public affairs, superior only to internal communications in status – is ascending in importance as the public has begun to demand answers, not just request them. As expectations continue to ratchet upward and technology marches apace, we will likely see a response from the government that emphasizes cost-cutting and minimal deviation (read: human interaction) to the greatest extent possible. Yet no matter how standardized and automated customer service becomes, there will always be a need on the part of the customer for an authoritative response to complex questions, delivered by a caring human being with integrity.

As such, customer service is a critical government function, one that can never be fully outsourced or replaced.

###
III. Transforming Bureaucracy
Civil Service Reform: Everything Old Is New Again

On March 13, 2017, President Donald J. Trump’s Executive Order 13781 outlined a reorganization plan for the Executive Branch of the Federal government, e.g. the Civil Service.

One month later, on April 12, this was joined by OMB Memorandum M-17–22, “Comprehensive Plan for Reforming the Federal Government and Reducing the Federal Civilian Workforce.”

These plans have never been retracted.

Goal

The OMB memorandum highlights the desired end state: A civil service that is “lean, accountable, and more efficient.” It notes that:

The public is dissatisfied with the current level of cost and performance associated with the Federal government.

Too often, “solutions” don’t actually solve problems but rather invent them—so as to make the proposed program rational.

Creating new programs is not a real achievement. However, it is an achievement to dismantle or fix a program that isn’t working well.

Actions to Be Taken

As part of the plan to reform the civil service, three action steps are outlined by OMB:

- Shrink the federal workforce, at first through a hiring freeze and later through agency reform plans. “The Agency Reform Plans must include proposals for the agency’s long term workforce reduction plan...and be aligned with the draft agency strategic plan.”
- Plan to improve employee performance, at least partly by eliminating excessive layers of management to allow frontline employees to serve the public more efficiently.
- Develop a shared services model to provide customer service more efficiently, reducing the costs associated with working in single-agency stovepipes.
Civil service reform was envisioned to occur as part of the fiscal year 2019 budget (October 1, 2018 through September 31, 2019).

**Method**

OMB asked agencies were asked to take a look at their individual missions and determine whether activities and programs underway were contributing or not. The idea was to eliminate (or restructure) anything that: 1) could be done better by the private sector, 2) wasn’t necessary 3) duplicated a service offered elsewhere in the government, 5) was inefficient, or 5) wasn’t worth the cost.

If a program was necessary but provided poor customer service, agencies were asked to improve its performance.

**Key Milestones**

February 22, 2018: The Congressional Research Service releases a report, “FY2019 Budget: Government Reorganization and Federal Workforce Reform,” summarizing how the budget proposes to implement this, including through reorganization and consolidation. The CRS report links to a fact sheet emphasizing (similar to the prior Administration) the importance of shifting toward “high-value work” and transparent, data-driven decision-making. It also talks about private sector compensation models and improved human capital management.

June 18, 2018: Reorganization plan is issued around four principles: “Refocus” (e.g. eliminate extraneous programs), “accountability,” “prioritize,” and “communication and coordination.”

September 12, 2018: White House event hosted by OMB and the Mitre Corp., with “some 150 agency alumni, corporate specialists and academics…for an off-the-record symposium on fixing what ails the federal workforce.” OMB Deputy Director Margaret Weichert calls for integrating “passion and rhetoric and profound commitment with the actual facts.”

October 11, 2018: The office of Personnel Management announces streamlined hiring for a range of science and technology-related careers, particularly IT, cybersecurity, and STEM.

December 22, 2018: The Federal government partially shuts down for about a month due to lack of agreement over the FY19 budget. This generates
headlines about the difficult circumstances encountered by federal employees who were not at work, and the value of federal employees in general.

January 2019: The Congressionally-funded National Commission on Military, National, and Public Service releases its first report on Americans’ impressions of the civil service. Based on research conducted in 2018, the report noted that: “recruiting and hiring” practices were perceived as poor and that civil servants themselves were “frustrated” by continually being portrayed and perceived as being ineffective.” People felt that the human resources system “is too slow, fails to accurately assess job applicants, (and) contains a variety of inflexible hiring preferences.” Officials themselves expressed frustration that “it is difficult to recruit and retain workers with high-demand skills...due in part to competitive market pressures.”

**Back to the Future**

Because civil service reform is efficiency-oriented, proposals to achieve it tend to sound very much the same from Administration to Administration.

For example, in 2016, a writer for GovExec.com noted 11 areas of emphasis, among them “evidence-based” (e.g., data-driven) programs; “reorganization”; “streamlining”; “shared services”; “agency priority goals”; and an emphasis on cybersecurity to the point of establishing a Federal chief information security officer.

Way back when, in 1993, the National Partnership for Reinventing Government aimed to drive up efficiency, drive down costs, and promote “initiative and empowerment.”

In fact, going back more than 130 years to 1887, President Woodrow Wilson stated:

“Civil service reform must, after the accomplishment of its first purpose, expand into efforts to improve, not the personnel only, but also the organization and methods of our government offices....

“It is the object of administrative study to discover, first, what government can properly and successfully do, and secondly, how it can do these proper things with the utmost possible efficiency and at the least possible cost either of money or of energy.”

###
Senior Executives Ask: Can This Government Be Saved?

To hear some senior executives tell it, the U.S. federal civil service is on life support, and its pulse grows weaker by the day. Without a sufficient number of skilled employees to oversee the effective functioning of the government, our nation suffers myriad security risks, not to mention the silent but deadly cancer of waste, fraud and abuse — a disease which inevitably grows to consume the body unless it is stopped early on.

The research comes from the nonprofit Senior Executives Association, and it points to a number of risk factors that may ultimately tear the civil service apart.

Most fundamentally, there aren’t enough people to do all the inherently governmental work required to serve the American people: In 1960, there were 180.67 million of us; by December 2018, that number had nearly doubled, to 329.10 million. Yet according to the study, from 1960-2017, the civil service barely increased in number—from 1.8 to 2.1 million federal workers.

You might be thinking that this shrunken workforce is no big deal, because contractors can pick up the slack. And surely they have, at least to some extent: According to USASpending.gov, in 2018, the U.S. government spent $200 billion on contracts combined.

The problem, however, is that for the U.S. government to function properly, it has to limit what contractors can do, because some functions are inherently governmental by law. To oversimplify it, these include, but are not limited to decisions about:

Contracts

“Military or diplomatic action, civil or criminal judicial proceedings”

Decisions that “significantly” have an impact on “the life, liberty, or property interests of private persons”;

Hiring people; and

Managing U.S. money or property.
From a financial point of view, what might seem like an “easy fix” — having a flexible, even disposable workforce that grows and shrinks depending on need — quickly mushrooms into a nightmare. It is not illegal to seek to make a profit, and as profit-seeking entities, contractors will embed themselves into the fabric of the organizational culture.

The report also notes that citizens’ expectations of government have increased with the proliferation of digital tools. People expect a higher level of service than in the past, and with the Internet, a 24/7/365 mindset has become the norm. While nobody is suggesting that we should pay people to read email, the reality is that someone has to keep the business of government going, and answer the concerns of the people. Doing so requires a ceaseless process of reading and absorbing information, and responding quickly and accurately.

The report goes on to note that robots may well be able to do the work that people once did. But while these technologies may be useful in some settings, the reality is that they aren’t quite “there” yet, and the civil servant is in fact needed to administer the functions of government, in emergencies and ordinary times alike.

We could get into the exercise of reciting a laundry list of “things civil servants do,” but the bottom line is that decimating the federal workforce while padding the pockets of contractors is unlikely to result in value for the taxpayer.

Covering this story for GovExec.com, Erich Wagner highlights some other findings. First, and most troublingly, “work overload” combined with poor performance management (read: lots of punishment, few rewards) means that employees are “fatally risk averse and as a result chooses inaction to action during critical times.”

Obviously this is a completely dangerous situation, as we all know, because an employee who is not empowered to handle a complex, sensitive, evolving and murky situation with good judgment is not just useless but dangerous.

The second issue has to do with the way in which political partisanship has infected the civil service through pervasive suspicion and derogation of the career civil servant by the political appointee. The study’s authors are careful to note that this is not a partisan issue — it’s become more and more of a
problem since the 1980’s, they say — but it has become a more noxious one as “each change in administration is like a hostile corporate take-over.”

Of course, as in any challenging situation, we can sit around moaning and groaning about the problems we face or we can try to fix it.

With respect to the partisanship problem, one simple but powerful approach might be to revisit the notion of “bridge-building,” finding common ground between people whose vastly different world views are rooted in the same underlying desire to serve. (If someone actually has another agenda in mind, that is a different problem, one that will not be resolved here.)

In terms of excessive spending, it would be useful for government to clearly explain to citizens where their money is going, without a proliferation of confusing spending dashboards and tools. The misperception over overspending on civil servants comes directly from conflating contractor spend with salary spend in reports such as this one from the Government Accountability office, which resulted in numerous headlines decrying the wasted $1.5 billion per year spent on “public relations.”

Finally, a third area to be addressed is the impact of many civil service retirements at once, which gnaws a hole directly in the institutional knowledge base of a body of employees that does not necessarily have all its information housed in an accessible way. While one can cynically say that many long-time civil servants are “part of the problem,” the reality is that their knowledge makes the difference between a program that is legal, sensible and smoothly implemented and one that crashes and burns upon arrival. As new employees join up, they can and should be trained cross-functionally, and further trained on the emerging technologies that make it possible to operate effectively with a relatively lean staff.

As a civil servant, one of the most rewarding things about my job is the appreciation people share when they receive the service their hard-earned taxpayer dollars have paid for. It would be a shame if nonstop fighting left our country bereft of the body of decent, hardworking people who make that service a reality.

###
Some Thoughts on The Future of The Civil Service

When I almost changed jobs in the fall, I wrote this article reflecting on where I saw the civil service going.

Positive: Support These Positive Characteristics (While Addressing Their Limits)

Consensus-Building: Feds are all about consensus. They are good at following defined processes to move a decision forward, through meetings and document drafting and development. (The problem with this is that most projects fail, demonstrating that inclusivity and relationship capital are not a substitute for productive conflict.)

Technical Mastery: Civil servants are hired and evaluated based on demonstrated excellence of specific criteria. As such, they are ideal subject matter experts to consult regarding technical mastery. (The problem with this, of course, can be an inability to see the forest for the trees.)

Institutional Knowledge: I have had the good fortune to learn about Federal employees who dedicated their entire lives to their work, leading to a level of institutional knowledge and commitment that is rare and desirable. (The problem is that Feds in general tend to think they "know better," and it is not uncommon to hear a civil servant saying they will "wait it out" rather than follow a particular Administration direction.)

Negative: Reverse These Three Toxic Trends

These insights reflect my experiences in multiple, highly diverse agencies across the civil service over the past decade and multiple Administrations, and all opinions are always my own.

Trend #1 – Gradual Erosion of The Hatch Act: When I joined the civil service, partisanship was unheard of. By 2011, slightly less than a decade after I joined the civil service, things had changed, and there was a not-so-subtle "cheerleading" dynamic between the Administration and the civil service, even as the Feds were left out of key decisions in favor of political appointees (see below). Just a few short years later, with the change of Administration, this was (inevitably) followed by a swing in the other direction. All of this is completely destructive to productivity. The civil service was designed to be neutral for a reason; if people can't do the job they're being paid to do,
regardless of who's in charge, they really need to leave the civil service rather than try to throw sand in the engine.

Trend #2 – Appointee-Contractor Alliance Erodes "Inherently Governmental" Work: When I joined the Federal government in 2003, there were clearly defined lines between civil servant and contractor. Yet over time, particularly beginning around 2010, the lines between civil servant and contractor seemed to blur, as contractors' technical expertise was highly sought after and political appointees preferred to task them directly rather than deal with Feds. There was also a worrying tendency to try to "include" contractors in the workforce, in a type of employee engagement activity. This is overall detrimental to the integrity of the civil service as a body of unbiased, dedicated institutional knowledge and commitment, not to mention that it encourages waste, fraud and abuse through "workarounds."

Trend #3 – Data-Driven But Soulless: As technology grows more and more powerful, it is possible to make ever-more-informed decisions based on robust business intelligence software. Moreover, it is tempting for the highly paid executive to rely nearly exclusively on quantitative analysis to justify their decisions in the event of an audit. Yet only an experienced, empowered, well-trained leader can truly take the pulse of the environment sufficiently to make an informed decision based on data PLUS the intangible factors that a computer cannot capture.

Future: Encourage These Three Positive Developments

Development #1 – The Empowered Employee: Whereas in the past Federal employees were encouraged to "go along and get along," the current atmosphere and mindset encourages them to speak out where they fraud, waste and abuse. This empowerment is a vital counterbalance to the inevitable problems that will occur in any large organization regardless of who is staffing it; a formal audit by the agency Inspector General and the Government Accountability office are not a sufficient "first line of defense."

Development #2 – Interagency Consulting: Federal employees have a wealth of skills that can be applied to environments outside their immediate jobs. The Federal government is making use of those skills through everything from inter-agency IT consulting to micro-tasking. These programs not only save the government money, they also enable a continuous leveraging of employees' time at work, and build up their skills to meet future challenges as they arise.
Development #3 – Emphasis on High-Value Work: One doesn't need to be especially well-informed to see that artificial intelligence is already having, and will continue to have, a transformative impact on the work environment, and this certainly holds true for the civil service. Going forward, empowered employees need to be not only trained, but evaluated based on their capacity to add both tangible and intangible value to customer interactions, for example by making decisions that save money and/or time and by increasing customer engagement and satisfaction.

The Bottom Line: Engagement Is What Matters Most

Spending a few minutes reflecting about my experience in government was a productive exercise. From a content perspective, it left me realizing that "the more things change, the more they stay the same." As such, organizational improvement efforts — regardless of the organization — need to go beyond short-term prescriptions and limited environmental realities. Ideally, they are rooted in a scientific, almost a-historical understanding of the deeper dynamics that animate large groups of people attempting to get things done together.

Yet something else occurred to me as I did this. In a world where we are constantly being bombarded with stimuli — emails, instant messages, phone calls, texts, banner ads, television programs and advertisements, movies, music, newspapers, magazines, and even the sides of buses — the actual act of thinking offers a crucial benefit in itself.

###

If Amazon Ran the Civil Service

Imagine for a moment that Amazon founder and CEO Jeff Bezos were to run the civil service.

We would have government “Amazon-style,” for the good and for the bad:

Goods:

- Instant customer service
- Metrics-based everything, from services to human resources management
- Clearly visible reports on how taxpayer money is spent
- Drastic cost savings, on funds spent and on salaries
Bads:

- Dramatic inequality between worker and “all knowing” management
- Disengagement as positions are more and more stovepiped and automated
- Manipulated feedback scores for employees
- Microchipping, surveillance, and other disempowering intrusions of artificial intelligence into the human work sphere

But one thing Jeff Bezos (or a Bezos type) would bring to government is an end to hiding activities amid a lot of inexplicable paperwork.

As long as I’ve been in the government (for more than 15 years; all opinions are my own) it’s been a challenge to improve our efficiency level. And it doesn’t take a rocket scientist to know that the refusal to change has a lot to with maintaining power over the system.

Admittedly I did not have much interest in this subject until around 2009. That was the first time I became aware that the bureaucracy did act to usurp the power of the President.

The day was January 21, 2009. Obama had just issued his landmark memo, “Transparency and Open Government.” It said:

“My Administration is committed to creating an unprecedented level of openness in Government. We will work together to ensure the public trust and establish a system of transparency, public participation, and collaboration. Openness will strengthen our democracy and promote efficiency and effectiveness in Government. Government should be transparent. Transparency promotes accountability...

After years of watching an insane amount of obfuscation for no other reason than personal power or convenience, the sight of that document was amazing. I remember walking around, waving it around, in joy.

Until an older, more cynical bureaucrat heard what I was saying. And said, with a contemptuous wave of the hand:

“I wouldn’t pay much attention to that.

We’ll just wait him out.”
Remember, that was a different political party than the current Administration, but the sentiment was the same.

You see, that’s the thing.

The enemy we are dealing with is not as simple as a political party. It is, rather, a murky, shadowy, ever-changing group of people which has gone over to the Dark Side.

Their intentions are not good. They aren’t noble crusaders trying to save the people from harm.

Rather, they are simply greedy and they like their little empires just fine.

Perhaps they are civil servants trying to keep things just the way they like it. Perhaps they are politicians interfering with the civil service. Maybe they are other entities entirely – intelligence operatives, wealthy influencers, grant makers, contractors, you name it.

The rest of us, not being bad, are fooled by the things they say, and the propaganda they put forward.

And this is exactly what they count on.

People ascribe too much power to the President, anyway.

From what I can tell, it is relatively easy for bureaucrats to divert the efforts of the actual head of the government – someone who is elected every four years and spends the year or so before each election campaigning.

Again, I want to be clear: The main problem is not one category of people in and of itself. Frankly, as well, civil servants often are deeply selfless, and think of their actions as “protecting,” or “stabilizing,” not causing harm but rather ensuring that an irrational or abusive President doesn’t cause too much damage.

Of course, their concerns may even make sense. (To see how true this is, just imagine that someone who you believe to be corrupt is elected. How would you respond?)

We need to keep this in mind because life is not so simple, and all actions can be undertaken for the good or for the bad.
Think of any Nazi who got caught, and said: “I had no choice,” or, “I was just doing my job.”

I remember back in 2012, I started a job as a communications director (one of them, not the only one) at the U.S. Agency for International Development (USAID). My job back then was similar to my current job, which is to manage the workflow associated with inquiries to the agency.

In a nutshell, questions about our operations came in – and I was responsible for ensuring an efficient workflow, so that we answered those queries in a timely, accurate manner.

As is typical for me, all I wanted to do was get the answers out, as quickly as possible. I was fortunate that I worked for good people, not partisans or empire builders, and I still admire their ruthless ability to get to the heart of a matter, and to simultaneously hold responsible executives’ feet to the fire.

Questions would come in and I would farm them out to subject matter experts across the agency. A single question could take a number of months to “clear” for response, and there was nothing we could do if we wanted to do it right.

Of course, that stalling time is a bureaucratic tactic.

If you are running a good solid efficient operation, you have all the data in a computer system, and when the question comes in it should take no longer than a couple of days to get the answer where it needs to go.

But things were notoriously messy at USAID, our tiny, cash-strapped and highly decentralized foreign assistance agency: Who had been in the field at the time? Who handled that particular contract?

Not to mention, what exactly happened? Who will approve the answer to the question? Are we saying it exactly the right way?

Even with the most rigorous internal enforcement, the system itself was set up for failure, because of all the in-built blockades to transparency and accountability. (If you follow Q or “QAnon,” there is frequent talk of “blockades” to justice being removed.)

Within the agency, in seeking answers to questions, we should never have had to browbeat people for the answer in the first place. But there was no other choice than to do so.
As such, our culture developed these terrifying weekly meetings. Every week, another executive would be grilled: “So, what’s the answer? You’ve had that for three (weeks) (months) – what’s taking so long?”

In the government, as everywhere, knowledge is power. People are not just reluctant to share what they know, they are apt to wield it as a weapon every bit as deadly as a gun.

And because all taxpayers pay into the system, this should be a unifying concern, not a partisan issue.

Imagine for a moment that we could hold off the negative aspects of an Amazon.com type management system.

What a wonderfully efficient government we would have with Jeff Bezos or his equivalent at the helm.

###
IV. Citizens Under Attack
Protecting Individual Freedoms Against the Excesses of The State

What power does the average person have to challenge institutional abuse of power?

The power to tell other average people. The power to do research and then speak out.

But it’s more than that. You have the power to control your mind so that you perceive the world with fewer cognitive biases.

Pollution will destroy your ability to think. Toxic air, people, food, bombardment with nonsense stimuli such as marketing and fake news.

Understand that the problem is not mainly psychological. It is economic, political, and cultural. The problem is an array of invisible and intangible forces that work to keep the powerful in power and the weak, weak.

Imagine someone who has a million dollars and invests it with an automatic rate of return. They make a ton of money no matter what they do.

The answer is not communism or socialism because these further weaken the individual.

The answer is Constitutional in nature. It is a social contract that fundamentally preserves and protects the right of the individual to life, liberty, and the pursuit of happiness.

These freedoms by definition mean that the individual is protected from the aggressive intrusions of the state.

Why I didn’t attend the holiday sing-along at work (December 12, 2019)

Singing Christian songs in front of a Christian symbols was not a big deal to me last year. But now I realize it is not for me as a Jew.

In my research over the last few years, I have become more sensitive to the meaning of symbols. When you say the words, it affects your thinking.

This is why we learn that you shouldn't go to the main prayer area of a church, or read the New Testament. It does affect you and can lead to you rejecting the Torah.
I do find certain phrases from the New Testament to be quite beautiful.

The book Kosher Jesus by Rabbi Shmuley Boteach is excellent. The rabbi talks about the fact that the Christian religion was founded hundreds of years after Jesus himself passed away. I agree with the argument presented in the book that he was essentially an outreach rabbi, against corruption, patriotic, who spoke out and wanted freedom from the Romans.

Out of this truth, other people established a faith that was positioned as a successor to Judaism.

We as a people have been mass murdered, persecuted and expelled from countries based on our refusal to give up our Torah and accept Christianity.

We are extremely fortunate that nowadays the Christian people do not persecute Jews. In fact I find that they are our greatest champions, often more than we Jews ourselves.

But I respect the limits of that support by honoring their sacred spaces as they honor mine.

# # #

Patriotism Is Freeing

The blog Vigilant Citizen (@vigilantfeed) opened my eyes to so much that is sick about popular culture.

This blog, combined with many things I learned from Sarah @SaRaAshcraft and MANY MANY OTHERS, helped me to see clearly that we are indeed mind-controlled, on a mass level.

If you are a thing, this means you are NOT the other thing. So what is the opposite of mind-controlled?

Free.

When you serve God, you are free of serving man.

But in yeshiva, Jewish religious school, I was mind-controlled too. Just in a different way, and to a different master.
And unfortunately, at home, I was part of some kind of unhealthy dynamic. It was all about being “loyal” to the family, not “turning against us,” even though I couldn’t tell you exactly what that meant.

Think about the crazy nature of this: As a kid, to escape the stifling nature of my family, and of religion, I lost myself in books, fashion, TV, and eventually branding.

As an adult, the things I ran to exerted their own kind of control over me.

It’s funny – I became a branding expert – but branding branded me.

After a time, I could not even describe myself professionally without it.

What saves us from mind control?

I would say that reading a lot, reading primary sources, reading other people’s stories, really has helped.

Critical thinking, being forced to defend yourself, not asking people to make allowances or be polite about your feelings.

Gravitating to people who have an uncompromisingly rational, even skeptical bent.

Friends who encourage me, without judging.

Patriotism is freeing.

Patriotism is about serving the common good. With people you have nothing in common with. Other than that you love the soil of the earth we stand on, every single day.

I think I took Patriotism for granted my whole entire life.

I never had to defend it.

I never understood what was going on.

Most of my world was very small indeed.

And if I tried to step outside it, people said stuff like,

“You’re an intelligent woman, but you don’t know anything about...”

Was I a sheep?
I never had the confidence.

I never trusted myself to see the connections between what was happening in my own little world, and in the world beyond.

And isn’t that what they count on?

They try to wear you down from the outset, so that you’ll make no trouble later on.

“Be a good girl,” they say.

“Be a good pet goat.”

I think it was about ten years ago, maybe a little more, that my eyes started to open.

Because the victims’ rights movement was gaining steam in the Jewish religious community, and I saw how the brutalized victims of sexual assault had to speak out anonymously, about horrific crimes, their abusers returning to synagogue as though nothing had ever happened.

I realized then, as the stories began to multiply, and as they threw every tactic in the book at the victims — you’re sick, you’re lying, you’re tearing the community apart, you’re increasing anti-Semitism — that some people are willing to sacrifice children whole.

Again I did not connect this to the state of our country at all….it would not have occurred to me…I was in what you might call a state of blissful ignorance.

When Obama campaigned I was fooled, but my father-in-law (may he rest in peace) was not.

Not at all.

And because I am mindful of your time, I will fast-forward through eight years of scandal after scandal, noting only that as a government employee I was shocked and horrified at the way he seemed to get away with everything. Just acting like a cool, cool cat.

As if someone put their foot on the accelerator, the end of Obama’s second term and the 2016 campaign unleashed a hellstorm.
It was time to knock the door down, and stop the takeover of our country, before it was too late.

And so I got caught up in this thing, the Storm.

Never, ever did I anticipate that the near-overthrow of our country and the rampant disappearances of children would be very closely connected.

I understand it’s going to take many years — many, many years — to fix the shattered glass.

And the way we rebuild things won’t look like what anybody can currently imagine.

But as long as we are moving forward, I can let things take their time.

It has been hard these past few years feeling so alone in this struggle, even though I know we are all in it together.

I am looking forward to the day when I can wear a MAGA cap in public.

For now I just wear red.

#WWG1WGA#WWG1WGAWORLDWIDE

God bless.

###

**Trump Supporters as Victims of Gaslighting**

We who perceive that something is very wrong are opposed today at every turn. It wasn’t this way during the mid-2000s “war on terror.” Whatever Hollywood and the media say, nearly totally brainwashes the public.

It is easier for me to put things together in writing than in words. And so I find that articulating the scale of this thing sounds literally crazy. “There’s a massive coup going on” - yeah, right!

What I find is that if you study current events closely, the stress fracture in the narrative rupture fast. But most people don’t study current events closely.
Example. Two men came forward and admitted to being the actual culprits in the Blasey-Ford incident. Believe it or not, most people still do not know this.

So I have to learn to not only write these things, but say them out loud to people who are not already “awake.”

Compounding the problem is the amount of dirt that is being dredged up, with little clear outside validation. I feel very strongly about it, but let’s face it, it is difficult to say “President Trump was recruited by the military” without eliciting gigantic eyerolls.

Sorry to rant. I could use some advice on how to cope with this. I don’t have the tools to express everything cogently.

###

**Why Do Victims Need a Psy-Op to Get Love?**

I don’t know what happened to Isaac Kappy, but wherever he went I am going to continue to say Kaddish for the rest of the 30 days.

I just said it. It is an acknowledgement of the comfort he brought to real victims. I recall people saying “I am going to Kappy” because he welcomed them unconditionally.

Whether he was a honeypot or not, mind controlled or not, Kappy spoke the outrage that everyone would speak if they knew the truth.

I’m not going to say he made me comfortable. He seemed like a drug addict. I didn’t understand the 0 to 1000 vibe. Overclose no boundaries behaviors are a mark of trauma.

But he told the truth anyway. And was above all kind and accepting.

Why don’t we live in a country where victims have refuge? Physical security, a roof over their heads and for their kids, mental support, food, healthcare.

To me the question is not whether Kappy was a psy-op but rather why do victims need to turn to a psy-op to get any love.

###
Some People Did Something – Thoughts on Trauma

On March 23, 2019, at a speech before the Council on American-Islamic Relations (CAIR), Rep. Ilhan Omar stated:

“CAIR was founded after 9/11 because they recognized that some people did something, and that all of us were starting to lose access to our civil liberties.”

Omar’s remark sparked widespread outrage, even though the context was her concern that the entire Muslim community has been penalized for the actions of a few.

CAIR was actually founded in 1994, not after 9/11. At The Washington Post, factchecker Glenn Kessler pointed this out and also stated: "CAIR is not a terrorist organization, but an aggressive Muslim civil liberties organization."

However, in 2016, The Center for Security Policy published (https://www.centerforsecuritypolicy.org/2016/12/02/c-a-i-r-is-hamas/) "C.A.I.R. is HAMAS: How the Federal Government Proved that the Council on American Islamic Relations is a Front for Terrorism." The article quotes FBI Agent Laura Burns, who (during the course of a terrorism financing trial)—

"...testified about, and helped explain, the transcripts of wiretap surveillance conducted in the course of two planning sessions leading up to the organizational meeting of CAIR held in Philadelphia in October 1993 and during the meeting itself. Specifically, she presented proof that CAIR's mission was to assist ‘Sister Samah,’ its founders’ hardly opaque code-name for Hamas, as the prospect of its terror designation loomed."

I have thought a lot about what Omar said. The following is a transcript of my video sharing personal thoughts on the matter, with some corrections included and the text edited slightly for readability.

I want to talk about [Rep.] Ilhan Omar and her statement that "some people did something." Which is a very offensive statement.

I just wanted to talk a little bit about this and in the context of her larger video, why is it so offensive and frankly so dangerous.
So the first thing I want to say is that I understand that Ilhan Omar has been positioned deliberately to make people hate on her.

There is something about the way she conducts herself and comports herself that suggests to me that she is being used, and if you look at the Middle East, and you look at Israel and what the Palestinians are also exploited to do, it's very similar.

You know, Hamas takes women and children, innocent women and children, and they hide among them and they put them forward and they put them in suicide vests, and the vests blow up, or they - you know, they hand them a rock, or whatever they give them to commit an act of violence, just trying to get the IDF to respond.

Because they know that if the IDF responds, the headline is going to be that the Jews once again attacked the innocent victims and it isn't going to be the whole buildup.

So the trick is old, and it's pretty sad that the entire world has to be mortgaged to a bunch of terrorists for now, but it sure seems like they have a strong hold on our narrative, because most of us are very sympathetic, and we are kind, and we don't wish other people to suffer, because we're normal.

So Ilhan Omar is doing an interview [DB note: this is a separate video] about, basically, prejudice against Muslims, and she's a Somali-American refugee, so she's an advocate for refugees and immigrants, and they're discussing sort of - they're like - "Why is it that when White people commit a mass murder, the issue is their psychology. But when people of color, specifically Muslims, commit an act of terror, then everybody attacks the culture, it's like this inexplicable thing." [Note: This is DB paraphrasing.]

In the course of having this discussion, she says, about 9/11, "Some people did something." And just those words, extracted from the whole interview, make it look like she's minimizing 9/11. [Correction: Omar made this statement at the CAIR event mentioned above.]

So is she really doing something wrong, or was she misquoted, right, we saw Rashida Tlaib go online on television and say, "My sister Ilhan, my sister Ilhan, everyone's attacking Ilhan, it's not what she meant." [Note: This is DB paraphrasing.]
But if you think about it, number one, if you look at culturally, well what are Muslims being taught in the mosques, in many mosques, they're being taught pretty negative messages about how you should treat the unbeliever. And we know that violence is taught in the mosque. (Examples: Here, here, here and here.)

So in a sense, when people blame the culture and not the person, in a sense there is a reason for that and it's also a way of understanding and contextualizing radical Islamic terror.

Many of us who think about this, talk about the need for reform in the religion, because we understand that when there's overwhelming pressure to do something or to think a certain way, it will produce people who act a certain way. That's not an expression of hatred, it's an expression of trying to solve a problem that hurts innocent people.

So that's the background for that, but I still want to talk about how is it she seems so casual.

You know, and I think about her as a child, and I can't even imagine what she went through.

Yet when I hear her say, like "something happened," it's almost like well, you know, someone tripped on the sidewalk, right? She takes something momentous and horrendous, and reduces it to nothing.

What I think to myself is that I'm looking at a trauma victim. And I think to myself about in the Jewish community, you know, we've been struggling for many years with this phenomenon of child sex abuse. Just like the Catholic Church scandal, we have our scandal.

It doesn't matter if the perpetrator is Jewish, Muslim, Christian, Buddhist, anything. Because when someone is traumatized, I would even go so far as to say soul-murdered, right, because if you know victims of sexual abuse and rape, there isn't one day that goes by that these people aren't suffering and having their guts ripped out.

I actually think to say, "Something happened some time so long ago" [as Omar did] tells me that the person themselves who's saying it is a trauma victim [because they are dissociating]. And I think that's really the tragedy here. You know, it's that in looking for someone to hate, because they said something, whether we blame them for saying "Oh, you know they made this
remark," or you know, "They did this, they did that, it's that whole group, it's the Democrats, you know, it's this cultural group, it's that religion," we're completely missing the point.

I think one of the reasons that Jewish people today are so bitterly divided is that we—with the Holocaust fresh in our minds—look at the suffering of the Palestinians and we see it, and we say to ourselves: "This is so wrong. We don't want to be responsible for it. We don't want it to happen and we don't want to be responsible and we don't know what to do."

Because there's a fundamental divide in our world today between people who want to live, and they respect life, and they want to go on, but they also want to remember the past and honor the dead, and honor the victims, and make sure that they receive restitution.

And there are those who are murderers, and they have no soul. What they want is for what they did and what they enabled to be distorted, forgotten, trivialized. Worse yet, they want to go after the people who seek justice, they want to flip everything around and yell "Islamophobia, racism, sexism."

Believe me, I say to myself sometimes, if God does not intervene in our world, I don't know what will happen because these people are so bad. And they just don't stop, they have no shame.

So for Ilhan Omar, I am sorry what you went through, and I don't think it's nothing, I would never trivialize it for all the victims of trauma, any kind of trauma, no matter who you are, I feel for you. I would never dismiss what happened to you.

It is in the memory of those I lost, in the Holocaust, that I do this. It is in the memory of all of those who suffered, who I didn't know, that I do this.

I love our country very much. And I'm not going to sit by while corrupt people try to ruin it.

Please join with me. Please speak out. It's never too late to speak out, and at this point, every word of truth matters much more than you know.

###
V. Real News and Fake News
The Point Is to Manipulate Public Sentiment

So...I was rolling up my stuff this week, like putting together all the stuff I have researched this year.

“You waste a lot of time on that.” – everyone I know

Why is it a waste of time?

Everyone who understands, knows it anyway

Those who could understand, need a much simpler roadmap than raw threads

Everyone else is making DIY bookshelves

Why is it a waste of time?

Trump and the generals already have this planned.

Why is it a waste of time?

Because there is a narrative you’re supposed to follow, AS WELL AS a counter-narrative – and you will receive that no matter what I say or do.

Example – Ukraine, counternarrative; you are supposed to focus on Ciamarella, and not Daniluk.

So this is going to go the way it’s going to go.

I would only point out a thing or two.

What politicians care about is manipulating “public sentiment.”

Today, people are so bombarded/overwhelmed with data of all kinds (news/fake news/marketing/work stuff/training/relationship stimuli/etc.)

They don’t know how to evaluate truth vs lie and what’s more they don’t have time and don’t care.

The inability to care is a coping mechanism; it is the result of being bombarded.

Obama, probably the greatest politician who ever lived until President Trump showed up, knew exactly how to capitalize on that fatigue.
The Q operation has been successfully in that it blasted a hole right through the Matrix inhabited by people like Obama (and Tulsi, etc.)

But even this successful operation has had its run, because people can’t be endlessly “pinged” to be angry or horrified or on the edge of their seat — and then, not see results clearly.

And here, “clearly” means that the bad guys are arrested. Publicly.

A great marketer knows when the audience is saturated and I think President Trump knows we’re at that point.

The key thing to remember is, it’s all about optics – not reality. On both sides.

As long as they can manipulate the optics sufficient to produce the desired attitudinal result – that’s the goal.

Here’s hoping we get back to normal soon, and can just live our lives without trying to read the tea leaves daily.

###

**NY Times Advocates Obstruction of Justice and Sedition**

On June 29, 2019, the *New York Times* published an op-ed by Kate Cronin-Furman, who stated:

“The identities of the individual CBP agents who are physically separating children from their families & staffing the detention centers are not undiscoverable.”

To the government: Please implement protections for CBP, ICE, USCIS and all personnel associated with immigration and border enforcement. This is urgent.

Cronin is not an American citizen but her words, if she were, could encompass a number of crimes, including sedition.

Here is a simple definition of sedition.
"Sedition is overt conduct, such as speech and organization, that tends toward insurrection against the established order. Sedition often includes subversion of a constitution and incitement of discontent towards, or resistance against established authority."

18 U.S. Code Section 2384 defines the crime of seditious conspiracy.

"If two or more persons in any State or Territory, or in any place subject to the jurisdiction of the United States, conspire to overthrow, put down, or to destroy by force the Government of the United States, or to levy war against them, or to oppose by force the authority thereof, or by force to prevent, hinder, or delay the execution of any law of the United States, or by force to seize, take, or possess any property of the United States contrary to the authority thereof, they shall each be fined or imprisoned not more than 20 years, or both."

Separately, the New York Times should be investigated for publishing a threat against Federal officials (118 USC section 11) as well as 18 US Code Chapter 73, Obstruction of Justice.

The newspaper used its publishing platform to threaten the safety of law enforcement and interfere as well.

Just like Backpage.com was enabling child sex trafficking and was seized, the New York Times can’t hide behind "it's free speech, we're not responsible" anymore.

###

**The Four Fatal Flaws of a Media Bias Study**

Having attended a media panel with some “big name” outlets after President Trump was elected, my sense was that all media start with a set of biases, but some try harder than others to approach their work objectively anyway.


In 2005, Tim Groseclose and Jeffrey Milyo published an article called “A Measure of Media Bias,” in The Quarterly Journal of Economics (Vol. 120, No. 4; Nov., 2005, pp. 1191-1237). I read a PDF of it here. The follow-up book is
called *Left Turn: How Liberal Media Bias Distorts the American Mind* (2012), by Tim Groseclose.

The authors found that the media has “a strong liberal bias.” How liberal? “All of the news outlets we examined, except Fox News’ Special Report and the *Washington Times*, received scores to the left of the average member of Congress.” Not only that, but consistent with claims made by conservative critics, CBS Evening News and the *New York Times* received scores far to the left of center.”

Here is a description of the method used, which is relative in nature:

“We count the times that a particular media outlet cites various think tanks & policy groups, then compare this with the times that members of Congress cite the same groups.”

Here’s a quick breakdown (e.g. I’m not getting into the methodology behind what a “think tank” is):

They started with the fact that members of Congress each have what is called an “ADA Score,” meaning a leftism score. Americans for Democratic Action (leftist) tallies their votes on particular issues and the result is a score from 0 (“against us”) to 100 (totally leftist or as they say “with ADA.”). Here is more information about that.

The researchers did a content analysis of Congressional speeches and picked out the particular “policy groups and think tanks” they mentioned. Note they did not assess the right/left tilt of the groups themselves. The result would be something like: Congresswoman X, ADA Score 100, mentions Groups 1, 2, 3, 4, 5.

Then they looked at mainstream media outlets’ citation patterns as compared with members of Congress. If Member A had an ADA score of 100 and was constantly citing certain groups in her speeches, then the media outlet would be rated “very leftist.”

**UCLA Pedigree Makes People Nervous**

Of course, this information is not new. However, the media loves to dither on/“debate” whether there’s bias even as they acknowledge it. Also, of course, objectivity is the story the media sells in order to retain their credibility, which in turn is the basis of their business. (We know, of course, that you can be factually accurate and still be ideologically biased. All you have to do is say
certain facts and not say others.) But there was something about this study that really provoked a splash; perhaps the fact that it came out of UCLA. See for example: “The problems with the Groseclose/Milyo study of media bias” and “Tomorrow’s Bogus Liberal Bias Claim Today.”

Having attended a media panel with some “big name” outlets after President Trump was elected, my sense was that all media start with a set of biases, but some try harder than others to approach their work objectively anyway.

**Yet Even This Noble Effort Rests on Shaky Ground**

The study’s authors tried to avoid the taint of bias. To do this, they took two steps:

- They defined “liberal” as most people would define it, e.g. by a well-respected measure of voting records.
- They did not define whether the sources cited by Members of Congress were themselves liberal or conservative.

The problem, however, is that the underlying assumptions of the study are so fatally flawed we would have to think an entirely different way in order to understand media bias objectively.

**Four Flawed Assumptions**

The first flawed assumption is that one can define “liberal” according to the criteria of a political party which no longer represents the voters, but rather is arguably sold out to special interests. So, for example, the #1 “issue” on the Americans for Democratic Action website right now is “resisting Trump.” An entire political movement has been established to “walk away” from the hijacking of the Democratic Party which includes the notion of “resistance.”

While you can argue that this is a modern movement, while the ADA was founded in 1947 (nearly a century ago), the definition of “liberal” or “left” has shifted to the extreme over the past few decades, so that 2005 would have been squarely in the middle of this trend. It is hard to know exactly what “liberal” means, but as Dinesh D’Souza and others have shown, clearly both the Democrats and the Republicans are similarly (this is old news; see 2010 article) beholden to foreign funds at the very least. Neither can claim an ideological mantle without answering to their “masters.”
The truth is that we do not even know what “liberal” or “left” would look like in the absence of such funding.

The second flawed assumption is that Members of Congress control their votes. Jeffrey Epstein, the notorious child sex trafficker, was said to “belong to intelligence.” His properties were wired for video. He had a “little black book.” He called himself a “bounty hunter.” And he hung out with the rich and famous, including politicians at the highest levels. “Brownstoning” is the unconfirmed practice of blackmailing politicians over their sexual behavior.

“The existence of ‘Operation Brownstone’ and ‘Brownstone operations’ is not yet officially recognized as operating procedures of the U.S. government. This topic is considered by many to be purely speculation as it is largely based on circumstantial and anecdotal evidence.”

The origin of the term relates to former Congressman Barney Frank’s denial of knowing a prostitution ring was operating out of his brownstone apartment. Former CIA officer Robert David Steele has claimed the following:

“(General) Flynn was really fired because he was in possession of a high-level Washington DC Pedophile list with many names. One of those names was Vice President Mike Pence’s ‘best friend’. Steele also says that there are restaurants in Washington DC and Saudi Arabia where you can literally order children off of the menu.”

A former CIA agent has said that agents procure children overseas for subjects where information is sought. It is not a huge leap to question whether Members of Congress vote freely.

The third flawed assumption is that “think tanks and policy groups” are writing content independently as opposed to content that lines up with the same foreign masters that blackmailed politicians answer to. The most famous example of this is perhaps the issue of Qatar’s alleged influence over the Brookings Institute, but Qatar is not the only country where foreign power and its potential direction over these semi-academic environments is an issue.

You see how all of this starts to fall apart.

So, the question then becomes: Since I didn’t have an Ivy League education, why can’t the Ivy League researchers take all these obvious factors into account?
It would have to be that they’re either ignorant (possible) or they know their schools or the academic journals would never publish such things.

And why not? Because the academic institutions themselves are always in some way beholden to special interests, be they political or financial. Certain questions cannot be asked, certain topics cannot be studied, and the overall tilt is, once again, toward the left (or what is represented as the left).

This is the fourth flawed assumption: That you can publish an academic paper about media bias without having to, in some way, conform to the political and financial environment within which academia sits.

###

**Documenting the Attack on Journalist Andy Ngo**

The June 29, 2019 attack on an independent journalist named Andy Ngo is a turning point in the undeclared civil war our Nation is now experiencing.

Was it attempted murder? See the definition below - "attempted homicide occurs when a person acts deliberately, intentionally, or recklessly with extreme disregard for human life."

The purpose of this thread is to collect the information that I have.

"Attempted murder" is the right terminology for throwing cement at someone’s head while hitting them about the head.

The cement can get in the mouth and cause death. One explanation online puts it this way: "If you consume it while it’s still fluid, it will set in your stomach, in your esophagus and throat and unless operated on to remove it will kill you by preventing you from eating and drinking, and likely rupturing your stomach and causing internal bleeding and infection. The risk of death in operation would also be high as the incision would have to be large to match the size of the lump of solid cement."

Ngō was interviewed by Portland health personnel after being attacked. He described the attack in a Periscope.

Portland Police, 6/29/19, 7:08 p.m., tweeted:

"Police have received information that some of the milkshakes thrown today during the demonstration contained quick-drying
cement. We are encouraging anyone hit with a substance today to report it to police."

The Gateway Pundit reported that "Video of the attack shows Ngo being beaten by several Antifa attackers and having 'milkshakes' thrown on him. Portland police said the Antifa milkshakes reportedly were made with quick-dry cement. When wet, cement acts as a chemical agent that burns skin."

6/29/19, 11:23 p.m.: Harmeet K. Dillon, Ngo's lawyer, tweeted:

"Update to @MrAndyNgo supporters — he is being admitted to the hospital overnight as a result of a brain bleed."

"Brain bleed" = "brain hemorrhage"

Most people who suffer a brain hemorrhage die.

This photo published by the Daily Caller appears to show the immediate aftermath of the attack. Ngo is

In the video, you can see one man filming the attack. He isn't wearing a mask. Others are watching.

The attackers close in on Ngo. They are clothed fully in black, their faces concealed.

The attack continues.

This is the moment where the liquid substance is thrown at him.

It appears that someone is spraying him with the liquid - see the stream of liquid coming down from the upper right.

The person doing the spraying is wearing not just a mask, but what looks like facial protective gear and a padded black bodysuit. You can see the person on the right side of your screen with their arm extended.

Before the rally, on 6/28/19, 5:15 p.m. - Ngo tweeted that he was "nervous" about being attacked while covering the 6/29 rally.

"I am nervous about tomorrow's Portland Antifa rally. They're promising “physical confrontation” & have singled me out to be assaulted. I went on Tucker Carlson last year to explain why I
think they're doing this: They're seeking meaning through violence."

Several Tweets.

6/29/19, 4:41 p.m.: "Attacked by Antifa. Bleeding. They stole my camera equipment. No police until after. waiting for ambulance. If you have evidence of attack please help" (sic)

6/29/19, 5:02 p.m.: "On way to hospital. Was beat on face and head multiple times in downtown in middle of street with fists and weapons. Suspects at large."

6/29/19, 4:33 p.m. Video posted by @Jimryan015: "First skirmish I've seen. Didn't see how this started, but @MrAndyNgo got roughed up."

Ngo is continuously sprayed with a thin spray that kind of looks like silly string. Then a shot of him with head bent down, hands up in surrender, walking away.

A commenter seems to blame Ngo while somehow claiming to not excuse the violence:

"Andy Ngo is someone who antagonizes those he knows will react in a disproportionate way and this exact outcome was inevitable. Everyone knew it. Those who assaulted him, however, are the ones at fault here."

As a sociologist I want to know where the mayor is, where the police are, etc. to stop the violence.

I don't expect individual people to stop it, because they affiliate with groups (“community”) that surround and brainwash (“love bomb”) them. There HAS to be a bad guy.

If we as a society continue to polarize, defining any group as domestic terrorists will lose meaning completely. It will be “your opinion” not an established fact.

Similarly law enforcement will become (it’s already becoming) YOUR police not MY police, etc. We see border enforcement agents accused of being
criminals and the New York Times actually publishes a call to dox them (reveal their personal information)!

###

**There Is No “David Elias Goldberg”**

I think this (June 15, 2019) story about "death with foam coming out of his mouth" "David Elias Goldberg" is fake news. Not a real person.

The blog post I read states:

"David E. Goldberg was found dead in his New York apartment on June 8th. The death is being investigated, but at this time, authorities have given no indication as to the cause of death. He was 59."

Blog post continues:

"Friends of Mr. Goldberg were given access to his accounts before his passing....At this time, they are reviewing many documents in Mr. Goldberg’s possession, some of which appear to be top secret government documents."

The post alludes to Goldberg allegedly being aware of a top-secret POTUS plan to declare war on Iran. He allegedly had documents from the White House. Allegedly some rabbis told Trump to declare the war so he can win re-election.

It all sounds plausible for a minute, then no.

Here is an earlier blog dated 4/18/19:

"WHITE HOUSE INSIDER: War with Iran planned by Trump, to occur in the Fall of 2019; Tactical nukes and ground invasion planned for Iran, according to whistle-blower"


All I can find are conspiracy site links and a YouTube channel.
I had seen the YouTube site before, and saw the bio shown in the screenshot as well, and it looked fake to me. Specifically, I had never heard of a "Jewish Center for Antisemitic Study." A Redditor caught this three months ago.

Cutting and pasting the bio I had seen - it's posted at Reddit.

"David Elias Goldberg is a graduate of The Jewish Academy in New York City, a fellow at the Jewish Center for Antisemitic Study and former liaison for the State Department's Israel Relief Fund study committee."

Never heard of The Jewish Academy in New York City.

Never heard of "the State Department's Israel Relief Fund study committee."

That doesn't mean they don't exist, just never heard of them, & I'm involved w/ the community.

I downloaded the photo.

When I saved it to my computer, the photo showed that it was titled "unnamed."

I ran the photo through Yandex Image Search (which I believe searches Russian sites)

This photo "Igor Dovlatov" is a fake looking profile that looks similar to "David Elias Goldberg." Note the receding hairline, the eyes, the mouth in particular.

Google finds nothing.

Fake profile making real-sounding "whistleblower" claims.

"TACTICAL NUKES, GROUND INVASION FOR IRAN! IF I KEEP TALKING, THEY'LL KILL ME!"

"I already predicted what was going to happen a month ago, no one listened. Trump's supporters are so stupid and brainwashed they will cheer on this fake war, as thousands perish.” – “David Elias Goldberg" - 6 May ("Mei") 2019
So now let's see what the disinformation source (Russia?) wants us to believe.

We are supposed to believe this news is coming "from Israel."

We are supposed to believe that this Jewish martyr left behind some very important, super-secret information, and that his friends will give it to the public.

We are supposed to believe that he knew something true, and dangerous, and that he was poisoned to death for this knowledge. ("Update 6/13").

We are supposed to believe that everyone is covering up his death. (Note that 9/11 calls, hospital records, police records, calls to the media can all be looked into. I don't know how you would contact his family, since he doesn't seem to have existed.)

Obviously "David Goldberg" is a very generic Jewish name. It is odd that I couldn't find even 1 person named "David E. Goldberg" in the vicinity of age 59 in New York.

We are supposed to believe that POTUS is polling badly (HAHAHAHA), but some "New York rabbis" promised him a win if he would only bomb the hell out of Iran.

Good God - this actually makes me think that maybe the recent Iran incident wasn't a false flag after all!

Skeptical comment - why were the videos pulled about a week BEFORE this supposed person supposedly died?

Someone else noted that the places this "Goldberg" referred to don't exist. The obvious response - the YT channel & blogger blog are disinformation.

Interesting comment and theory:

"Goldberg is a fake. I sent him a comment criticizing him and the Jewish racketeers he works with. He speaks from both sides of his mouth at once at one time seeming to warn Americans of the upcoming war with Iran, while also seeming to praise the expected victory over Iran and how it would benefit Israel.

Not a nice person. Says that war was delayed because 95% of Americans today oppose war with the Ayatollahs. Says a victory
could set the stage for the building of the Third Temple where the Dome of the Rock Mosque now stands.

Seriously delusional and dangerous stuff from the Tribe of Dan mouthpiece or whatever Jewish lineage he claims to represent. I believe David Goldberg is pure psyops—never lived, never died—just a Mossad front testing the apocalyptic waters for people's reactions to the upcoming Gog-Magog war which could end in WWIII."

Someone found a "David Goldberg, age 60, in Syosset NY" - thank you!

Showing you a map of Syosset, which is in Nassau County (Long Island).

Typically a "New York apartment" is in Manhattan though.

The other details do not check out.

Also - I have not watched this person's videos. So if anyone has done that and can provide further info, please do.

Whether this is a Russian operation, an Iranian operation, or an Israeli operation doesn't really matter to me. What does matter is that someone is trying to manipulate our foreign policy by channeling into alternative media sites and implanting a story that is false.

###
VI. Divide and Conquer
First They Came for The Muslims

We tend to look at things from our own little corner of the world and I suppose I am no exception.

Over the past few years, hate crimes against Jews have spiked, and my focus as a Jew has been on my own community. “You feel the hate rising,” said one Jewish person in France, where hate incidents increased by 74% in 2018.

Here in the States, earlier this month a yeshiva in Monsey, New York was torched, with swastikas spray-painted outside. And last Friday night, Jewish residents of Bushwick (Brooklyn), New York were sitting down to Sabbath dinner at a local synagogue when somebody smashed the windows.

This of course is not a laundry list of crimes. I could go on and on about the kids who threw pennies at my daughter’s friend in school. The catcalls when we went on vacation in Florida. The sinister, not friendly “Shalom” aimed at my husband more than once.

I could talk to you about the synagogues that are sending their members out on patrol, every weekend. The security guards. The hushed discussions of Pittsburgh and the Tree of Life massacre. The rush to get guns and learn self-defense, in case there is another active shooter situation.

“The rabbeim (rabbis) are specifically authorizing this,” someone told me recently. “They never would have allowed it in the past.”

Yes, it’s always been scary to be an open Jew. And now it’s becoming terrifying.

In recent years, I’ve also become aware that other groups are both targeted and scared. Just like Jewish people have a volunteer patrol in New York called the “Shomrim” (guards), the Muslim community has its own community watch. They are victims of horrifying hate crimes, from decapitated pig’s heads to terrifying social media posts to violent assaults and worse. Anti-Muslim hate crimes rose 15% in the U.S. in 2017 and hit a record high in the UK in 2017.

In general, hate is on the rise. According to the FBI, there were 7,175 hate crimes in 2017, up from 6,121 the year before. The number-one target group: African-Americans, followed by Jews.
Christians do not talk about it as much, but they are victims of hate crimes just as Jews and Muslims. According to one recent poll:

“Half of white Protestants say there is a fair amount or a great deal of discrimination against Christians.”

Why is it important for us to focus on the problem of hate crime, particularly if we are not part of the group that was targeted in the attack?

The answer, in my view, has to do with censorship. As we know when it comes to the Middle East, in an environment where free speech is constantly blocked, fanatics rule. Wajahat Ali, a Pakistani-American writer who visited the Middle East to better understand the conflict there, is preoccupied with learning more about extremists’ “zealous conviction, unclouded by doubt, anchored by an arrogant righteousness, unwilling to tolerate dissent.”

In an environment where thought itself is dangerous, insane people step in to regulate the lives of everybody else — and peace, which has to be based on truth, justice and reconciliation — becomes impossible to achieve.

In fact, I remember working for USAID, and an offhand comment someone made about Muslims getting harassed if they so much as hinted at the desire to work with Israel toward peace. Their normal desire for a normal life, for themselves and their children, was brutally repressed and distorted. They could not speak the truth of what they saw or felt; peacemakers were not wanted; peacemakers were “collaborators with the occupation.”

It is popular to blame hate crimes on conspiracy theories; the impulse is quite naturally to silence anyone who seems to be rousing the public toward anger, even if the corruption they are pointing to is real.

But I would argue that the greater danger is our innate desire to assume the Orwellian posture of “thought police,” even if our motives are noble.

As a parent I am troubled to see that my children fear speaking out publicly, even in a thoughtful way, about any controversial but important topic.

As a teacher I am troubled to see my students far too willing to provide the answers they think I want to hear.

And as an employee, I have always been troubled by the Darwinian tendency of many a colleague to figuratively “step over the bodies,” just go
along to get along — because they’ve seen too many people stick their heads out only to find their necks chopped off.

Social media platforms, and now even banks, now routinely regulate speech in just this misguided way. They target all sorts of people, for reasons that are hard to know, with messages that simply offer some variation of “you are no longer online.”

We have the opportunity to unite against hate, by supporting one another as members of the human race. Human beings must think, they must express themselves, they must learn, and they must debate in order to arrive at higher truth — in this we are no different than scientists doing hard experiments.

Let us commit to protect each other’s right to say unpopular things, things that make the rest of us uncomfortable, things that may turn out to be flat-out wrong. Let us trust the human race to crowdsource the truth, and correct those among us who are simply misleading or inaccurate.

Miscommunication is dangerous enough.

Repression is the genesis of war.

###

**Monsey Attack: Domestic Terrorism Using Directed Sound Weaponry?**

On December 28, 2019, just as the Chanukah candles had been lit, a man broke into the house of Rabbi Chaim Rottenberg and started stabbing people.

"An atmosphere of hate has been developing in this country over the last few years. A lot of it is emanating from Washington, and it’s having an effect on all of us." - NYC Mayor Bill de Blasio, 12/29/2019, responding to the attack

"Domestic terrorism" - New York State governor Cuomo

But the alleged shooter, Grafton Thomas, has mental illness. Reverend Wendy Paige, his pastor for a decade, knows him well.

"Grafton is not a terrorist, he is a man who has mental illness in America and the systems that have not served him well. I have
been his pastor for a long time and I have seen him, he is not a violent person, he is a confused person.”

But everyone was quick to blame anti-Semitism for the attack. Some Monsey-area Jews took out their rifles and talked about self-defense. In Israel, politician Avigdor Lieberman reflexively urged Jews to make aliyah to Israel:

“Alongside the deep sorrow and wishes for a speedy recovery to the victims, it is important to know that the essential solution to such phenomena is aliyah to Israel.”

The 2018 mass shooting of synagogue attendees in Pittsburgh was also the result of mental illness, not antisemitism: this according to the Jewish doctor who visited accused shooter Robert Bowers.

Dr. Jeff Cohen, president of Alleghany General Hospital, where Bowers was being treated after the attack, stated:

"He [Bowers] listened to the noise, he hears the noise, the noise was telling him his people were being slaughtered."

Why did a Jewish doctor visit this alleged mass murderer? Cohen stated:

“I was just curious about the shooter. I wanted to find out for myself. I just wanted to see him. I look for causation: how did we get here?”

Cohen was very clear about what he found in speaking to Bowers:

"Here’s someone all alone and all he hears is the noise in his head all the time."

Hearing "noise" in your head is mental illness. It is an alternative explanation to pure anti-Semitism that would explain not only Bowers' negative sentiments toward Jews, but also the following odd statement:

"Minutes before allegedly storming inside the building, he logged onto Gab again and wrote to his followers. 'I can't sit by and watch my people get slaughtered. Screw your optics, I'm going in.'"

Bowers was writing on social media, posting on his own, and yet he appeared to be addressing someone when he said "your" as in "your optics." If he were acting out of mental illness, it would explain the statement - that he
was speaking to an imagined second party, the second party which was also putting "voices" or "noise" into his head.

But how would that be done?

In 2007, in Wired Magazine, Sharon Weinberger wrote about a possible military weapon called "The Voice of God".

"The Voice of God weapon – a device that projects voices into your head to make you think God is speaking to you – is the military's equivalent of an urban myth."

The more generic term for the weapon is "directed sound." With it, you literally put words into somebody's head.

Weinberger said she'd heard about it "periodically at defense workshops...and typically someone whispers about it actually being used."

Although it may sound implausible, Weinberger then brings a number of proofs that such a weapon does actually exist.

Stephen Corman (here is the link, but it no longer works):

"At a government workshop some time ago I heard someone describe a new tool that was described as the “voice of Allah.” This was said to be a device that would operate at a distance and would deliver a message that only a single person could hear."

"Holosonic Research Labs and American Technology Corporation both have versions of directed sound, which can allow a single person to hear a message that others around don't hear."

"DARPA appears to be working on its own sonic projector. Intriguingly, Strategy Page reports that troops are using the Long Range Acoustic Device as a modified Voice of God weapon."

More recently:

"New Technology Uses Lasers to Transmit Audible Messages to Specific People"...."researchers from the Massachusetts Institute of Technology’s (MIT) Lincoln Laboratory report....'Our system can be used from some distance away to beam information directly to someone's ear,” said research team leader Charles M. Wynn. “It is the first system that uses lasers that are fully safe for the eyes and
skin to localize an audible signal to a particular person in any setting." (1/23/2019)

I found the following links through Reddit, discussion title: "Psychotronic Weapons; Can you be made to kill someone, anyone at all? Sadly the answer is yes: The Microwave Auditory Effect."

It provides the following links:

"Microwave voices inside your skull patent": "Sound is induced in the head of a person by radiating the head with microwaves in the range of 100 megahertz to 10,000 megahertz that are modulated with a particular waveform. The waveform consists of frequency modulated bursts. Each burst is made up of ten to twenty uniformly spaced pulses grouped tightly together. The burst width is between 500 nanoseconds and 100 microseconds. The pulse width is in the range of 10 nanoseconds to 1 microsecond. The bursts are frequency modulated by the audio input to create the sensation of hearing in the person whose head is irradiated."

"Sonic Projectors A Voice Only You Can Hear: DARPA's Sonic Projector" (Sharon Weinberger again, in Wired): "Imagine that creates sound that only you can hear. Science fiction? No, this is one area that has a very solid basis in reality. The Air force has experimented with microwaves that create sounds in people's head (which they've called a possible psychological warfare tool), and American Technologies can "beam" sounds to specific targets with their patented HyperSound (and yes, I've heard/seen them demonstrate the speakers, and they are shockingly effective)."

"Report: Nonlethal Weapons Could Target Brain, Mimic Schizophrenia" (Sharon Weinberger) "A newly declassified Pentagon report, Bioeffects of Selected Non-Lethal Weapons, obtained by a private citizen under the Freedom of Information Act, provides some fascinating tidbits on a variety of exotic weapons ideas. Among those discussed are weapons that could disrupt the brain, as well as my longtime obsession, the "Voice of God" device, which creates voices in people's heads. As the report notes, 'Application of the microwave hearing technology could facilitate a private message transmission. It may be useful to provide a disruptive condition to a person not aware of the
technology. Not only might it be disruptive to the sense of hearing, it could be psychologically devastating if one suddenly heard 'voices within one's head.'"

And those are just a few links to information about this weapon.

Ask yourself, why would a complete random stranger drive down to Monsey, go to a rabbi's house, and try to kill everyone there?

One theory could be that this was a domestic terror attack, using a mentally ill man as a proxy, inducing him to commit the attack using directed sound weaponry.

Such an attack would have the effect of making people fearful and angry, provoking racism, and perhaps even inciting further violent acts and chaos as people become jittery, arm up, and overreact to future events unnecessarily.

###

**E. Jean Carroll's Story Seems Lifted from Law & Order: SVU**

The journalist, dating advice columnist, and matchmaker E. Jean Carroll accused President Donald J. Trump of rape in an article published by The Cut, June 21, 2019.

She says "assaulted," but also says her friends called it rape; it's been widely reported as a rape allegation; for this reason the word "rape" will be used here.

Carroll tells of an encounter with Trump, a stranger, at Bergdorf Goodman. Trump recognizes her vaguely, says Carroll; he is handsome; he asks for her help buying a present for a woman; he tells her he wants lingerie; he tells her she would look good in the lingerie; they go to the lingerie section, close the door to a dressing room, and he attacks her. She laughs the whole way through.

This would be an odd story in any event, because the victim freely admits that she consented to go with her "attacker" to an isolated place and laughed continually at what occurred there.
It gets even odder when you realize that Carroll has written up the plot of an episode of Law & Order Special Victims Unit, as Twitter user @thebradfordfile pointed out on June 25, 2019.

The episode is called "Theatre Tricks." It originally aired January 11, 2012. (I reviewed the episode on Amazon Prime Video here. Cast listing is here. Full episode recap here.) Here are some relevant quotes, beginning at approximately 35:00.

*Judge Gerald Crane (the patsy):* "It wasn’t rape. Meghan wanted it. Well that is to say that she arranged the entire thing. It was her fantasy."

Crane: "She set the whole thing up, I was invited there to satisfy her. She said in the email that she wanted her first time to be a stranger rape fantasy. Told me where to go, when, what she’d be wearing, and that she wanted it quick, rough and public."

Crane: We had a safe word, Dixie. If it went too far, she’d say it. She didn’t."

*Detective Amanda Rollins (Kelli Giddish):* "Did anyone want to role play a rape with you in a public place?"

*Judge Crane: (Assents) (Shows the detectives his iPad.)* “The role play took place in the dressing room of Bergdorf’s. While she was trying on lingerie I would burst in"

*Det. Rollins (to Actual Criminal - The Roommate Who Set Up The Judge):* “We know about you and Judge Crane. His rape fantasies, you and him in the Bergdorf Goodman dressing room.”

On Monday, June 24, Carroll did an interview about her allegation with CNN’s Anderson Cooper that left him visibly shaken.

During this interview, Carroll stated: "I was not thrown on the ground and ravaged. The word rape carries so many sexual connotations. This was not sexual. It just hurt."

She added: "I think most people think of rape as being sexy. They think of the fantasies."

This led Cooper to cut abruptly to commercial.
Think about the plot of that SVU episode.

A woman sets up a judge to commit a rape.

The episode centers around that woman's stranger rape fantasy.

The fantasy specifically involves "quick, rough and public" "rape in a public place."

Even more specifically, the fantasy involves trying on lingerie at Bergdorf Goodman.

How is it possible that E. Jean Carroll was raped by Donald Trump in around 1995-1996 in the same way as was written into an SVU episode which aired 18 years later?

It isn't, and Trump has vehemently denied the allegation. Nevertheless, the media has convicted him anyway.

###

**Afraid To Speak Out**

We become aware of corruption in different ways.

Some are victims of crime.

Some are born into crime.

Some are observers of shady situations.

Some work for people who are corrupt.

Some experience a mixture of different factors not listed here.

For whatever reason, in whatever way, it is never just a single thing.

I became drawn into researching government corruption when Border Patrol Agent Brian Terry was murdered in 2010 by a rogue operation called Fast & Furious.

There are other things I do not want to mention here.

In the summer of 2016, I became aware, as many of you did, of FBIAnon, the leaked Podesta emails, the Alefantis Instagram, and other things.
Many expressed fear for my safety, including family and friends and colleagues.

On Twitter, Jen Moore reached out to me. She was later murdered.

I put my name out there and I therefore am unable to research every topic I would like to, to the extent that I would like, out of safety concerns. In addition, there are leads that legally cannot be investigated due to content. I am sure it is the same for many of you.

I have experienced squeaking noises on my phone calls, blocked WiFi connections, and what looks like someone taking screenshots on my cellphone while I am doing Periscopes. Broadcasts are either cut or interrupted.

This is repression of my civil liberties. It is not about national security. It is about preventing me from saying things or looking into things that may yield truths people don’t want out there.

I am aware of this and I am grateful for the extent of the freedom that I do have. I just think it should be noted that the boundaries of the conversation are always there — they are mostly unseen.

###
VII. The Devil’s Minions
The Dark Army

I posted this on December 19, 2019. I saw the anonymous explanation of “The Dark Army” on an Internet forum called “Godlike Productions.”

“This isn’t the US government or any governments, as someone told me ‘I’m not with the US government you know of.’”

“The term Deep State isn’t accurate because they don’t have a state, they are stateless.”

“They are a force for evil and have infiltrated nearly everything, so they are a Dark force.”

“They are everywhere, their information gathering is everywhere, and there are so many of them, up to 20% of the population is supporting them, so they are like an Army.”

“The best way to defeat the Dark Army is to expose them.”

“You could blame groups...but the entire problem is there are also good people in each of these groups.”

“Because it’s pervasive, blaming one group is simply too limited.”

“These are old forces after all and they believe in destruction, not re-generation.”

“It’s the bad ones we need to identify, without casting aspersions on the good ones and turning the good into bad. The good ones still have a chance.”

“The bad ones are against truth, they have no compassion and they are intolerant of others.

For these reasons, they go against the characteristic of the cosmos and the Great Law and they are fundamentally evil.”

“When you see good people being persecuted, dropping dead, being taxed to death (a financial form of the same persecution), you know you are around this Dark Army.”

“The best way to defeat the Dark Army is to expose them.” (Source: Anonymous post on GodlikeProductions.com)
Now this is a very interesting and different way of looking at the phenomenon of evil.

The previous post’s discussion was about a physical group of people we call the “cabal” and their characteristic behaviors.

At the top of the cabal (perversion of Jewish kabbalah, meaning “received wisdom”) are satanic priests and priestesses who invoke spells to achieve material goals.

But these people are in the end servants of the demonic realm. As such they form a “Dark Army.”

These people also mate with spirits, because demons seek a human body to inhabit and control. This is another reason why the “Dark Army” is more fitting as it encompasses people and evil spirits.

When I was younger I used to like playing with the Ouija board. Then I learned that this is a common way demons inhabit people. It’s like giving them consent.

In Jewish tradition we watch the body from death to burial so that evil spirits can’t enter and inhabit it.

Crib death is said to be a visitation from the head of the demonesses, L—.

You aren’t supposed to say their names. Saying their names gives them power.

For my Bat Mitzvah I got a book about exorcising dybbuks (looking this up, I am not sure what book it was; perhaps The Golem of Prague, which is an overview of the Jewish view of all things related to this realm; see this interesting article).

In DC there are ghosts at the Department of Energy (one that jumps off the roof) and the campus of St. Elizabeth (Homeland Security) - it rattles one of the bathrooms inside. (Sources: different people who have told me this.)

I know people who have awakened with the sense that they are being crushed, and they had to tell the spirit to go away.

I had to do a sage burning to say goodbye to some spirits that showed up in our home, not visible to me but visible to others.
Jews call demons “shadim.” They are real. We are not supposed to confront them.

The main thing is to fear God.

Remember when survivor Sarah Ashcraft taught us about #Hivites? They act as a hive.

When someone “forgets themselves” they are prone to being inhabited by demons. This is why the Dark Army appeals to ego, greed, etc. (See this post about Parshas Vayishlach and Jacob wrestling with Esau's "angel," the head of the demons.)

And someone sent me this thing, the way to extinguish it, too: “Fight Hate With Love.” Or, put another way: "Darkness cannot drive out darkness; only light can do that." - The Rev. Dr. Martin Luther King, Jr.

But some people cannot be redeemed. These are the people who do hunt children for sport - and they are about to face the law.

It is to them that Q speaks here, on July 22, 2019:

"Dark to LIGHT.

Hunters become the HUNTED."

How to redeem this world from evil:
Pray to God - fear God - serve only God
See evil for what it is, and evil people for who they are
Expose them
Hold them accountable; bring them to justice
Extinguish hate with love

###

**Coming To Terms With My Mission In Life**

I do not like researching occult satanic ritual abuse and child sex trafficking; mind control and related propaganda, psy-ops, false flags, and fake
news; and corruption. I do it because I feel called to. This may disturb some people, and I understand.

If not for @SaRaAshcraft I don’t know that I would have believed it. It was Sarah who lucidly and patiently explained.

I will say this. I have always felt connected to this subject matter - the suffering of women and the occult. What you are drawn to is your mission in life.

For those of us who have been researching together these past few years, you may recall when the Alefantis Instagram account came to light. Had to wipe my Twitter account again and again, it just felt disgusting.

Reincarnation is not just something I believe in, it is something that is real. And I do recall past lives, including a life where perhaps I was a witch, the bad kind or maybe a “white witch.”

I think on some level we know that our lives here, our present incarnation, is about correcting the sins of prior lives, and so if I polluted the world as a witch or even a trafficker, God forbid, let this existence be the cleansing.

I don’t mind sharing this. I trust you all.

The other thing I know, and this is not conjecture, is that there are many unsettled spirits seeking rest from their torment. Either they were terrible sinners, and aren’t even allowed into hell, or they feel the crime against them must be avenged and cannot pass.

The point is that what we are going through here, together, is like a giant sage stick applied to the muck of the world.

When I see a star with red lipstick all the time, or those ads promoting it, I know that the subconscious meaning is blood.

When someone tells me that their kids are into nonstop video games or Disney stuff I have to bite my knuckles to refrain from screaming.

You think you’re the only one who thinks these things, but I promise you that you are not.

And all you have to do is compare 2019 movies to 2009, 1979, 1959, 1949, and back. Maybe they hid it better, but they felt compelled to hide.
Anyway. Much emotional good feeling coming from me to the Twitterverse right now. It’s weird and good to discover how weird you are, and that there are other weird people who don’t think you’re weird at all. :-) 

And I see that truth is spreading.

###

**Understanding the Cabal**

Okay it's December 17, 2019 at 6:08 p.m. Let's talk briefly about what is going on just to touch base.

So the thread about the Virginia militia situation obviously hit a nerve. The trolls came out of the woodwork in a way I haven't seen since (well I don't want to mention those other names) but they crawled out of the woodwork when certain names were mentioned at certain times.

We have come to understand that even the most innocent person or movement or religion or group will be infiltrated by sickoids who cannot stand the prospect of people being happy and free.

An unfortunate consequence of this infiltration is that people are fooled and blame the "cover group" for the actions of the infiltrators, until eventually everyone is confused and fighting each other, which was of course the original goal.

In the realm of antisemitism, if you simply say "let's fight hate together" there is always a huge backlash, because our group is special and the nature of antisemitism is special and on and on and on.

I feel that Jewish people (I am Jewish) need to look at the Torah and realize that antisemitism is like all other crimes, usually insider in nature, or committed by freaks, or caused by troublemakers wanting to pit us all against one another.

Let's imagine people A, B, and C.

A hates C.

A pushes B into C.

C thinks that B pushed him.
Now you understand war.

I had another realization related to this. If you deny reality, then people grasp that you're hiding something but they fill in the blanks with nonsense. This is why you hear about the "Khazarian Mafia" but you don't actually hear about the Sabbateans, the Frankists, etc.

A third realization is that we are close to, but not understanding one of the major culprit causes, which has to be in the EU or centered thereabouts. We know about the Rothschilds as amoral moneymen. We know about Rome. What about Belgium, the Netherlands, Germany, France.

These countries and their bloodlines and their castles and their secret societies are what we need to understand better.

I am getting the feeling that Europe ranks #1, and then there is some sort of caste system with Australia and E. Europe as #2 and #3 perhaps.

As far as Zionism, like all movements (example: Black Nationalism), I think there is a legitimate version, which made some sense, and then there is the coopted version, which fights to overtake the first version from within. That's why it's hard to talk about.

I am concerned that with all the complicated evidence we are getting from people like @RudyGiuliani we will miss the overall schema or framework that needs to be understood. And it is fairly simple.

Satanic cult operatives on top, using children for rituals

They contract out the work of trafficking to thugs (kids/arms/drugs)

They control businesses/governments that support the trafficking

They control networks of influence that support the above

This="Economic Stability"

Anyone who threatens this system is removed, silenced, turned into controlled opposition, or inhabited and reversed so that they play a complicit role. (Blessing, bribery, blackmail)

###
Witchcraft Will Fail

There is only God.

ANY and ALL attempts at “Egypt” [witchcraft, sorcery, magick, spells, drumming, occult rituals and hive chanting and channeling] are useless against His supreme power.

Genesis describes how the patriarch Jacob (renamed Israel) is about to pass away. He has lived 17 years in occult, unholy Egypt and is blessing his children before he passes.

The number 17 (Q in the English alphabet) is in the first verse of the portion, Gen. 47:28.

What is significant about this number?

17 has the same numerical value as the word for "good" in Hebrew - Tov.

What is the ultimate good? God is good.

God is the force behind ALL THINGS. God is EVERYTHING. and GOD IS GOOD.

Demons only operate in the realm of NATURE.

John Ramirez was a Satanist who wanted to kill a devout Christian woman just to prove that the devil was stronger than God.

But the Devil would not let him do it. "The God we serve said to leave her alone."

Yet Ramirez did have power. He had material wealth. He had women.

People were afraid of him.

The source of that power was pretty simple. The people he targeted did not believe. Their faith was only superficial. As such, God relinquished them to the spells he cast.

In "The Wizard of Oz," what power does the witch actually have over Dorothy? None.

The witch's power is an ILLUSION. It existed only in Dorothy's MIND.
All she had to do was CONNECT WITH HOME.

GOD IS HOME.

In this story, the red shoes represent Dorothy's secret power.

In real life, red shoes represent (to occultists) eating children alive, skinning them, and making their skin into trophies - red shoes.

Dorothy had only to take her skin back - her power back.

Red represents blood. It is terror. The life of a man - "his lifeblood."

Adenochrome abuse is about inflicting terror on a child, then drinking their blood while it is infused with the chemicals of their terror.

What is an egregore? It is the collective consciousness formed by evil people which is meant to serve as a substitute for God.

The egregore only works if you don't believe in God.

Jacob lived in Egypt for 17 years.

Jacob was a man of God.

God is Supreme.

The Egyptians feared Jacob and the Jews. They had no power over the Jews, when they had faith in God.

Ramirez gave his life to Satan and as such Satan had power over him. He tried to walk away from Satan and his eyesight was removed as punishment.

But one day, God intervened and sent an angel to bring Ramirez home. Ramirez perceived this as a direct meeting with Jesus:

"Jesus took me to hell. And when I arrived in hell, the doors are open. The doors are open is with an indescribable echo and the heat came out of nowhere."

All the people who served the Devil, landed in Hell. Ramirez had arrived at their final destination. He could not stand the stench. He heard "the kind of scream that is indescribable." Indescribable PAIN.
Ramirez had not even left Hell when the Devil appeared to attack, confuse, intimidate and dissuade him. Because the Devil already knew Ramirez would "betray" him.

To an abuser, any assertion of health by the victim is an act of "betrayal."

Ramirez was scared of Satan who had functioned as his father and he said, in "demonic language" (their code): "No, I will not leave you, I'm just confused I do not know what's going on!"

God is TRUTH and the devil is FALSE.

And so the devil said to Ramirez - you will tell everyone else that I am false, you know my secrets, and I cannot have it. (Because human free will is removed when truth becomes obvious and this disrupts God's plan.)

The devil leapt at Ramirez and a cross appeared.

Ramirez defended himself by simply touching the devil with it. "I then put it on him and when I put it on him he collapsed like a child."

But the devil still pursued him, because Ramirez was still looking to the Devil's own tools for a defense - not to God.

"I answer in demonic language: 'I have these marks, these contracts that protect me and I will destroy you.'"

He said:

"You fool, I gave you these brands, with brands I have you. I have you! No one possesses you if not me! And you're going to live or die for me!"

Ramirez had given up: "I thought, it's over."

But then again:

"the cross of Jesus Christ appeared to me in hell. There is no greater love than the cross that appears for a sinner like me in hell."

The hell was in RAMIREZ' OWN MIND, and it manifested IN HIS CHOICES.

God wanted him to save other people. And so God came to save Ramirez.
"Grace and mercy have appeared in hell, grace and mercy knew my address, grace and mercy had a plan for my life. With my unspeakable ways of living, evil, selfish and arrogant I was in hell."

Jacob was in Egypt for 17 years. Jacob defied the Devilish ways of the Egyptians.

But where they respected the Jews at 1st, eventually the Egyptians tried to break them.

Jacob knows the children will be freed eventually. But first they have to survive a long stay in Hell. And part of that stay in Hell will be the evil behavior of the people themselves.

As they sink further and further into the pit that envelops them. (Recall, brother Joseph was saved from a physical pit and thrown into a spiritual one, Egypt.)

The end was written in the beginning (as it was written before the happenings in our country, now; quite literally, there is a plan being enacted before our eyes AS IF it were spontaneous; it's not)

...but the people are lost in the physical

...in nature

some lose faith.

We know this because Moses acts with valor to kill an Egyptian who has smitten one of his brothers.

Later on, he sees two Jews fighting and one asks, snarkily: "Oh, what are you going to do, kill me like you killed the other guy?"

It is a threat and Moses has to flee.

It was my Muslim colleague Hasan who taught me a lot about Islam, including how Muslims view the Jews, and this part always stuck with me:

"God fearing people never have a problem with one another. The problem is when Jews abandon their religion."
Why do you think Hollywood has spent so much time trying to tell you that God is worthless, that faith is stupid, that all that matters is what you want, looking good, and "loving" your family and friends?

What do they care?

Money is money.

Why, in "National Lampoon's Christmas Vacation," do they turn a Christmas tree into black soot, make sure that Grandma turns Grace into the Pledge of Allegiance, and talk about burning the turkey so that "all that is left is the heart?"

Why, in this movie, do the producers glorify shopping, glorify sexual harassment of women selling stuff at the mall, portray the brother and the sister sleeping together in the same bed with incestuous overtones, have Clark turned on by the neighbor and a 6 yo girl appears?

Because America is a holy nation, founded on Christian values. And so to destroy the blessings this Nation has, the devil must destroy its Christianity.

As for Islam, another holy religion that traces its roots to Abraham our father, the evil ones have bastardized it, corrupted many of its leaders and exploited so many of its adherents.

The way of Islam is peace through strength and loyalty.

This Bible portion is about the beginning of slavery. It exists only in the mind. And so you must prepare your child -- each child in his or her own way -- to relinquish their ego and take up the fight against evil.

Last thing.

On his deathbed, Jacob condemns the rage of Simeon and Levi.

For their rage in avenging the rape of Dinah, they will be landless -- dispersed among the Jews.

I asked the rabbi about this statement.

"Simeon and Levi did the right thing, didn't they?"

"Yes," he said. "But it was the anger."

You have to do justice without anger.
Those who serve anger are akin to those serving idols.

###

**Mind Control Serves the Luciferian Narrative**

Think logically: If the enemy is the Dark Army, then the remedy is to be the Army of Light. DARK TO LIGHT.

QAnon says: DARK TO LIGHT.

Luciferians define light as KNOWLEDGE and say it trumps God.

Trump defines light as GOD and trumps Luciferians — THE DARK ARMY.

Now it all makes sense to me.

Now the pieces fall into place.

They can’t fight God. And so their main goal is to make you stay away from Him, or not know Him, or feel guilty and ashamed and rejected by Him so you don’t bother trying to draw near Him.

The Dark Army assumes any form because it is not a person or a group but rather a VERSION OF REALITY - a NARRATIVE - that God allowed you be established to give us FREE WILL here on earth.

That is why the radical Left focuses solely on the NARRATIVE and not the FACTS.

This is the “wrap up smear” Nancy Pelosi admitted to.

It’s not impeachment, but “impeachment”

This is the Matrix

Now it all makes sense...oh my God.

Since we are dealing with the Dark Army which God has given somewhat free reign in this world, it can morph as any situation allows.

The Dark Army will knock on your door and try to get you to join but only at your level.
The book “Satan: An Autobiography” by Kabbalist Yehuda Berg accurately describes how the DA is winning. And has to help us balance the scale.

So when you look at any MASS social phenomena, particularly mass phenomena - mass tragedy, mass media, mass advertising, mass retail - you are NORMALLY looking at a Dark Army (DA) vehicle.

OCCASIONALLY this vehicle is turned to LIGHT - our JOB is to turn it to light.

Choice is human.

Choosing God is the ultimate weapon in the hands of humans.

That’s why they can’t leave humans alone.

One more thing. The word “triggered” means one thing in our world (loony leftist meltdown at logic) but it really means to push someone into doing something against their better self.

THAT IS WHAT THEY TRY TO DO TO US, all the time, to sever us from God.

Since they are a lie (a narrative) they depend on brainwashing (mind control) to achieve dominance over the human sphere.

Mind control is mainly achieved through peer pressure - all tell you to go along with what is “normal.”
The One Time Hillary Told The Truth

Hillary Clinton did an interview with Greta Van Susteren (published 2012):

Van Susteren: “So you think if we walked away from this, didn’t give them money today, it would be worse for us from a security standpoint?

Clinton: “I do. We’re building a relationship which did not exist. I said in our last trip when you were with me that we had a huge trust deficit, in part because the United States had, to be fair, we had helped to create the problem we’re now fighting.”

Van Susteren: “How?”

Clinton: “Because, when the Soviet Union invaded Afghanistan, we had this brilliant idea that we were gonna come to Pakistan, and create a force of mujahideen, equip them with Stinger missiles and everything else, to go after the Soviets inside Afghanistan. And we were successful. The Soviets left Afghanistan and we said: “Great, goodbye” (makes hand gesture as if to say “we washed our hands of them”). Leaving these trained people, who were fanatical, in Afghanistan, and Pakistan, leaving them well-armed, creating a mess, frankly, that at the time we didn’t really recognize, we were just so happy to see the Soviet Union fall, and we thought, okay fine, we’re okay now, everything’s gonna be so much better. Now you look back, the people we’re fighting today, we were supporting in the fight against the Soviets.”

Clinton makes a similar admission here (undated video):

“The people we are fighting today we funded twenty years ago....and it was President Reagan in partnership with the Congress, led by Democrats, who said you know what it sounds like a pretty good idea... let’s deal with the ISI and the Pakistan military and let’s go recruit these mujahideen. And that’s great, let get some to come from Saudi Arabia and other countries, importing their Wahabi brand of Islam so that we can go beat the Soviet Union.

“And guess what, they retreated ... they lost billions of dollars and it led to the collapse of the Soviet Union....it wasn’t a bad investment in terms of Soviet Union but let’s be careful what we sow... because we will harvest.
“So we then left Pakistan ... We said okay fine you deal with the Stingers that we’ve left all over your country, you deal with the mines that are along the border and, by the way, we don’t want to have anything to do with you... in fact we’re sanctioning you.”

Someone commented on the Van Susteren interview: “I think that’s the only truth she’s ever spoken.”

###

**Who Does Rashida Tlaib Represent?**

The Hebrew word for “evil person” is “Rasha,” and unfortunately the United States Congress has a new one in town.

Her name is Rashida Tlaib, and she is the newly elected representative of the Michigan’s 13th Congressional district.

Here she is at a MoveOn.org event, January 3, 2018. Keep in mind this is her first day in office as a member of the U.S. Congress.

“People love you, and you win. And when your son looks at you and says ‘Mama look, you won,’ bullies don’t win. And I said ‘Baby, they don’t. Because we’re gonna go in there and we’re gonna impeach the motherf***er.”

The issue here is not that Tlaib cursed the President.

It is that Tlaib is whipping up the crowd to remove the President from office for no reason.

For this, Rashida Tlaib is guilty of sedition.

Tlaib is not just a seditious traitor. She is also a raging anti-Semite who is here representing the Palestinian Authority, and not the United States.

How do we know this?

On August 11, 2018, at an event to celebrate Tlaib’s win in the primary, journalist Laura Loomer asked Tlaib the following question:

“Are you willing to admit...that Hamas is a terrorist organization?”
In response, Tlaib (who states that she is anti-bullying), not only refuses to respond, but physically attacks Loomer, grabbing the journalist’s recording device and forcing her to physically retrieve it.

On January 6, three days after her “attack in a crowded theater” against President Trump, Tlaib unleashed another anti-Semitic tirade on Twitter, accusing American Jews of having dual loyalty, stating that Jews “forgot what country they represent.”

The context for Tlaib’s comment was Senator Bernie Sanders’ comment that legislation to reopen the government should not be held up by legislation to stop the economic terrorism levied by Palestinian interests against Israel, America’s military and strategic partner in the Middle East.

It should be noted that Senator Sanders is Jewish and that Jews of conscience may argue any which way about any legislation they so choose. Indeed, there are Jews who support the boycott.

The issue is that Tlaib, in her support for this movement (note: it’s not about censorship) is acting as an agent of the Palestinian people.

“Michigan Rep.-elect Rashida Tlaib and Minnesota Rep.-elect Ilhan Omar both made history during the 2018 midterm elections by becoming the first Muslim women elected to Congress—but they didn’t stop there. Tlaib and Omar both also publicly support Palestine through the Boycott, Divestment, Sanctions movement, which, according to The Hill, marks a first for congressional officials.

To make your concerns known, you can file an ethics complaint with the United States House of Representatives.

###

**A Congresswoman’s Accusation**

On August 17, 2017, then-Minnesota State House Representative Ilhan Omar made the following statement on Twitter:

“We must confront that our nation was founded by genocide and we maintain global power through neocolonialism.”
Nearly two years later, on April 13, 2019, the same individual, now a member of the United States House of Representatives (serving Minnesota’s 5th Congressional District), stated, also on Twitter:

“This country was founded on the ideas of justice, of liberty, of the pursuit of happiness. But these core beliefs are under threat. Each and every day. We are under threat by an administration that would rather cage children than pass comprehensive immigration reform.”

Logically, motives lead to outcomes. As such, to say that our country was “founded by genocide” (2017) stands in contradiction the moral foundation of “justice, of liberty, of the pursuit of happiness” (2019).

An example of a consistent statement would be that our country was “founded by genocide” because it was “founded on the ideas of might makes right.” Omar does not say that.

An opposing example of a consistent statement would be something like: “Our country was founded by intense struggle,” because it was “founded on the ideas of justice, of liberty, of the pursuit of happiness”—and it is difficult to achieve those ideas without a great deal of conflict.

But Omar’s statements don’t hold together in the way a consistent thought does. How can she on the one hand say that our Nation was founded by people who intentionally sought to kill of an entire identity group (specifically Native Americans) and on the other hand say that these same people had the noblest of ideals?

I find the simple definition found in Wikipedia to be workable:

“Genocide is intentional action to destroy a people (usually defined as an ethnic, national, racial, or religious group) in whole or in part.”

Genocide requires:

- Mass killing;
- A defined identity group; and
- Intention by the killing group to destroy the defined identity group.

Some well-known examples of genocide: Armenia, the Holocaust, Rwanda, Bosnia and Darfur.
Of course, it is not necessary for a massacre to be a genocide for it to matter. The brutal massacre, rape, colonization and other mistreatment of Native Americans and their lands is not just one atrocity, but many:

“For hundreds of years a mixture of colonial conflict, disease, specific atrocities and policies of discrimination has devastated the Native American population. In the course of this time, it is estimated that over nine million Natives died from violent conflict or disease.... Today there are over 500 Native American tribes in the United States, each with a distinct culture, way of life and history. Even today, Native Americans face large challenges to cope with the disadvantages history has left them and ongoing cases of discrimination.”

On social media, Omar’s supporters flooded Twitter to say that she was actually correct, essentially because the ideals of the founders did not mesh with their actions on the ground.

This more nuanced approach (“intrinsic genocide”) suggests that the United States perpetrated genocide on the Native Americans because we took actions that resulted in the death of their culture. And it is factually true that we arrived on this continent, colonized it, killed the Native Americans, took their land, and substituted our culture for theirs.

The History Channel has published a list of massacres of Native Americans by the settlers that were fueled by a kind of hatred that mingled race and religion, and they call these massacres “genocide”:

“The reasons for this racial genocide were multi-layered. Settlers, most of whom had been barred from inheriting property in Europe, arrived on American shores hungry for Indian land—and the abundant natural resources that came with it. Indians’ collusion with the British during the American Revolution and the War of 1812 exacerbated American hostility and suspicion toward them.

“Even more fundamentally, indigenous people were just too different: Their skin was dark. Their languages were foreign. And their world views and spiritual beliefs were beyond most white men’s comprehension....all this stoked racial hatred and paranoia, making it easy to paint indigenous peoples as pagan savages who must be killed in the name of civilization and Christianity.”
Another way of putting this view is “passive intent,” or perhaps “unconscious intent.” While on the one hand espousing high ideals, the settlers demonized and dehumanized people who were perhaps not equally entitled to a vision of justice, liberty, and the pursuit of happiness.

If we take Omar’s words at face value, then we are forced to reconsider all wars in light of the idea that the conquering party is actually committing genocide, particularly in cases where religion is at issue.

If we look at Jewish history alone, all persecutions aimed at eliminating the Jewish people from the face of the earth were genocides or attempted genocides.

We could include the persecution of Christians by those of other faiths as a form of genocide or attempted genocide.

And we could say, obviously, that radical Islamic terror is inherently genocidal in nature.

The fact that Omar likely has a more nuanced view of genocide than many is all the more reason to condemn her actions in Congress, particularly with respect to Jews. As both a sitting member of the U.S. House of Representatives, and a member of the House foreign Affairs Committee, it is shocking and revolting that Ilhan Omar repeatedly shows her support for genocidal radical Islamic terrorists.

Omar is a fundraiser for the Council on American-Islamic Relations, which was established to support the genocidal terror group HAMAS, which has in its founding covenant the “struggle against the Jews” and the destruction of Israel. Most recently, Omar spoke at a CAIR event where she referred to the 9/11 terror attack as a case where “some people did something, and all of us (us, meaning Muslims) were starting to lose access to our civil liberties, minimizing the horrific nature of the crime.

She has made so many statements attacking Jews that she was forced to apologize publicly, but even after her apology Omar continued to attack Jews, calling the American-Israel Public Affairs Committee (not Arab lobbying) “problematic”; singling out Jewish adviser to President Trump Stephen Miller to refer to him as a “white nationalist”; and so on.
Omar has claimed ignorance, but she is a Congresswoman; so many are making excuses for her that a video is now circulating that calls out their dumb excuses.

Finally, Omar has behaviorally supported terrorists who seek to commit genocide against Americans. She minimizes the worst terror attack in our Nation’s history, 9/11; laughs when discussing al-Qaeda; voted to continue life insurance payments to terrorists’ families; asked a judge for “compassion” in the sentencing of radical Islamic ISIS terror recruits; and her own Somali community in Minnesota is the “terror recruitment capital of the U.S.”

By her own measure of genocide (referred to here as “passive intent”) Omar has demonstrated that she is a willing enabler of a hostile invasion of both the United States and of Israel.

But she still has a good point about the Native Americans.

###
VIII. Fighting Back
The Silent Heroes of The Second Revolutionary War

The Public Awakens

Taking their cue from a Hillary Clinton campaign ad, thought elites regularly identify Patriots with extremism and bigotry. Anyone who espouses conservative thinking is tarnished with this brush—for example, the Program on Extremism at George Washington University in recent years published a white paper purporting to describe concerned Patriots as dangerous extremists with false views. Militias, a logical response to out-of-control border areas or street gangs, are termed “breeding grounds for hate.” And the Anti-Defamation League specifically applies the term “hate” to those with non-Left points of view.

But as reality sets in after two years of the Trump presidency, reality has begun to impinge on the fantasies being pushed on the people—most prominently, giving birth to the “Walkaway” movement (led by a gay man) and the “Blexit” (Black Exit) and “Jexit” (Jewish exit) or “Jexodus” movements as well.

Today, I was getting my morning coffee this when a woman (who happened to be a woman of color) saw my “Patriot” mug and asked me about the logo and my book. In response, I said that it was about our current undeclared civil war.

“When you say that we are in a civil war, what do you mean?” she said.

How do you condense three to ten years of research into a single sentence?

So I said:

“I mean the desperate opposition to President Trump, which is really opposition to America. And at the same time saving children from human trafficking, which goes on because of corruption.”

Her eyes registered confusion at the first point, and recognition of the second. Multiple women of color, and one friend who is an Indigenous American, have told me that someone either tried to kidnap them as children in poor neighborhoods, or that they knew of such things and were concerned about why children seemed to be easy prey. One actually went on to become an activist against human trafficking.
These women have suffered not only at the hands of potential traffickers, but at the hands of the domestic courts, child protective services, and others who have “washed their hands” of their cases. There is money to be made on the side of the perpetrators, and no money on the side of the victims.

This is why the Trump Administration’s fight against corruption, and its fight against human trafficking and child sex trafficking in particular, need to be seen as one thing. The President has gone to war with the predators on every front, particularly legislatively and financially.

**Logic Dictates The Awakening and Its Questions**

Logic dictates that if the media is 98% hostile to President Trump, and “incestuous” with Democrats, as Mark Levin claims in his new (and heavily researched) book, the law of simplicity dictates that the media is not about the truth. Rather, they are engaged in a conspiracy to overthrow the government even to the point of refusing to cover the Nation’s traditional July 4th (Independence Day) festivities in Washington, DC.

Logic dictates that if President Trump is doing things that protect children from trafficking, but politicians push a narrative that he is akin to Hitler and is “terrorizing immigrant families,” as well as calling Federal law enforcement agencies “radicalized, criminal” to the point where they should be “eliminated,” then they have an agenda and cannot tell the truth. (Recall when they repeatedly denied there even was a border crisis, calling it “manufactured.”)

Logic dictates that if Democrats are celebrating infanticide with a standing ovation, ignoring massive rape by human border smugglers (Kamala Harris actually promoted mothers sending their children with rapist coyote smugglers), teaching anal sex to minors in Teen Vogue, celebrating younger and younger sex ed in public schools, even to the point of kindergarten – then they are pro-pedophilia. (Not to mention Western European liberals in multiple countries ignoring a massive migrant rape wave.)

Similarly, logic dictates that if deep underground military bases exist, and California is home to more than 30 military bases, it must have such facilities in the state. As such, it is logical to ask whether—given that the top priority of the Administration is protecting our nation’s children—the the earthquakes in CA are actually the demolishing by President Trump of underground facilities doing illegitimate things to them.
The U.S. Geological Service calls it “partially fact” that humans can cause earthquakes, noting that “deep mining” may play a role, but otherwise, “other human activities have not been shown to trigger subsequent earthquakes.” Yet if this is the case, why is there an international treaty prohibiting the use of just such weapons? The 1978 Environmental Modification Convention prohibits: “changing—the deliberate manipulation of natural processes—the dynamics, composition or structure of the earth” and binds each signatory:

“not to engage in military or any other hostile use of environmental modification techniques having widespread, long-lasting or severe effects as the means of destruction, damage or injury to any other State Party.”

**Man-Made Earthquakes & Deep Underground Military Bases**

Today, July 6, 2019, after multiple earthquakes hit California, the governor declared a state of emergency there. I believe that these earthquakes may be man-made, specifically the Trump Administration destroying deep underground military bases (DUMBs) with classified projects improperly involving children. Please note that this is only a hypothesis; the purpose of this article is explore it.

On December 5, 2011, an article appeared which asserted:

*The Second American Revolution is secretly being fought—deep underground. U.S. soldiers are battling the CIA, Xe (BLackwater) mercenaries, FEMA and DHS agents and foreign troops (brought into the United States through the Denver International Airport DUMB)*.

Until reading this, I thought underground military bases were possibly just a conspiracy theory. Further research yielded clarification, as more than three decades ago, in 1987, Lloyd A. Duscha gave a talk at a conference for engineers called “Underground Facilities for Defense—Experience and Lessons.”

At the time, Duscha was Deputy Director of Engineering and Construction for the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers. (It is reprinted in this book.) He stated:

*After World War II, political and economic factors changed the underground construction picture and caused a renewed interest to*
‘think underground.’...I must deviate a little because several of the most interesting facilities that have been designed and constructed by the Corps are classified.”

“Classified” Means No Public Information Exists

What is going on in these deep underground facilities? We are not allowed to know; as such whatever does appear typically looks somewhat homemade, extreme, “wonky,” and weird. (See here for example.) Does that make the information false? No; who would advertise the existence of such a thing?

Is it possible that someone is harming children as part of military experiments? Clearly, if we do not know what is going on, then anything is possible. (Recall when a group called Veterans On Patrol found a child trafficking camp with underground elements; was quickly discredited; and the site was reportedly demolished; the group that found the children claimed to have found and handed over forensic evidence.)

When Isaac Kappy died on May 13, 2019, a user going by the handle @yigsstarhouse posted the following comment to Twitter, quoting him:

“Issac Kappy: ‘What I did was DUMB....DUMB, DUMB, DUMB.’ Jumps from bridge in Bellemont, AZ where there just so happens to be a: Deep Underground Military Base >DUMB”

What is the connection between secret government programs such as classified DUMBs (see also here, here and here) and “Pizzagate,” “Pedogate,” child sexual exploitation, child sex trafficking, human trafficking, satanic ritual abuse, illegal and unethical experiments, and so on?

Perhaps nothing. But I remember when fearless child advocate, journalist and survivor of relationship abuse Liz Crokin said that she had received a tip about “children in cages.” (I do not have the link to video or a document proving this statement.) Crokin was a well-respected journalist until she started advocating for children. It is well-known that Crokin has been harassed and smeared for her work and claims.

“Children In Cages” and Immoral Equivalence

In any case, the term “children in cages” is very important to political radicals passing themselves off as Democrats. They are working hard to establish immoral equivalence between President Trump and his
Administration, and “the swamp.” As such, they accuse him of “keeping children in cages,” although the reality is far from this.

(See comment here, for example, attacking Liz Crokin for speculating that wildfires in California were actually intentionally set by pedophiles to hide evidence. The person wrote: “Hey conspiracy theory a**holes, you support a guy who literally locked children in cages, you don’t get to decide what is right for children anymore!”)

In reality, the “cages” belong to an earlier era. The 2008 Trafficking Victims Protection Act (TVPA) creates a loophole in the deportation process for children who are from countries other than Mexico or Canada (at the time, the main focus was on countries south of Mexico at risk of being trafficked). The law was already considered out of date by experts in 2014, well before President Trump took office.

Per the Department of Homeland Security, this loophole has been exploited by bad actors and needs to be closed up so that only trafficking victims benefit from it, rather than all minors crossing without parents, who may or may not be victims.

No matter what the reality is, corrupt politicians and the media who amplify their messages must cling to the narrative. This is so no matter how many times you remind them that former President Bush passed the law and former President Obama was the one who implemented the family separation policy.

**What Happened On August 23, 2011**

Many people, myself included, believe that there was a “16 year plan to destroy America.”

On December 5, 2011 (well into the first term of the Obama presidency; early on in this phase) someone published the article mentioned above, mentioning a “revolution” already underway, and the demolition of the Deep Underground Military Bases (DUMBs) was a primary element in this effort.

The author claimed that:

*evidence of these battles are presented daily through the USGS earthquake maps. Shallow, poorly constrained earthquakes at depths of 3 to 4 miles are, in all probability, caused by massive*
underground explosions at these DUMBs or their connecting tunnels.”

If this is true, the USGS denial of man-made earthquakes would seem to tie into the desire to conceal information.

If Patriots in the military were fighting back against a coup already in 2011, it would also support the contention by Jerome Corsi that the military was ready to take back the country by force in 2016 but agreed to step in and do things the normal way by recruiting Donald Trump as a candidate. Former State Department official Steve Pieczenik meanwhile referred to Hillary Clinton as attempting a “coup” and the transmission of documents to WikiLeaks a “counter-coup” intended to stop her. (Here is another link on that.)

(As Divine Providence would have it, Hillary Clinton was so overconfident that she would win that she welcomed the Trump candidacy, envisioning him as a “Pied Piper” who would attract attention, but be easy to beat.)

In any case, the anonymous article further goes on to claim that:

“USGS earthquake maps have been recording these battles since August 23, 2011, the 1st day of the Second American Revolution.”

Specifically, on August 23, 2011:

“the United States Air Force intercepted a number of improvised nuclear explosive devices that were being transported by CIA/Xe forces via the DUMB underground tunnels to targets in New York City and Washington DC. The CIA/Xe planned on detonating those nukes in New York City and Washington DC as part of 9/11 anniversary false flag events. The false flag attacks were averted when the nukes were prematurely detonated during the underground battles between the United States military and CIA/Xe forces.”

The author claims that:

“the 5.8 magnitude tremor that rattled the entire East Coast, including Washington DC, was not a natural earthquake but an earthquake that resulted from an underground nuclear detonation.”

(Or as Q says, “boom.”)
Another article linked from this site substantiates the first one, noting that the seismograph of the earthquake that took place that day was missing “P” or “primary” waves, indicating that it was a nuclear detonation because “underground nuclear detonations are very violent and immediate with no forewarnings whatsoever.”

The author states that he was “pointed to this piece of evidence by someone who claimed to be with the United States Air force.”

A commenter to the article responds to the obvious question of how they would excavate tunnels underground without us seeing evidence above ground. They point us to “United States Patent 3,693,731 – METHOD AND APPARATUS FOR TUNNELING BY MELTING,” granted in 1972 to the U.S. Atomic Energy Commission.

On August 23, 2011, The Air force stopped the attacks on DC/NY. On May 30, 2019, the President delivered the commencement speech to graduates of the Academy. He stated: “You are ready to fly, fight, and win!”

The President’s words are even more poignant when one remembers the brutal events of September 11, 2011. I believe that when the attackers failed to take down DC and New York, because the Air force detonated their nukes, the plan changed and they attacked our consulate in Benghazi, murdering Ambassador Christopher Stevens and those with him: Tyrone Woods, Glen Doherty, and Sean Patrick Smith.

###

**You Were Never In Any Danger At All**

What if this whole thing is reverse mind control?

And one day, the President will say: “You were never in any danger at all.”

What if the whole point of this thing was solely to wake us up?

It was the military that leaked Hillary’s emails. They ensured she would never get elected. She knew the jig was up a long time ago.

They are greedy and cynical. One dictator is the same as another. They roll with the punches and survive.
We’re all sitting here going crazy with fear. But it’s like Alice in Wonderland.

That’s why President Trump never seems worried.

That’s why he is constantly trolling them.

Nancy and Chuckie and Schiff are all LARPing just like Comey and Brennan. And Hillary too. Obama…a game

The diseased ones are NOT playing – the Hollywood ones – they’re in big trouble.

You see, Q told us the truth, not in the dumb superficial way that the MSM refers to when “factchecking.” It isn’t a “spawning conspiracy theory” at all but a very focused tale of good vs. evil with the main weapon being mind control.

The way out of this mess is to hold fast to God. That’s literally the entire thing.

The bad guys will do anything to separate you from God. They will push Satanism blatantly. They will distract with tragedy. They will put lesser tragedies out there to divert your sympathy. They will reverse morality and make themselves the victims. Everything.

It is true that their main weapon is fear. You always have it in your control to overcome them. Just bless praise and thank God at every opportunity and have faith.

The more aligned with God you are the less your ego rules you and the less power they exert over you.

It is good and okay to cry out to God for relief.

This free Jewish book in English explains a lot.

https://www.azamra.org/spirit/essential.php

This book is by a Kabbalist and it explains exactly how Satan serves God – he’s sent here to tempt us at every turn and every level so as to make sure we choose God freely. It’s an outstanding book. (It is NOT a work of Satanism)
What we think creates the reality. When we pray to God this “forces” Him to change the judgment.

I think America is like a gateway to Israel...like a bridge. The redemption of America from the enslavers is the first stage in the redemption of the entire world. Which culminates in the revelation of the Messiah in Israel.

Just like Moses was raised among the Egyptians and knew their magic but was a Hebrew same with President Trump.

I think he fasted on Yom Kippur because he is Jewish and that is why he looked weak and pale that day on TV.

As mentioned previously I think President Trump is anointed and sees his role as producing world peace. This is the designated role of Mashiach Ben Yosef.

Didn’t he talk about this explicitly with China today? See the President’s remarks October 11, 2019 in his meeting with Vice Premier Liu He of China:

“Because this has to do with more than trade; this has to do with world peace. This has to do with getting along. This has to do with the rest of the world. This isn’t just a deal on trade. This has to do with a lot of friction, a lot of bad things that are happening in the world.”

###

**The $1 Billion Border Question**

According to the GAO (201, the Federal Government spends nearly $1 billion per year on “advertising and public relations.” In light of the fact that we are currently experiencing a partial government shutdown based on differing public views of the facts about border security, one has to ask how well this money is currently being spent.

5 U.S. Code § 3331, the Oath of Office:

“An individual, except the President, elected or appointed to an office of honor or profit in the civil service or uniformed services, shall take the following oath: “I, AB, do solemnly swear (or affirm) that I will support and defend the Constitution of the United
States against all enemies, foreign and domestic; that I will bear true faith and allegiance to the same; that I take this obligation freely, without any mental reservation or purpose of evasion; and that I will well and faithfully discharge the duties of the office on which I am about to enter. So help me God.”

This section does not affect other oaths required by law. (Pub. L. 89–554, Sept. 6, 1966, 80 Stat. 424.)

The following questions are inherently nonpartisan and I ask them in a nonpartisan manner:

• What are Federal employees to do when they believe that our legitimately elected President is the target of a seditious conspiracy?
• What are we to do when we see a “soft coup attempt” playing out in the public eye?
• What are we to do when we see that the public is reduced to relying on purportedly “insider” statements about government operations that are relayed by an anonymous figure on an Internet message board?
• What are we to do when there is no government-wide, non-partisan mechanism for providing a clearinghouse to comment on, explain, elaborate and refute these widespread messages, that are widely believed, but which have not been validated or invalidated by any government agency or official?

“Political activity refers to any activity directed at the success or failure of a partisan group or candidate in a partisan election.”
(office of Special Counsel, 201)

These questions are not political!

If our Nation is indeed under attack, overtly or covertly, the public needs to be informed so that the public better understands the actions that are being taken by the government, particularly when they may otherwise be inexplicable.

If we are not under attack, this fact must be exposed and the record corrected.

###
What’s Required Is for You To Tune In

(Because the bad guys want you to tune out.)

This morning I got coffee and the lady behind the counter had God’s light on her. It was as plain as day.

I could see she was a devout believer in Jesus, which she acknowledged, and she gave me two messages.

The first one was to not get mad.

(You can fight evil without the anger and actually anger makes you less effective.)

The second was to be careful because a life is worth nothing to the bad guys these days.

I understand and appreciate that God sent her to tell me these things.

She told me she is normally in church now but had to work today. I knew she felt bad. I said something like “Jesus loves you no matter where you are,” and she said “He is omnipresent” and looked serene.

Maybe church is also where you’re helping people.

###

Time Travel Is Logical

Of course the military is going to hire and train people with paranormal skills.

Not an expert but that stuff can go pretty far I would imagine.

What we call time travel is only the manipulation of activity in a higher dimension.

I am not a psychic but I can tell when people are encouraging me silently as well as the opposite...there is definitely a certain family type bond within the Patriot truth community.
I have had a couple of strange dreams over the past few years that stick in my mind as real, for example the NYC “ice ship” dream where I was holding on to the Lincoln Tunnel as the city flooded and these ships approached.

Also the dream of baby messiah.

I had a strange encounter a year or two ago with a cult albino type who appeared and disappeared so fast my husband didn’t even see; we were in the store on Sabbath and the albino asked me why is that, “my grandfather is Jewish.”

To the untrained mind all of this is inexplicable. I have an untrained mind. But I am like a dog too, and can sense things.

###
IX. Preparing
A War Against Our Selves

Someone called me a Patriot.

That means everything to me.

Thank you.

Maybe just before the victory is when the bad spirits try to attack you.

Like tonight I went to get food and saw a billiards place advertising happy hour and their logo has the one eye thing...

I am a very rational person and the things I have seen are not normal. I have it all in the book. It’s not just hard to believe it’s “cray cray” stuff.

You are all saying the same things I feel. Incredible grief, shock, rage, horror. Just every single day, bombarded with revelation after revelation.

I had this thought that "everything you believed in will come falling down" and this has turned out to be accurate. I couldn't have ever predicted this.

Like can you believe this?

It's like some kind of trauma thing, where I have to watch all this, and then somehow pretend like nothing is going on, and if *God forbid* I am the one to say something, then somehow it is *me* that is the problem!

You know I think (warming up, this is making me feel better) what's making me feel even *worse* is the fact that everything I know to be true, has to be justified in these intellectual terms...like I have to have a three hour logic argument over absolutely everything with people who are deliberately dense.

The entire emotional sphere of what is happening to our country, is like completely off limits. We are not allowed to talk about the emotional process of fighting this invisible, undeclared civil war against our selves.

Think. It is a war against our selves.

Our selves.

I know someone who repeatedly gets into toxic relationships where she is told that she has to improve herself "for her own good."
She finally started to realize that love isn't supposed to feel bad all the time.

I feel there is something very toxic and hateful about the people who are trying to overthrow America. It's not really accurate to describe them as "the left" because I am totally immersed in the world of moderate leftist Washingtonians. They're not like this.

No, there is something else going on, and they don't want us to FEEL or ANALYZE too closely...it's like they want to constantly bombard us with irrelevant and nonsensical information so as to prevent us from reflecting.

Like when you talk about this mythical quest for purity...it's like this smoky filter is applied to every word you say...and somehow certain thought directions or word directions are absolutely forbidden, while others (which are totally immoral and repulsive) are celebrated.

The topic of "Satan" for example has entered popular consciousness in a myriad of ways, and this is celebrated. Whereas if you talk about "national security" this makes you a terrible person.

The entire point of their campaign is to stop us from feeling...in the way that you feel when you go to a therapy session, or a support group...reflection is not allowed (impeachment is rushed for example). You never see a diehard Democrat saying "let's rethink."

Not to take up anyone's time, but it seems like if we are being told we should NOT feel, then perhaps we should DO the exact OPPOSITE.

Feel - mourn - be upset - be human.

Publicly.

###

**How to Prepare for Massive Change**

I asked my colleague how the new job was going.

"It's going, I guess," was the response; the downward gaze, labored chuckle and weary-looking eyes told the rest of the story.

No matter how career-oriented you are, change is stressful.
There's this thing called the "Life Change Index Scale (The Stress Test)." Not sure if you've heard of it.

This is possibly the only time in life, other than having your cholesterol measured, when "more points" are not a good thing.

- Fired: 47
- Change in type of work: 36
- Change in work responsibilities: 29

Now, I don't think the makers of this stress test worked for the Federal government. If they did, they'd have to add an item for "change in Administration," because as we know, the civil service never looks the same under one as it does under another.

Undoubtedly, and this is not a partisan comment, the past three years look like nothing we've ever seen in the civil service, and I have a feeling that the next three will be even more drastically different than the ones which came before.

We are talking about massive change.

And it isn't just on the work front.

I remember my aunts and uncles all moved out of my grandparents' house at a very young age to get their start in life. They all married young, too.

Now, the thing is to move out young, but into a dormitory, and after that the salaries aren't keeping up with the rent. What ensues is an ever-changing cast of roommates, and just watching what my kids have gone through, it's tough.

The amount people have to pay to live in the city is crazy, that is for sure; and what with work, they aren't equipped to deal with all the paperwork and the landlord stuff.

Like today: I was sitting in the coffee shop at lunch. One friend was talking to the other one about dealing with a landlord's demand for a rent increase. "Make sure that he only charges you the approved amount," she said intensely.
The hassle of changing roommates and moving around is too much for people. One solution, as extreme as it may sound, is tiny "pods" in communal spaces. Apparently one guy built a box in his friend's living room (a violation of some code, somewhere; thanks to the publicity, he had to move out).

I thought about this, and then got it: It's not just about the money, or the hassle.

With all the unexpected changes taking place around us daily, the world is fast becoming an utterly overwhelming place.Going back to the womb, so to speak, where someone is always stocking the kitchen with cereal and ramen and paper towels, seems kind of nice.

While you can't run away from reality, perhaps a retreat into community is a helpful way of coping with today's unexpected realities.

Whether it's work, or rent, or relationships, knowing that you can reach out — for advice, unconditional support, or even just a word of encouragement — goes a long way.

###

I Made These Three Career Mistakes, So You Don’t Have To

This was a reflection on how my time might have been better spent for the 1/3-2/3 of our day that is spent on earning money. It is written from the perspective of someone who came to believe that government public affairs is unhelpfully structured from the perspective of removing propaganda and useless fluff.

1. Mistake #1: Choosing passion over technology. Nowadays, unfortunately, writers are a dime a dozen (and you literally can end up getting paid a dime for a dozen words). In addition, subject matter experts tend to think they know how to communicate better than the trained communicators. However, technology is endlessly in demand, and as it turns out you can find a technology job that is suited to your other technical skills, which for me include helping people to collaborate for efficiency. If I could go back and do it over again, I would spend a lot more time "learning to code," and less time taking training in subject matter that is already intuitive for me.
2. Mistake #2: Over-focusing on the resume. Most career advice focuses on the resume, which is a list of seemingly objective, tangible achievements in bullet form. An example would be an award you received for a website redesign, or an increase in sales following implementation of a strategy you developed. However, most of the time, your true successes are not visible externally; they are the professional relationships you build over time, and they reflect your level of investment in simply contributing to the team. Bottom line: Shift your focus to "who would recommend you if I called?" not "what did you do at your last job that was amazing?"

3. Mistake #3: Taking a one-dimensional view of "work." When I was growing up, in the late '70s-mid-'80s, the images I saw on TV were of women trying to break out of traditional roles; as such the question "do you work?" meant "do you have a job outside the home?" While this was great at the time, today it's nice to see a different paradigm take hold. Gender role flexibility, work-life balance, and creative solutions to the physical location of the workplace are all combining to create a healthier world for all of us. To go along with this shift, there is a definite renaissance of online skill-building for all things home and family, from homeschooling kids in art to building a double-corner bookshelf for a space that seems impossibly small. As Sigmund Freud famously said: "Love and work are the cornerstones of humanness."

###

**What an Uber Driver Told Me About Technology**

Bottom line: We are dealing with a Luciferian cult centered on AI – politics irrelevant. (Not his words, my translation.)

His background – father was a foreign service officer. Professor Georgetown and GWU. Went to UMD. Worked in Silicon Valley till retirement.

Google knows traffic because it tracks every phone whether Android or not (it owns the technology Apple uses – maybe Waze?)

Update: Yes.

They’ll break up Google & FB but it won’t matter. Just like with Microsoft the programming goes on.
Self-driving cars are already here and will soon be rolled out for the mainstream, when they sufficiently perfect the technology for addressing random pedestrian movement.

We will need universal basic income because the computers will have all the jobs and will eventually be self-programming – humans will lose control (Ray Kurzweil – the singularity)

Already there is a movement out west to give AI equal rights – church of AI – this is luciferian of course.

We will have a democracy where your vote is your voice but you’ll vote with your mind because you will be chipped. This is the mark of the beast of course.

Discussion – they won’t have to kill you, they’ll just leave your body out of the computer grid and associated upgrades.

They won’t have to censor you, because you will have to be a good member of the hive mind.

They will cure disease, but disease will eventually be broadened to include dissent from the hive.

So who or what are we fighting? Basically the people who worship themselves and who would reroute technology for their self-service at the expense of the masses.

Pretty scary stuff.

###
X. Future
A Dream I Had

Someone was running for President, not President Trump, and he asked me to write down the 10 trends of the future on pencil and paper. Everything around was messy and it was like I had to rush. I wanted to type it and then he could take a copy but he wanted me to say it quickly. I said

The military and judges will be in charge for a long time.

The people will be fragmented.

I can't remember the rest.

Then my door was closed but a being approached the door. It wasn't my husband, I knew, and I was scared. It had its hand wrapped in the door.

I opened the door and confronted what looked like an albino human. I tried not to act afraid and stepped out and walked down the hall with it. It seemed to be angry and accusing me. As we walked it changed from albino to alien - the type you see on TV. Not a person but a thing.

It angrily accused me of something. Said that I had said something related to a baby or babies (I can't recall). It wasn't true and I said so.

The being vanished. There were people at the end of the hall sort of staring and gawking. I said "it's ok" and then went back into my apartment.

My home was messy and I wasn't sure how to clean up. Our things were locked up for some reason as though we'd had people there and had to put them away.

After a brief interval the neighbors knocked at my door. They wanted to know what happened. They were talking among themselves about the being with the "crystal" or the "sparkling" something.

I said to them, "welcome welcome." It was important that I let everybody in. "Welcome welcome." And they came into my home, although I didn't know where I would put them.

And then I woke up.

###
What Matters Now

We will see some satisfaction from the impeachment/IG/FISA circus. However, the real issues remain.

How has technology + military intelligence come to dominate our lives? What hope does the individual have against the system?

Enjoy life. Enjoy your loved ones. Eat some good food. Take pride in your work and being of service. These are the things that matter.

The rest, the "real truth," is an interesting quest, but you will never have it all and you would not want to know if you could.

For true salvation, we need God now. A miracle to free us all.

###

On the Last Day of 2019

Since it’s the last day of 2019, I thought it might be interesting to relate tidbits of conversation relevant to public awareness.

#1, we are winning the war for narrative control, and this is all-important.

#2, “our side” (which consists of an alliance for neutral truth) wins when we have any opportunity to talk to people with open minds. Even slightly open.

#3, the corollary, is that the only way “the other side” (evil) can win is by controlling all self-expression (including thought) such that falsehood is substituted for reality.

Falsehood substitution consists for example of the mainstream media calling murderous Hezbollah militia fighters “mourners.”

These “mourners” today stormed the U.S. embassy in Iraq, set on fire, tried to climb inside, and would have murdered or kidnapped everyone inside.

Anyway, it happens that none of us could sleep last night. The tension was very high on the air.

Tidbit of conversation: I mentioned my belief that “this is sorcery, because they are desperate.”
“I just want to go to sleep,” was the reply.

I turned to a Tweet from James Comey. Very oddly worded, it sounded like a message or a code.

A few hours later I wake up to find out that the US embassy is under attack. And perhaps it is my own cognitive bias, but there seemed a parallel between Comey saying “get off my lawn” and Hezbollah storming the gates.

The Tweets from people who have decided to “learn their comms” (communication styles) are issued in a kind of shorthand.

There is a lot of knowing eyeball-rolling type of talk.

We’ve been here before.

Not again.

The Monsey stabber turns out to be a mentally ill man released from the Marines. You could practically hear half the Internet: “MK-Ultra! of course!”

Even the most diehard anti-“conspiracy theory” stalwarts take a second look at the Starbucks cup upon which someone provided the following name: “Epstein Was Murdered.”

This, in a progressive liberal stronghold!

There is another conversation about a dinner party, we attended, where Godly matters were discussed and Godly rituals observed.

The bad energies strike not long after that. An infant has a seizure and is rushed to the ER. Half the family takes ill from a crazy, awful virus.

Another one, but I have to make this vague.

A situation comes up, and someone has an over-reaction that can only be described as “triggered.”

It occurs to me that “triggering,” or “Trump Derangement Syndrome,” is the psychological symptoms evoked when truth breaks through the shell of falsehood.

In other words, to be “triggered” is, perversely, a sign of mental capacity. It shows that your brain is still somewhat functioning.
If the formerly brainwashed or mind-controlled person survives the fit emotionally, they may stand a chance of coming around to health - of being deprogrammed.

The term “gaslighting” applies to abuse victims. It’s the process whereby the predator convinces them that nothing is wrong; or that they in fact are the ones at fault.

We, the believers in truth, have been gaslit, marginalized, punished, silenced, and penalized for so long it has come to seem almost normal.

But I remember other times. Perhaps not better, but not as blatant at all in terms of the brainwashing.

And I was talking to someone about those times today. This person completely anti-Trump.

Something was different in the conversation. The end of it was each of us saying, with conviction:

“In exactly one year, if we are still alive, God willing, you will say that I was right.”

Happy 2020 :-)
Epilogue: We Want The Messiah

Here are some Jewish insights into Messianic times from the book “Anticipating the Redemption,” Volume 2, which I borrowed from synagogue today.

It’s based on the speeches of the Lubavitcher Rebbe, z”l.

Essentially, the more we push for Redemption, the more we cry out for it, the more we repent, the more the impact on Heaven.

This is a fact.

“All of us have a number of…experiences engraved in our subconsciousness….for the overwhelming majority of Lubavitcher chassidim [Hasidic followers of Chabad Lubavitch/the Rebbe], the 28th of Nissan 5751 was such an experience. [April 11, 1991] The night began in an ordinary fashion. The Rebbe….davened [prayed]...and then began to deliver a sichah [talk or sermon]. The Rebbe started speaking about the theme of the Redemption, explaining how it related to the distinct spiritual potential of the current year, the current month and week. And then suddenly, a strange type of electricity filled the air.

“The Rebbe was no longer speaking abstractly. Instead, in very clear and direct terms, he turned to his chassidim [followers] and addressed them.

‘What more can I do to motivate the entire Jewish people to clamor and cry out and thus actually bring about the coming of Mashiach? [the Messiah] All that has been done until now has been to no avail for we are still in exile; moreover, we are in an inner exile with regard to our own service of G-d. All that I can possibly do is to give the matter over to you. Now, DO EVERYTHING YOU CAN TO BRING MASHIACH, HERE AND NOW, IMMEDIATELY. (emphasis added) I have done whatever I can; from now on, you must do whatever you can.... May you actually be successful and bring about the true and complete Redemption.” (pp. v-vi)

Without over-quoting, the text goes on to talk about automation and how society underutilized it because the knowledge was there, but not the ethics.

Technology is part of the Redemption.
“He invited us to see what he saw. Repeatedly, he told us to open our eyes, look around, and see that this is the era of Moshiach.”

As an example, he pointed to the disarmament talks among the world powers and said that this was a foretaste of the fulfillment of the prophecy:

“And they shall beat their swords into plowshare and their spears into pruning hooks.”

“Disarmament is not the only sign....When we take an honest look at what the information age has brought us, we must admit that we are living in a wondrous era. Things which only 10 and 15 years ago would have been considered absolute miracles are commonplace events. And it is not going to stop now. On the contrary, every year, the rate of change grows faster and faster.”

“Indeed, at present, there is the potential for revolutionary steps in bio-technology and gene manipulation....Why aren't these changes being implemented immediately?....Ethics.”

“Similarly, there exists the potential to employ robots...which would make a minimum of 1/4 and perhaps 3/4 of the jobs we do obsolete.” [So why don't we do this? “The fear that we would not know how to use the resultant free time....no way of earning...livelihood.”]

Note this book was published 22 years ago!

Let’s get into some of the details about how people can bring the Redemption for your power is what the Deep State is trying to block.

For example, there is “spiritual causation,” meaning “studying refined concepts makes them current and accessible to people at large.”

The reason they want to censor us is that we are spreading the truth. The more truth is out there, even if few read it, the more mainstream it goes.

If you knew the truth, you wouldn’t be evil for a second.

(It’s like that time I came back from vacation and the scale said I weighed 172 pounds. I got on and off the scale 15 times a day for 3 weeks – and ate badly the whole time – totally refused to believe it.)
“The peace, unity, and knowledge that will characterize the Era of the Redemption are factors that are operating within...our society today. As we internalize this ...and spread it to others, we can precipitate it becoming...reality within the world at large.”

Twitter, for example, is a means by which messages are spread throughout the world, from person to person, one word at a time. Imagine if that little bird logo is actually a tool for Redemption.

Isaiah 40:31, the bird (eagle) as a symbol of salvation for the faithful: “But those who hope in the LORD will renew their strength. They will soar on wings like eagles; they will run and not grow weary, they will walk and not be faint.”

This is why “deplatforming” is a very big deal. It’s a way of stopping the truth from getting out – of blocking the Messiah for on the day that we are unified in the service of God, the nightmare of earthly suffering in a world where the devil holds sway will be over.

So they must do everything to divide us.

“One of the themes that will characterize the Era of the Redemption is unity: ‘In that era, there will be... neither envy, nor competition.” (p. ix, citing High Holiday Liturgy, Siddur Tehillat HaShem, p. 271)

Okay get ready for a big concept now. Three words. These are Hebrew.

- “P’shitus” – the material reality we are accustomed to.
- “Bihischadshus” – a new perspective
- “Elokus” – Godliness

From our current, limited, material perspective, it is difficult to see God in ordinary things.

A door is a door.

A shoe is a shoe.

You can’t see God in a shoe.

This is “p’shitus.”

In the time of redemption, we will see “Elokus” – “Godliness” – EVERYWHERE.
We will be going “wow, look at that door, it only exists because God allowed the atoms to assemble…”

We will ALL be like that.

Our perspective will be NEW.

“Olam” – world

“Bihischadshus” – bi-his-chad-shus

“Olamos Bihischadshus” – “seeing the world with an entirely new perspective.”

You have the power to bring that new perspective into your daily life, right here, right now (it is actually the TRUE perspective) – and in so doing, change the material reality in which we live to one where Redemption is a given.

If you had this perspective as a given in your mind, and you somehow figured out a way to manufacture food and distribute it to the most impoverished places on Earth, it would be a no-brainer for you to set about doing that as quickly as possible.

Redemption actions.

Right now, if you talk about God all the time, people do look at you like you’re crazy, unless they happen to be God-talkers themselves, and then there is no issue.

Last thing, for this post: Your service when God is HIDDEN is more valuable than when He is REVEALED.

Often it may seem like we are “working for the garbage can,” like “no good deed goes unpunished,” but this is not at all the case.

The spiritual “chips” you build up NOW, against OPPOSITION, are the most valuable you can imagine.

You see already that people are starting to come forward and say things like: “I worked for CNN but I didn’t agree with what they were doing.”

Multiply that about a million fold.

That’s what’s coming next.
All that sentiment will be valuable, but honestly, it is the work of the people toiling against the tide to bring truth and justice that will yield the greatest impact in terms of bringing the Messiah.

You will note that I do not make any distinction between Jewish and gentile here with respect to Godly people bringing the redemption. In doing this I get away with what I see as the ethnocentrism of the rabbis, as Isaiah is very plain about spiritual equality in the future, when all is based on your beliefs and your actions, and not on your religious heritage.

“So also the foreigners [non-Jewish people], that join themselves to the Lord, to minister unto Him, and to love the name of the Lord, to be His servants, every one that keepeth the Sabbath from profaning it, and holdeth fast by My covenant. Even them will I bring to My holy mountain, and make them joyful in My house of prayer; their burnt-offerings and their sacrifices shall be acceptable upon Mine altar; for My house shall be called a house of prayer for all peoples.” (Isaiah 56:6-7)

“Thus through our deeds and service in the present era we bring about the building and the revelation of the 3rd Beis Hamikdash (Holy Temple) in the immediate future.”

“At that time, ‘God will lead them alone.’ Although he may be ‘found in a desolate and unsettled land,’ (meaning our Divine service in the era of exile), he will emerge from that desolate place, led alone by God, in a manner described by the preceding verse: ‘As an eagle, He arouses His nest,’ i.e., with mercy and compassion.”

“for in the immediate future, the Redemption will come with kindness and mercy. And then G-d’s intent in creating the worlds, His desire for a dwelling in the lower realms, will be fulfilled.”
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